

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY
COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED
WASTE MANAGEMENT**



**SUMMARY
PLAN**

Los Angeles

County

Department

Public Works

Environmental

Programs Division

June 1995

VOLUME III -
APPENDICES G - P

Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management
Summary Plan

Table of Contents
for All Volumes

Volume IA
The Plan

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Acronyms

Glossary of Terms

Executive Summary

- Chapter 1 - Introduction
- Chapter 2 - Goals, Policies, and Objectives
- Chapter 3 - County Profile and Plan Administration
- Chapter 4 - Current Integrated Solid Waste Management Practices
- Chapter 5 - Summary of SRREs, HHWEs, NDFEs and Proposed Programs for Countywide Coordination
- Chapter 6 - Administering Agency, Funding Source, and Implementation Schedule

Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management
Summary Plan

Table of Contents
for All Volumes

Volume IB
Chapter 5 - Oversized Tables

Chapter 5 - Oversized Tables

Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management
Summary Plan

Table of Contents
for All Volumes

Volume II
Appendices A - F

- Appendix A - June 1995 Telephone Survey
- Appendix B - Garbage Disposal District Boundaries
- Appendix C - Task Force Report to the CIWMB, dated March 28, 1991
- Appendix D - Diversion Program Survey
- Appendix E - Certified Recycling Centers
- Appendix F - Recycling Market Development Zones

Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management
Summary Plan

Table of Contents
for All Volumes

Volume III
Appendices G - P

- Appendix G - Topical Comments and Responses
- Appendix H - Public Meeting Comments and Responses
- Appendix I - Comment Letters Received and Specific Responses
- Appendix J - Public Information Meeting Schedule
- Appendix K - Official Notices and Proofs of Publication
- Appendix L - Public Information Meeting Sign-In Sheets
- Appendix M - Summary Plan Fact Sheet
- Appendix N - Mailing List for Transmittal of Draft Summary Plan and Draft Negative Declaration
- Appendix O - Board of Supervisors Motion dated 10/15/96
- Appendix P - Minutes of Task Force and Public Review Subcommittee Meetings

**Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management
Summary Plan**

**Volume III
Appendices G - P**

Table of Contents

- Appendix G - Topical Comments and Responses**
- Appendix H - Public Meeting Comments and Responses**
- Appendix I - Comment Letters Received and Specific Responses**
- Appendix J - Public Information Meeting Schedule**
- Appendix K - Official Notices and Proofs of Publication**
- Appendix L - Public Information Meeting Sign-In Sheets**
- Appendix M - Summary Plan Fact Sheet**
- Appendix N - Mailing List for Transmittal of Draft Summary Plan and Draft Negative Declaration**
- Appendix O - Board of Supervisors Motion dated 10/15/96**
- Appendix P - Minutes of Task Force and Public Review Subcommittee Meetings**

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX G

TOPICAL COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

[This page intentionally left blank]

Appendix G
Topical Comments and Responses

1.	Extension to the Public Comment Period	G-1
2.	Assessing Diversion Progress	G-2
3.	Hierarchy of Reduction, Recycling, Composting, and Disposal	G-2
4.	Adopting a Higher Diversion Goal	G-3
5.	Determining the Most Effective and Efficient Waste Diversion Programs	G-3
6.	Variable-Can Rate Program	G-4
7.	The County of Los Angeles' Commitment to Achieving State Mandated Diversion Goals	G-4
8.	Expansion of Diversion Activities by The County Sanitation Districts (CSD) of Los Angeles County	G-5
9.	Countywide Integrated Solid Waste Management Policy	G-6
10.	Intergovernmental Partnership for Creating an Integrated Waste Management Plan .	G-6
11.	Description of The Solid Waste Management System in The County Unincorporated Communities	G-8
12.	The Specificity of The Proposed Countywide Programs	G-9
13.	Existing Waste Reduction Programs in The County Unincorporated Communities ..	G-9
14.	The County of Los Angeles' Tire Recycling Programs	G-11
15.	Biosolids Management in the County Unincorporated Communities	G-11
16.	Investigating Recycling Programs from Across the Nation	G-11
17.	Stimulating Market Demand	G-12
18.	Procurement System Favoring Recycled Products	G-12
19.	Imposition of Countywide Mandates	G-13

Appendix G
Topical Comments and Responses

20.	Supporting State Initiatives to Increase Recycling	G-13
21.	Tipping Fees	G-14
22.	Funds Collected by the County	G-15
23.	Integrated Funding Program	G-15
24.	Waste Calculations and Solid Waste Reporting System	G-15
25.	Opposition to Proposed New or Expansion of Existing Landfills	G-16
26.	Purpose of the Negative Declaration	G-17

Appendix G Topical Comments and Responses

1. Extension to the Public Comment Period

Comments were received requesting that the public review and comment period for the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan and the Proposed Negative Declaration be extended to allow sufficient time for those agencies or individuals unable to complete their review within the required 45-day review period.

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq., the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, acting as the lead agency, circulated the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan and the Proposed Negative Declaration for a 45-day review and comment period effective March 11, 1996.

Public involvement in the solid waste management planning process is extremely important to the proper development of the Summary Plan and the Negative Declaration. As such, copies of these documents were distributed to interested parties, the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, all 88 cities in the County, special districts, State agencies, and environmental groups. The Department of Public Works also provided copies of the documents to public libraries throughout the County and made them available at the Department's Headquarters Building and our Building and Safety District Offices throughout the County. Additionally, public information meetings were scheduled throughout the County to solicit written and/or oral testimonies on these documents.

The official public review and comment period ended on May 1, 1996. However, due to public requests, the review and comment period was extended for 45 days ending June 17, 1996. The public review and comment period was subsequently extended for an additional 90 days ending October 17, 1996. (Refer to Volume III, Appendix K for notices of publication.)

The 180-day review and comment period has been completed. The Department has evaluated all of the comments received and has prepared the Final Draft Summary Plan and the Negative Declaration. The Final Draft of the Summary Plan will be submitted to the cities in Los Angeles County for a 90-day approval period. Upon receiving approval by a majority of the cities containing a majority of the incorporated population in Los Angeles County, the documents must be adopted by the County Board of Supervisors prior to their submittal to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for approval.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

2. Assessing Diversion Progress.

Comments were received requesting that the Summary Plan include a mechanism for assessing progress of diversion efforts from countywide programs. Specific comments include providing a time line for implementing objectives, providing measurable objectives to determine progress, and providing the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors with regular progress reports on diversion activities.

