



LOS ANGELES COUNTY
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE/
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
P.O. BOX 1460, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460
www.lacountyiswmtf.org

**DEAN D. EFSTATHIOU
CHAIRMAN**

August 13, 2008

Ms. Margo Reid Brown, Chair
California Integrated Waste Management Board
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

Dear Ms. Brown:

**DISCUSSION OF POTENTIAL OPTIONS FOR THE ORGANIC DIVERSION FACILITIES
SITING PROJECT (STRATEGIC DIRECTIVE 6.1)**

On behalf of the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, I would like to commend the California Integrated Waste Management Board (Waste Board) for its efforts in promoting environmentally beneficial alternatives to reduce the disposal of organics. However, as listed below, we have a number of concerns regarding the Waste Board's Directive 6.1 and its staff report for Item 11 of the June 17, 2008, Waste Board meeting. On June 10, 2008, this item was considered by the Waste Board's Strategic Policy Development Committee without addressing concerns expressed by stakeholders.

Pursuant to Chapter 3.67 of the Los Angeles County Code and the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939, as amended), the Task Force is responsible for coordinating the development of all major solid waste planning documents prepared for the County of Los Angeles and the 88 cities within Los Angeles County with a combined population in excess of ten million. Consistent with these responsibilities, and to ensure a coordinated and cost-effective and environmentally-sound solid waste management system in Los Angeles County, the Task Force also addresses issues impacting the system on a countywide basis. The Task Force membership includes representatives of the League of California Cities-Los Angeles County Division, the County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors, the City of Los Angeles, the waste management industry, environmental groups, the public, and a number of other governmental agencies.

We would like to offer the following comments/concerns regarding your staff report on options for siting of organic diversion facilities as well as the Waste Board Strategic Directive 6.1.

1. The Waste Board needs to define the terms "Organic" and "Compostable Organic"

The term "organic" is not defined by statute or regulation. Webster's Dictionary defines the term "organic" as: *"of, relating to, or derived from living organisms"* and *"of, relating to, or containing carbon compounds."* As such, based on the Statewide Waste Characterization Study released by the Waste Board in December 2004, the "organic" fraction of solid waste disposed in California landfills ranges between 70 and 80 percent.

The June 17, 2008, Waste Board staff report states that *"Organic materials comprise over 30 percent of the waste stream disposed in California landfills."* This statement is inconsistent with the Waste Board's 2004 Statewide Waste Characterization Study as well as the staff report that was presented to the Waste Board on December 11, 2007. In that report, staff indicated that *"Compostable organic materials comprises approximately 25 percent, or about 10 million tons, of what is disposed in landfills annually, and paper and woody portion of Construction & Demolition debris constitute another 13 or so million tons."* Thus, it appears that Waste Board staff made a distinction between the terms "organic" and "compostable organic," but did not make an attempt to define the terms.

The terms "organic" and "compostable organic" materials need to be clearly defined to avoid confusion among the legislature and regulatory bodies, regulated communities, and local governments that ultimately have to bear the cost. Furthermore, there is a need for the Waste Board to reexamine its Strategic Directive 6.1, which calls for 50 percent reduction in the amount of "organics" being disposed in landfills by 2020. Based on the December 11, 2007, Agenda Item 15, it appears that the goal is focused on the composting/diverting of source separated streams, such as green waste, food waste, manure, etc., and not the total "organics" currently being disposed in landfills. If the latter is true, jurisdictions in California may be faced with achieving a mandatory diversion rate of approximately 85 percent by 2020.

2. The Waste Board needs to consider the findings of State and local efforts with regards to conversion technology

The June 17, 2008, Waste Board staff report indicates *"Organic diversion facilities include compost, conversion technology, chipping and grinding, and transfer stations."* The Task Force commends the Waste Board for its recognition and inclusion of conversion technology into the organic diversion facilities category. However, we are disappointed with the Waste Board's staff report and recommendations which fail to recognize the findings of (a) the Waste Board's own three-year study on conversion technologies conducted pursuant to AB 2770, Chapter 740 of the 2002 State Statutes;

Ms. Margo Reid Brown
August 13, 2008
Page 3

(b) the conversion technology efforts by the County of Los Angeles; (c) the State Bioenergy Action Plan; and (d) the State Interagency Bioenergy Working Group. Unfortunately, these findings were not considered by the Strategic Policy Development Committee on June 10, 2008. We strongly believe that the Waste Board needs to consider these studies and efforts prior to any further action. This reevaluation will further substantiate that the Waste Board must place a greater reliance on the development and siting of conversion technology facilities rather than focusing on "soft" solutions such as forming more committees and conducting unnecessary duplicative studies.

We would appreciate your written response which would be of great interest to jurisdictions in Los Angeles County as well as those throughout the State. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer of the Task Force at (909) 592-1147.

Sincerely,



Margaret Clark, Vice-Chair
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force and
Council Member, City of Rosemead

LL:kp
P:\SEC\Organics Facilities Letter.doc

cc: Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger
Cal EPA Secretary, Linda Adams
Each Member of the California Integrated Waste Management Board
California Integrated Waste Management Board (Mark Leary, Ted Rauh,
Bobbie Garcia)
California State Association of Counties
The League of California Cities
The League of California Cities, Los Angeles County Division
Each Member of the County of Los Angeles' Board of Supervisors
Each City Mayor in Los Angeles County
South Bay Cities Counsel of Governments
San Gabriel Valley Counsel of Governments
Gateway Cities Counsel of Governments
Southern California Association of Governments
Each City Recycling Coordinator in Los Angeles County
Each Member of the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force