In response to these comments, the goals, policies, and objectives in Chapter 2 were expanded by the addition of Goal 8. This goal places responsibility on each participating jurisdiction for implementing their own reporting mechanism to assess progress of diversion activities and Summary Plan goals, policies, and objectives. In addition, specific objectives have been listed on the implementation schedule, which has been moved from Chapter 6 to Chapter 2. The schedule includes a timetable for implementation of the objectives.

3. Hierarchy of Reduction, Recycling, Composting, and Disposal

Comments were received stating that the Summary Plan does not focus on the hierarchy of reduction, recycling, composting, and then disposal as required by the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939), that the Summary Plan is biased towards managing end-of-pipe problems of waste rather than proactively creating solutions that focus on the origins of the problem at the point of generation, and that the goals, policies, and objectives listed in Chapter 2 do not reflect the hierarchy of Assembly Bill (AB) 939.

The Summary Plan provides for solid waste management through the hierarchy established by AB 939: source reduction, recycling, composting, and disposal of the residuals. Each of these waste management practices is identified in one or more of the goals, policies, and objectives listed in Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan. The goals, policies, and objectives have been revised to include approximate dates for achievement of individual objectives. Source reduction of waste, which focuses on the problem at the point of generation, is specifically identified in the policy for Goal 1. Other goals emphasize other waste management strategies. Because of the complexity of waste management in Los Angeles County, emphasis on one strategy, such as source reduction, to the exclusion of others is not sufficient to meet the AB 939 diversion target.

For additional information, please refer to Section 1.5 "Fundamental Components of a Solid Waste Management System" added to Chapter 1.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

4. Adopting a Higher Diversion Goal

Comments were received stating that the Summary Plan should adopt a diversion goal that is higher than the 50% minimum required by AB 939. Specific comments stated that the Summary Plan should adopt the City of Los Angeles' diversion goal of 70% by the year 2020.

Each city within the County is individually responsible for meeting the diversion target of 50% by the year 2000 as required by AB 939. While a small percentage of cities have set significantly higher diversion goals for themselves, the majority of the cities, approximately 80%, are projecting diversion goals of 55% or less by the year 2000. The County does not have the authority to impose higher levels countywide. Individual diversion goals by jurisdictions are not required to be included in the Summary Plan nor is the Plan required by AB 939 to provide additional diversion goals beyond the year 2000. Additionally, there is reported concern statewide that the 50% goal may not be achievable.

5. Determining the Most Effective and Efficient Waste Diversion Programs

Comments have been received asserting that the 89 jurisdictions in Los Angeles County should characterize the quantity and types of solid waste generated, diverted and disposed to determine which specific waste diversion programs (e.g., bans on specific materials to be disposed, drop-off facilities, etc.) would be most effective in achieving the State-mandated waste diversion goals of 25 and 50 percent by the year 1995 and 2000, respectively.

The County (representing the unincorporated communities) and each of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County have adopted a Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) and most have submitted that Element to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for approval. The SRREs list various waste reduction programs that will be utilized by each jurisdiction to achieve the State-mandated diversion goals. For example, some jurisdictions may decide to implement mandatory residential separation of green waste, plastics, paper, etc. at curbside, while others may target the commercial sector. However, it is up to each jurisdiction to make this decision for itself. Also, each SRRE includes a waste characterization component for solid waste generated, diverted, and disposed in its respective jurisdiction. The constituent materials are identified by volume, percentage, material type, and source of generation.

Solid waste disposal facilities may only be prohibited from accepting specific materials if an ordinance is instituted by the host jurisdiction or the State Legislates it into law.

Appendix G Topical Comments and Responses

6. Variable-Can Rate Program

Comments have been received asserting that the Summary Plan should propose a variable-can rate program to provide the residents and businesses in Los Angeles County a financial incentive to reduce the amount of solid waste currently disposed.

The use of variable-can rates is a decision that is made by each jurisdiction. The County is studying such a rate for the unincorporated area. It should be noted that the County cannot mandate such rate structures on any other jurisdiction.

The County has attempted, and encourages the 88 cities, to let residents know the "true" cost of solid waste collection and disposal through specific charges in utility or property tax bills. For example, the County is responsible for the following activities that are financed by service charges or service fees.

- The administration and operation of six Garbage Disposal Districts. Funding is provided through the imposition of Garbage Collection and Disposal Service fees on each parcel of real property within each district depending on its land use classification.
- Implementation of AB 939. Funding for the preparation of County unincorporated plans and implementation of required programs for the County unincorporated area is provided through the imposition of Solid Waste Generation Service Charges applied to each improved parcel of real property within the County unincorporated area depending on its land use classification.

However, some jurisdictions within Los Angeles County charge their residents indirectly by financing their solid waste management system with money from their general fund. By using this approach, the residents are not aware of the "true" cost of solid waste collection and disposal.

7. The County of Los Angeles' Commitment to Achieving State Mandated Diversion Goals

Comments have been received asserting that the County of Los Angeles is not committed to achieving the State-mandated waste diversion goals of 25 and 50 percent by the year 1995 and 2000, respectively.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

The County has made substantial progress in addressing its solid waste management needs, and developing and implementing an integrated waste management program as demonstrated by the County unincorporated communities achieving a 29.4 percent diversion rate in 1995 to comply with State law. The County is committed to meeting the State diversion mandate of 50% by the year 2000 for the unincorporated area and to the State mandate of addressing the need for 15 years of disposal capacity countywide to ensure protection of public health and safety. The County is continuously monitoring developments in solid waste management at all levels in search of environmentally-safe and cost-effective ways of dealing with this issue. However, it should be noted that there is concern statewide that the 50% goal may not be possible.

8. Expansion of Diversion Activities by The County Sanitation Districts (CSD) of Los Angeles County

Comments have been received asserting that the CSD should expand existing diversion activities at its landfills and transfer station.

The CSD is an independent governmental entity. Its board of directors is comprised of 78 mayors of the District's member city's and one representative of the County Board of Supervisors. Existing waste diversion activities of the CSD include, but are not limited to, the operation of two recycling centers located at Puente Hills Landfill and Palos Verdes Landfill. These two recycling centers receive aluminum, glass, tin cans, P.E.T. bottles and newspaper. The CSD has also sent a directory to all cities, solid waste customers, Los Angeles County regional libraries, and each company or individual listed in the directory to inform them of the appropriate methods to recycle waste materials rather than bringing it to a solid waste disposal facility. The directory is divided into two sections: Waste Exchange and Recycling Resources.

The Waste Exchange section lists recycling materials available or wanted by category - construction/demolition, glass, green waste, metal, paper, plastic, wood, and miscellaneous. Companies and/or individuals having or wanting these materials are listed along with their names, addresses, and telephone numbers.

The Recycling Resources section lists the CSD's recycling facilities, state certified recycling centers, battery and waste oil recycling facilities, drop off/collection facilities, local recycling and household hazardous waste contacts, solid waste associations, bulletin boards, hotlines, publications, and waste exchanges.

Appendix G
Topical Comments and Responses

9. Countywide Integrated Solid Waste Management Policy

Comments were received stating that the Summary Plan does not provide an adequate Countywide integrated solid waste management policy. Specific comments stated that the Summary Plan does not adequately integrate the programs planned and implemented and that the Summary plan fails to provide an appropriate vision of solid waste policy for the future.

The focus of the Summary Plan is to assist jurisdictions in achieving the State mandated diversion rate of 50% by the year 2000 through countywide coordination. Based on the assessment of the conditions as they currently exist, the Plan represents an appropriate countywide solid waste management policy for the required time span. Revisions to the Summary Plan are expected to be made at 5 year intervals. Solid waste policy listed in future Summary Plans can incorporate new developments that occur.

The Plan contains a list of goals, policies, objectives, and programs for managing solid waste countywide. However, implementation of these programs by each jurisdiction is voluntary. Because of this, a completely integrated countywide waste management system incorporating all jurisdictions may not be achieved. Some jurisdictions may choose to implement all of the programs while other jurisdictions may choose to implement some. The ultimate success of producing an integrated system to help jurisdictions meet the diversion rate of 50% will depend more on the willingness, cooperation, and participation of the 89 jurisdictions within the County than on whether the Summary Plan contains an exhaustive list of possible diversion programs. The Task Force and various city groups provide forums for each jurisdiction to develop cooperative programs which may be combined to support the development of an increasingly more mature and fully developed integrated solid waste management system.

10. Intergovernmental Partnership for Creating an Integrated Waste Management Plan

Comments have been received asserting that the County needs to include in the Summary Plan a strategy for developing an integrated waste management plan that creates a cooperative framework inviting all of the 88 cities, as well as the districts, businesses, and citizens in the County to work together in a partnership of the public and private sectors to meet the state waste diversion mandates. The commentors assert that such a cooperative partnership would be a natural forum for reaching consensus on the most cost-effective way to coordinate recycling and waste reduction efforts.

The purpose of the Summary Plan is to summarize all of the SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs submitted by the 88 cities and the County of Los Angeles. The Summary Plan is also to

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

present a list of programs planned or proposed for countywide coordination. AB 939, which called for the creation of the Summary Plan and the Siting Element also called for the creation of a regional countywide Task Force to coordinate and assist the jurisdictions in developing their SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs, assist in the preparation of the Summary Plan and Siting Element, and provide a forum for cooperation between jurisdictions in developing interjurisdictional and countywide waste reduction and diversion programs.

Prior to the enactment of AB 939, as amended, the Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Committee provided a forum for all of the 88 cities in the County to provide input on solid waste management issues. Subsequent to passage of AB 939, the Committee was designated as the Integrated Waste Management Task Force with the concurrence of the majority of the cities in the County containing the majority of the incorporated population. The Task Force's 17 members represent the cities, the County, the waste management industry, the recycling industry, environmental groups, and the general public. Each jurisdiction has its own unique set of political, fiscal, technical, land use, and other issues impacting its waste diversion efforts and therefore each jurisdiction must ultimately decide what programs to participate in and what specific solutions to pursue. This is one reason AB 939 requires each of the 88 cities and the County to separately submit their own SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs, which are summarized in the Summary Plan.

However, it is true that significant savings can be realized by each jurisdiction if they coordinate and/or combine their efforts by forming formal and/or informal cooperative partnerships. In fact, many cities have already formed regional city groups, some of which have been formalized as Joint Powers Agreement (JPAs). These groups serve as active forums for the cities and the County to express their ideas, to share their experiences, and to develop cooperative and collaborative efforts to reduce waste generation, educate the public, and increase diversions of waste away from landfills. Attendance at these city group meetings is voluntary and no jurisdiction has the authority to compel any other jurisdiction to attend or participate in any program.

In addition to these city groups, the Task Force has formed the Plan Review (PR) Subcommittee for the purpose of reviewing the many AB 939-mandated plans prepared by the cities and the Public Education and Information (P.E.I.) Subcommittee whose goal is to coordinate and facilitate educational efforts regarding Solid Waste Management issues. The PR Subcommittee is comprised of representatives of each of the regional city groups. The PEI Subcommittee is comprised of representatives from Public and Private Sectors. Interested members of the community may seek to attend meetings of the Subcommittee or of the regional city groups and have an opportunity to participate in the development of positive waste management policies and programs.

Appendix G
Topical Comments and Responses

11. Description of The Solid Waste Management System in The County Unincorporated Communities

Comments have been received requesting a description on the solid waste management system, including the responsibility of refuse haulers, in the unincorporated communities of Los Angeles County.

The Garbage Disposal Districts

There are six Garbage Disposal Districts (GDDs) in the unincorporated communities of Los Angeles County:

- Athens-Woodcrest-Olivita
- Belvedere
- Firestone
- Malibu
- Mesa Heights
- Walnut Park

Each GDD is serviced by a private waste management firm through an exclusive contract administered by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works.

The contractor collects, transports and disposes of refuse, and collects and manages recyclable materials and green waste generated by single and multi-family residences, and commercial/industrial establishments. The private hauler also conducts an educational program to inform and solicit the participation of District residents in recycling programs. In the recycling effort, the contractor provides special containers to all residents for the collection of green waste and recyclable materials (e.g., newspapers, glass, aluminum cans, tin cans, and metal cans). All recyclable materials recovered within the GDDs are taken to recycling facilities to be processed. All green waste materials recovered within the GDDs are taken to composting and/or landfills permitted to accept green waste for use as alternative daily cover.

Free Enterprise Communities

In the unincorporated communities outside of the GDDs, waste collection is operating on a free enterprise basis. Waste management companies with permits to operate in the unincorporated communities are free to solicit contracts for waste collection services from individuals or businesses in an open and competitive marketplace. The County requires

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

recycling services to be provided by the waste management companies through a County ordinance.

The County's recycling ordinance was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 1989, prior to the adoption of AB 939. The ordinance provides authority to the Director of Public Works to develop and implement, through the waste haulers serving the unincorporated areas, various diversion programs to ensure compliance with the Board of Supervisors policies and State laws. Currently, waste haulers in the unincorporated areas are required to provide curbside recycling services to all of their residential customers. At a minimum, haulers must collect newspaper, bimetal and aluminum cans, number one and two plastics, and glass bottles and jars. Many of the haulers in these areas also collect other materials such as cardboard, paper board, junk mail and telephone books.

To promote and increase the participation rates in the residential curbside recycling program, the program was enhanced in 1994 with the approval of the Curbside Recycling Public Education Program, developed by this Department. The program utilizes a public education plan that includes: a hauler workbook, workshops, TV and radio public service announcements, a multimedia presentation kiosk, billboards, and presentations at a wide variety of community events. The program may be expanded in 1997/98 to provide assistance to multi-family residences in developing and implementing recycling programs.

The County began its first multi-family recycling program in 1991 in the Marina del Rey area. Since then, the program has been implemented in all unincorporated areas of the County with the exception of the Antelope Valley.

12. The Specificity of The Proposed Countywide Programs

Comments have been received asserting that the Summary Plan should be more detailed as to how the proposed countywide programs will function.

The specifics on how the proposed countywide programs will function will be based on a program-by-program basis and must be worked out with participating jurisdictions at the time of implementation.

13. Existing Waste Reduction Programs in The County Unincorporated Communities

Comments have been received requesting information on existing waste reduction programs in the unincorporated communities of Los Angeles County.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

Los Angeles County has and will continue to fully comply with the requirements of State law in reducing solid waste disposal quantities to the maximum extent possible. However, to discuss the details of the County Unincorporated Solid Waste Management Programs is not appropriate as a part of this document without also discussing the details of each of the 88 cities programs as well. Such a discussion is outside the scope or purpose of the Summary Plan. This information can be obtained by referring to each jurisdiction's annual report submitted to the CIWMB. To assist all jurisdictions in Los Angeles County in achieving the waste diversion goals, the County has awarded more than six million dollars in public education and program implementation contracts to further emphasize and expand waste reduction practices. These contracts include:

- Countywide Household Hazardous Waste Public Education. This Program raises awareness of source reduction and proper disposal of household hazardous waste and encourages participation in the household hazardous waste collection events.
- Countywide Yard Waste Management Program. The intent of this Program is to reduce the amount of residential yard/green waste that is currently being disposed of through an extensive education and public outreach effort. The educational efforts are further enhanced by the operation and maintenance of 15 backyard composting demonstration facilities which include five newly expanded grasscycling and xeriscape gardening demonstration facilities.
- Countywide Public Education School Program. This Program promotes the three R's (Reduce, Reuse, and Recycle) to students in schools throughout Los Angeles County through the following programs:
 - Solid Waste Education/Awareness Elementary School Project
 - Secondary School Student Environmental Education Program
 - Los Angeles County Environmental Award (Presented in conjunction with the Los Angeles County Science Fair)
 - Plan-It Earth Program
 - Annual Woody Woodpecker Environmental Calendar Contest
- Countywide Household Hazardous Waste Management Program. Currently, all 88 cities in Los Angeles County participate in the program. Since 1988, they have held a total of 230 roundup and "hazmobile" events throughout the County, collecting a total of more than 2 million gallons of material.

Appendix G
Topical Comments and Responses

14. The County of Los Angeles' Tire Recycling Programs

Comments have been received requesting information on the tire recycling programs sponsored by the County of Los Angeles.

The County is continually looking for new and innovative solutions to achieve its solid waste management goals. Because of this constant effort, in late 1992, the County's Department of Public Works implemented the multimillion dollar Countywide Rubberized Asphalt and Slurry Seal Program. This program is aimed at diverting used tires from landfill disposal, reducing the size of tire stockpiles in the County, and developing markets for asphalt and slurry seals containing crumb rubber from used tires. The County aggressively identifies and awards contracts for use of rubberized asphalt/slurry products on many reconstruction, resurfacing, and slurry seal projects. These contracts are estimated to be in excess of \$3 million during any fiscal year. Since 1993, rubberized asphalt slurry seal has been applied to more than 225 miles of roads, and rubberized asphalt has been used in the paving of more than 100 miles of roads throughout the County.

In addition to managing waste tires from County-owned vehicles, the County collects waste tires dumped illegally in road right-of-ways in the unincorporated areas and in flood control facilities. Truck tires suitable for retreading are separated and sent to a private vendor who performs the retreading. In the absence of acceptable markets, landfills have been the traditional method for waste tire disposal. State law prohibits landfill operators from burying whole waste tires, however, landfill operators are allowed to dispose of shredded waste tires. By shredding waste tires, disposal volume is reduced by 75%.

15. Biosolids Management in the County Unincorporated Communities

Comments have been received requesting information on how the County of Los Angeles manages biosolids from the unincorporated communities.

Ninety nine percent of all biosolids are managed by the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County and by the City of Los Angeles Hyperion Waste Treatment Facility. Specific management of these materials is outside the scope of the Summary Plan.

16. Investigating Recycling Programs from Across the Nation

Comments have been received inquiring if the County of Los Angeles is investigating recycling programs from across the country to determine if innovative programs can be implemented in the County.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

In January 1996, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works prepared a report entitled, "Waste Management Programs in the United States." The Report provides information on innovative waste management programs existing in other communities throughout the country. It was determined that all programs in other communities are already being implemented, planned or identified as contingency programs in the County/citys' Source Reduction Recycling Elements, Household Hazardous Waste Elements, and Non-disposal Facility Elements.

17. Stimulating Market Demand

Comments were received stating that the County should stimulate market demand for recycled products and bring to the area manufacturing facilities that use diverted materials for feedstock.

The strategy for increasing the markets for diverted materials is outlined in the policies and objectives for Goal 4. One aspect of that strategy consists of the five Recycling Market Development Zones (RMDZs) in Los Angeles County which offer technical, coordinative, and financial incentives to attract manufacturers and processors that use diverted materials, including green waste, as feedstock. Other aspects of the strategy include procurement cooperatives between jurisdictions for purchasing recycled-content items in bulk and the development of a model procurement policy which will give preference to the purchase of recycled-content products. This model will be submitted to participating jurisdictions for their consideration. See sections 4.5 and 5.5.6 for discussion of these items.

The Quarterly Status Report On Solid Waste Management Action Plan to the Board of Supervisors was recently revised to include a section on the progress of the Los Angeles County RMDZ.

18. Procurement System Favoring Recycled Products

Comments have been received asserting that the County of Los Angeles should implement a procurement system that prefers recycled products over non-recycled products.

In March 1994, the Board of Supervisors adopted procurement policies establishing guidelines for purchase of recyclable materials and products made of recycled materials for all County Departments. The intent of the policy is to promote development of markets for recycled products.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

As part of this policy, the Department of Public Works has hosted a recycled product vendor show which was attended by approximately fifty different companies. Purchasing and recycling coordinators from the County's 88 cities and all County Departments were invited to attend.

Goal 4, Objective 2 calls for the development of a model plan giving procurement preference to recycled products. The model plan once developed will be distributed to participating jurisdictions for their consideration and use.

19. Imposition of Countywide Mandates

Comments were received asserting that the County, via the Summary Plan, has the authority to mandate specific actions on the 88 cities or other entities in Los Angeles County.

The County represents the unincorporated communities much the same as a city council represents the residents of a city. The County has no authority to impose requirements or ordinances on any other jurisdiction/entity in Los Angeles County. Each of the cities must impose their own ordinance/requirements as the County has done for the unincorporated areas; for example, the County requires that recycling services be provided by waste management companies in the unincorporated areas and outside the Garbage Disposal Districts - where collection is operating on a free enterprise basis - through a County ordinance. The CIWMB is the only enforcement agency that has legislative authority to enforce the State-mandated diversion goals of 25 and 50 percent by 1995 and 2000, respectively, by imposing a \$10,000 per day fine for noncompliance. The State has not granted the County authority to impose any program on any other jurisdiction/entity through the Summary Plan.

20. Supporting State Initiatives to Increase Recycling

Comments have been received asserting that the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works and the CSD are not leaders in supporting State initiatives that may increase source reduction, recycling, composting and markets for recycled products.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works and the CSD actively work to sponsor/support legislation that assists in implementing source reduction, recycling, composting, and markets for recycled products.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

21. Tipping Fees

Comments were received stating that low tipping fees at landfills adversely affect diversion efforts. Specific comments were that recycling will never be economical if the County continues to subsidize the cost of solid waste disposal at \$17 per ton and that composters cannot compete with the artificially low price of \$9 per ton for yard waste which is used as alternative daily cover (ADC) at the Puente Hills Landfill.

Tipping fees for individual landfills are determined by the owner/operator. The tipping fee for the County-owned Calabasas landfill, which the CSD operates, is determined by the Board of Directors for that particular district. The CSD is a confederation of sanitation districts and is not governed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. The County has no legal authority to regulate landfill tipping fees for the Calabasas landfill or any other landfill in the County, nor does the County subsidize the cost of solid waste disposal. Additionally, the CSD is mandated by law to charge only that amount necessary to cover their operating costs.

Included as a very small part of the tipping fee of every disposal facility in the County is a Solid Waste Management Fee which the County does have authority to regulate. Any increase to this fee, however, may require a vote of the people as mandated by the recent passage of proposition 218. The fund may only be used for specific countywide programs associated with AB 939. Any other use of this fee is prohibited.

Currently in Los Angeles County, there are no large scale composting facilities to process all of the green waste collected by the various cities. Until such facilities are sited and built, an interim use for this material is needed. In 1993, the CSD was granted approval to begin a program to use green waste as ADC at landfills that it operates. The California Integrated Waste Management Board has approved this program, as a legitimate method of recycling. Additionally, these programs are able to use green waste with a level of contamination far in excess of the level acceptable for composting operations. This provides a recycling market for this material.

The County supports the CSD's program and considers it an aid in nurturing the development of the collection infrastructure which must exist to feed any commercial composting facility. The County also supports efforts to site needed composting facilities, as stated in the objectives for Goal 1. It is the cost to site, permit, and construct (in particular, the cost of legal challenges to the siting of composting facilities as well as a lack of market demand) that creates the need for higher tipping fees at composting facilities and, therefore, the cost differential with ADC programs.

Appendix G
Topical Comments and Responses

22. Funds Collected by the County

Comments have been received asserting that the funds collected by the County for pursuing AB 939 implementation are not well used. The commentors further state that the County should share Solid Waste Management Fees (tipping fees) with cities to fund cities' programs.

Cities, since they are separate jurisdictions, are responsible for funding their own local waste diversion programs. Tipping fees are used only for supporting those AB 939 programs that are mandated to the County by the State as well as financing the Countywide Household Hazardous Waste Management Program and the Countywide Public Education Programs.

23. Integrated Funding Program

Comments have been received asserting that the County needs to develop an Integrated Waste Management Plan that develops a coordinated or integrated program that provides the funding for all cities and the County to perform selected programs. The commentors further state that the Summary Plan does not provide a blueprint on how programs can be coordinated to maximize limited funds.

The purpose of the Summary Plan is to summarize all of the SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs submitted by the 88 cities and the County representing the unincorporated communities. The Summary Plan is also to present a list of programs planned or proposed for countywide coordination. Once the Summary Plan is adopted by the cities, the County Board of Supervisors, and the California Integrated Waste Management Board, a funding mechanism for developing a coordinated or integrated funding program to fund the proposed programs may be developed. In addition, the Integrated Waste Management Task Force will function as a primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis whereby intergovernmental agencies can express their ideas on the development of a proper funding mechanism to maximize available financial resources to support the proposed countywide programs. However, it is important to note that each jurisdiction is responsible for funding the programs in their own jurisdiction. This is described in each city's SRRE funding component. State law has in it provisions that allow cities to impose fees to raise the funds necessary to implement the programs.

24. Waste Calculations and Solid Waste Reporting System

Comments have been received asserting that the solid waste reporting system used by Los Angeles County is inaccurate and overestimated the amount of solid waste disposed for 1995

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

in Table 4-2, "Quantities of Solid Waste Collected." The commentors request that the County switch from a one-week-per-quarter survey methodology to the daily survey methodology used by Orange and Riverside Counties. The commentors also state that a discussion should be included in the Summary Plan explaining that the figures provided in Table 4-2 cannot be used to calculate local jurisdiction diversion levels because of the problems associated with the Base-Year and the Disposal Quantity Reporting System.

On October 27, 1994, the California Integrated Waste Management Board adopted regulations for the Disposal Reporting System pursuant to Sections 18800 through 18813 of the California Code of Regulations and Section 41821.5 of the California Public Resources Code. The regulations require all solid waste disposal facility operators/owners to provide information on a quarterly basis using one week per quarter survey methodology as to the quantities of waste disposed at their facilities by individual jurisdictions.

The CIWMB is well aware of the base-year generation and disposal reporting system data problems. However, they recognize that the 1995 disposal data represents the best available data to date. As with any new system a period of adjustment is required to educate all of the entities involved, work through the problems encountered, and bring the system fully on line. The CIWMB is presently working with various jurisdictions to correct data errors and to find alternative solutions for data problems. The Board approved the formation of the twenty-five-member Measurement Accuracy Issues Working Group in February 1996 to address this issue. The Task Force will ensure all jurisdictions are informed of developments in this area in a timely manner.

With respect to the use of a daily survey methodology by Orange and Riverside Counties, they either own, operate or have control over operation of their landfills. This is not so with Los Angeles County which has a system of both public and privately owned and operated landfills making the imposition of more frequent reporting more difficult than in other Counties.

25. Opposition to Proposed New or Expansion of Existing Landfills

Comments have been received asserting that the reference of Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and the expansion of Sunshine Canyon into the city as potential new/expanded class III landfills should be omitted from the Summary Plan.

The reference to Lopez Canyon has been deleted.

Appendix G

Topical Comments and Responses

Mission-Rustic/Sullivan Canyons have been removed from the document due to designation of the area as a part of the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area which is a unit of the National Park System. Existing Federal law prohibits the siting of new landfills within the boundary of any unit of the National Park System.

The expansion of Sunshine Canyon Class III Landfill into the City of Los Angeles remains listed as a potential expanded class III landfill. Expansion of this site will assist the County in meeting the State mandates for addressing the County's disposal needs for the next 15 years

26. Purpose of the Negative Declaration

Comments have been received asserting that the Negative Declaration is not the proper environmental document for the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan when the Summary Plan contains the Countywide Siting Element (CSE) which has an Environmental Impact Report acknowledging irreversible environmental impacts. The commentors further state that Neither the Draft Environmental Impact Report nor the Negative Declaration adequately address the impacts on the environment (land, air, water, traffic) and human health, by the use of the proposed landfills.

The CSE and the Summary Plan are two independent documents each of which are part of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan. Therefore the CSE is not part of the Summary Plan. The Summary Plan describes and summarizes the steps that will be taken by cities and the County, acting on their own or with others, to achieve the State-mandated waste diversion goals by means of reducing, reusing, recycling, and diverting waste that is generated. The CSE describes how jurisdictions in Los Angeles County plan to address the need for 15 years of disposal capacity for the residual waste which cannot be reduced, reused, recycled or diverted.

The Negative Declaration, which includes the Environmental Checklist Form, pertains only to the preparation of the Summary Plan document of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan. It does not pertain to the programs discussed within the Summary Plan. The Negative Declaration is not intended to address the Countywide Siting Element or any issue pertaining to the siting of any disposal facilities.

Please refer to the final CSE and the final Environmental Impact Report for responses to comments regarding these documents.

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX H

PUBLIC MEETING COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

[This page intentionally left blank]

Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/2/96	Susan Crawford Vila Landfill Alternatives Save Environmental Resources	1. Your Countywide Summary Plan includes praiseworthy recycling and education efforts. Why not put more emphasis in those areas? It's likely to generate far more public support.	Refer to a) the variety of existing and proposed recycling and education efforts contained in the subject document; and b) Topical Response No. 13.
4/2/96	Susan Crawford Vila Landfill Alternatives Save Environmental Resources	2. Have you taken fully into account the effect on the waste stream that recycling of green waste, glass, plastics, metals, building materials, and mixed paper recycling has?	The State has recognized the importance of recycling to further extend the life of landfills by implementing AB 939 and mandating all jurisdictions to achieve a diversion rate of 50% by the year 2000. Section 5.5 of the Summary Plan lists the various criteria that were used for selecting potential programs for implementation countywide. One of these criteria includes the objective to maximize local utilization for recovered materials. Also, refer to Topical Response No. 5.
4/2/96	Susan Crawford Vila Landfill Alternatives Save Environmental Resources	3. Did you consider new and existing technologies such as the Bedminster Bioconversion system and its effects on the waste stream?	Refer to a) Topical Response No. 16; and b) the subject document Section 4.6, "Alternative Diversion Technologies."
4/2/96	Zona Myers Citizen	Questions whether the sample questions on the Siting Element and Summary Plan handouts are a true reflection of these documents or are they indeed samples.	The fact sheet handouts are a true reflection of these documents.
4/2/96	Zona Myers Citizen	The City of Rosemond is unaware of the facility, "Cal Systems, Cal Blend Composting," which is listed as operating in a confidential area of Rosemond. Identify this confidential location.	This was a proposed facility. The project proponent has since dropped plans to develop this facility.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/4/96	Cameron Smyth Representative Assemblyman William J. "Pete" Knight	1. Questions why the County does not address the effects of recycling incentives on the need to provide new landfills in the County?	Although the County and the 88 jurisdictions are committed to diverting the maximum amount of materials from disposal facilities (refer to Chapter 2, "Goals, Policies, and Objectives"), 100% diversion is not a realistic planning projection. Some amount of disposal capacity will be required for waste that cannot be reduced, recycled, or composted. Based on the analysis as described in the Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (CSE), the amount of disposal capacity needed countywide for the 15-year planning period has been determined as required by AB 939 (refer to the CSE). The types (landfill or transformation), quantities, and locations of disposal facilities are issues that can be addressed by jurisdictions through the Task Force which will be the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis as stated under the policy for Goal 3.
4/4/96	Cameron Smyth Representative Assemblyman William J. "Pete" Knight	2. Questions why the County does not address the potential of introducing variable can rates in the unincorporated areas as a countywide policy goal to help finance compliance with AB 939?	Refer to Topical Responses No. 6 and No. 19.
4/4/96	Barbara Dore President of the Valencia Industrial Association	Questions whether the County will publish a definitive analysis of the costs and benefits of the alternatives (source reduction and recycling) to landfill disposal.	This type of analysis is beyond the purpose and scope of the Summary Plan. However, this and other concerns can be addressed by jurisdictions through the Task Force which will be the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis as stated under the policy for Goal 3.

Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/4/96	Michelle Hoffman Santa Clarita Valley Canyons Preservation Committee	1. In reference to the slide in the presentation of the illegally dumped trash in the desert, what in that slide could not be recycled?	The exact composition of the solid waste that was depicted in the subject slide is unknown. The purpose of the slide was to illustrate that illegal dumping frequently occurs in many parts of the County. The recyclability of any material is dependent upon several factors which include the cost to collect and process the material as well as the market demand for the material.
4/4/96	Michelle Hoffman Santa Clarita Valley Canyons Preservation Committee	2. Instead of spending time siting landfills, why is the County not out finding markets for recyclables?	Refer to Topical Response No. 17.
4/4/96	Mary Merritt	Comments regarding importance of recycling.	Comment noted.
4/4/96	Joan Dunn	1. Questions if the County will pledge to revise its goals and policies to encourage the procurement of recycled products to encourage development of markets for recyclables.	The objectives for Goal 4 have been revised and include items which will promote the purchase of recycled products. Refer also to Topical Responses No. 17 and No. 18.
4/4/96	Joan Dunn	2. Questions the amount of recycled product that the County has actually purchased thus far.	Refer to Topical Response No. 18.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/4/96	Maisie Ives, Santa Clarita Civic Assoc.	Has the County conducted proper long term costing of landfilling versus the cost of investing in better recycling and diversion measures? Why is this not addressed fully in the Summary Plan or Siting Element?	<p>Although the County and the 88 jurisdictions are committed to diverting the maximum amount of materials from disposal facilities (refer to Chapter 2, "Goals, Policies, and Objectives"), 100% diversion is not a realistic planning projection. Some amount of disposal capacity will be required (please refer to the CSE).</p> <p>The primary cost to site and develop any facility, whether it is a recycling or disposal facility, is borne by the private sector developer.</p> <p>Whether disposal capacity should continue to come primarily from landfills rather than transformation facilities is an issue that can be addressed by jurisdictions through the Task Force which will be the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis as stated under the policy for Goal 3.</p>

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/10/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	In preparing this document, you have followed the spirit, the intent, and the letter of AB 939. It was the spirit of the law to pursue a hierarchy of waste disposal priorities, for which land filling is the last priority. You do not even list those priorities in your document. The intent was to promote safe and efficient alternatives to land filling. You give them only cursory mention. And the letter was that you must plan for that waste which cannot be recycled, reduced or composted. Your plan anticipates landfilling 50 percent of all the waste generated in the County, whether or not it can be recycled, reduced, or composted. As a consequence, it is possible that you have over estimated the need for landfill capacity by as much as 50 percent, not to mention the fact that you may have violated the law.	The intent of AB 939 was not to promote alternatives to landfilling but to address the potential loss of critical infrastructure facility capacity to protect the health and safety of the residents of the State. In addressing this issue, source reduction, recycling and composting were determined to be programs that would help to protect the existing landfill capacity. The Summary Plan's entire focus is to address those three areas and summarize the efforts of the 89 jurisdictions in Los Angeles County. Please refer to the Countywide Siting Element for facility siting questions. Also, refer to Topical Responses No. 3, No. 4, and No. 9.
4/10/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	1. I believe the documents have underestimated diversion potential. For example, they assume we will achieve 50 percent recycling by the year 2000, and that for the subsequent 50 years there will be no increase in technology markets. That is highly unlikely. The City of Los Angeles has clearly stated in both of their documents that they intend to reach a 70-percent diversion rate by the year 2020. That calculation is not included in your projections.	Refer to Topical Response No. 4.
4/10/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	2. The potential for dealing with the upswing in economic recovery through special plans for recycling of construction debris as the City of Los Angeles did so successfully with your assistance during the Northridge earthquake was ignored or underestimated.	Refer to Goal 2, Objective 3.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/10/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	3. The Summary Plan notes that Los Angeles County is the largest employer in the County. What are the total tonnages that are disposed by all County departments and agencies, and the aggregate percentage diversion rates for that waste generated?	This information is not currently available, but the need for it is addressed in Goal 7.
4/10/96	Tom Kelleher Hacienda Heights I m p r o v e m e n t Association	Will the County show its absolute commitment to the hierarchy of AB 939 in expanding its diversion efforts and by revising the documents accordingly?	Refer to Chapter 2, "Goals, Policies, and Objectives" and to Topical Response No. 7.
4/10/96	Bob Issacson Citizen	1. Why don't we have recommendations in here to charge for garbage disposal by the pound?	Refer to Topical Response No. 6.
4/10/96	Bob Issacson Citizen	2. Why don't we have a law or even a program encouraging people to plant material that is drought resistant which reduces the amount of green waste?	Refer to Goal 1, Objective 2 and Topical Response No. 19.
4/10/96	Louis Petrelli Citizen	I was part of the Master Composting Program that Los Angeles County had and they've terminated it. Yet you're saying that composting is going to be one of the major means of getting rid of green waste and brown waste?	In February 1996, the Master Composting Program was expanded and renamed the Countywide Yard Waste Management Program. The intent of this new program is to reduce the amount of residential yard waste that is currently being disposed of through an extensive education and public outreach effort. The educational efforts are further enhanced by the operation and maintenance of 10 backyard composting demonstration facilities and five newly developed grasscycling and xeriscape gardening demonstration facilities. A contractor has been hired to instruct members of the community on how to compost rather than continuing to utilize volunteer "master composters."

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/11/96	Pat Saleore Citizen	The Summary Plan's goals, policies, and objectives do not adequately reflect the Cities' goals, policies, and objectives.	Refer to Topical Response No. 10.
4/11/96	Margaret Taylor Citizen	Why didn't the County propose a yard waste ban in the Summary Plan?	Refer to Topical Responses No. 5 and No. 19.
4/11/96	Allan Cameron Landfill Alternatives Save Environmental Resources and Santa Clarita Organization for Planning the Environment	1. Why are landfill alternatives not properly discussed in the subject documents?	Chapter 4 of the Summary Plan which has been revised to include Section 4.6, "Alternative Diversion Technologies."
4/11/96	Allan Cameron Landfill Alternatives Save Environmental Resources and Santa Clarita Organization for Planning the Environment	2. What is the "true" cost to recycle?	This type of analysis is beyond the purpose and scope of the Summary Plan. However, this and other concerns can be addressed by jurisdiction through the Task Force which will be the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis as stated under the policy for Goal 3.
4/11/96	Marsha McLean Santa Clarita Valley Canyons Preservation Committee	1. Why doesn't the County, in coordination with the Cities, consider establishing a variable-can rate system, since 65 cities want to adopt it and it has been seven years since the passage of AB 939?	Refer to Topical Response No. 6.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/11/96	Marsha McLean Santa Clarita Valley Canyons Preservation Committee	2. The County should make variable-can rates and other source reduction methods a countywide priority to reflect the hierarchy of AB 939.	The County is committed to achieving the diversion goals of AB 939; however, individual jurisdictions cannot be mandated to implement specific diversion programs or policies. Refer to Topical Response No. 19.
4/11/96	Glenn Bailey Foundation for Resource Conservation	The 25% and 50% diversion goals are too low and should be increased to 100%.	Refer to Topical Response No. 4.
4/11/96	Bryan Gordon Save Our Mountains, Inc.	1. The County should charge residents the "true" cost of disposing their solid waste.	Refer to Topical Response No. 6.
4/11/96	Bryan Gordon Save Our Mountains, Inc.	2. The County should establish a variable-can rate system.	Refer to topical Response No. 6.
4/11/96	Irma Dobbyn Tarzana Property Owners Association, Inc.	The County should educate the business community about recycling.	The County's Commercial/Industrial Technical Assistance Program is currently implemented in the unincorporated areas of the County and serves to educate the business community about recycling. Refer to Section 5.5 in the Summary Plan.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/11/96	John Richardson Community Recycling and Resource Recovery, Inc.	What is the County doing to encourage the opening of regional mixed-waste processing facilities?	The County actively promotes the Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ) Program, which is administered by the California Integrated Waste Management Board. Through this program, eligible businesses may borrow up to 50 percent of the cost of a project, such as the construction of a mixed-waste processing facility. Refer to Section 4.5 in the Summary Plan for more information about the RMDZ Program.
4/16/96	Frank Kortum North Valley Coalition	1. When you take away this raw material (green waste), doesn't that make it more difficult for those composting markets to develop?	The County is committed to promoting viable diversion strategies. The use of greenwaste as alternative daily cover is recognized as a legitimate diversion strategy by the passage of AB 1647. The County is also committed to developing markets for recycled and composted material. Toward that end, the County actively promotes the Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ) Program administered by the State. Refer to Section 4.5 in the Summary Plan for more information about the RMDZ Program.
4/16/96	Frank Kortum North Valley Coalition	2. Isn't it true that if you restrict the supply and put all of the green waste into daily cover, that it makes it more difficult for a composting operator to come in and be a viable operator?	Refer to response above.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/16/96	Frank Kortum North Valley Coalition	3. Wouldn't it be preferable to make more of the green waste material available rather than put it on the landfill as daily cover?	The County has no authority to regulate the flow of greenwaste or any other diverted material.
4/16/96	Frank Kortum North Valley Coalition	4. What is the status of the Bio-Gro composting facility?	The applicant for the proposed Wheelabrator Composting Facility (BioGro) has appealed a previously denied Conditional Use Permit (CUP).
4/16/96	Nora Schumacher SWCAG-District 12 & North Valley Coalition	1. On the April 4th meeting, several speakers pointed out that creating excess landfill capacity will lead to reduced tipping fees thereby reducing the incentive to recycle. You responded that you are unaware of the significant relationship between cheap landfill rates and incentive to recycle. Do you wish to respond to that?	Refer to Topical Response No. 21.
4/16/96	Nora Schumacher SWCAG-District 12 & North Valley Coalition	2. When are you going to look at the alternatives instead of siting more landfills?	Goal 6 has been revised to include a commitment by the Task Force to investigate and report on alternative technologies. In addition, Section 4.6, "Alternative Diversion Technologies" has been added to the Summary Plan.
4/16/96	Nora Schumacher SWCAG-District 12 & North Valley Coalition	3. Why do you rely upon the private sector to do the job of transforming waste via newer alternatives instead of the County taking this responsibility?	The County is not in a position to risk taxpayer dollars on speculative new technologies due to already restricted budgets. However, we will work to assist private industry in locating appropriate sites for proposed facilities.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/17/96	Bea Antenore Citizen	1. Has the 1995 goal been reached, or how is it doing?	The results for 1995 indicate that the County and the 88 cities in the County area have achieved the 25% diversion goal. The Annual Reports indicating these results have been submitted by most cities to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for review. It is anticipated that the Annual Reports will be reviewed and approved by the CIWMB in 1997.
4/17/96	Bea Antenore Citizen	2. Is each city graded by itself?	Yes. Each jurisdiction is required to meet the goals of AB 939 individually.
4/17/96	Bea Antenore Citizen	3. How about Long Beach, has the 1995 goal been reached?	This question should be referred to the City of Long Beach.
4/18/96	Jill Klajic City Councilperson of the City of Santa Clarita	1. The reports do not focus on source reduction and recycling as required by AB 939.	The Summary Plan focuses on diversion efforts, summarizes the jurisdictions' SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs, and lays out a plan for countywide coordination. It also provides for coordination to assist in developing programs and various forms of materials needed to assist in diversion efforts.
4/18/96	Jill Klajic City Councilperson of the City of Santa Clarita	2. The goals and objectives do not reflect the cities' needs for regional composting and material recovery facilities.	Also, refer to Topical Response No. 3. Refer to Goal 1 in Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan. The siting of materials recovery facilities and composting facilities is specifically stated as one objective of that goal.
4/18/96	Jeff Seymour Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	1. A variable can rate policy should be enacted in the unincorporated County areas.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 6 and No. 11.

Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/18/96	Jeff Seymour Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	2. A countywide goal of variable can rate pricing should be recommended to all Cities in the County by 1997.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 6.
4/18/96	Jeff Seymour Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	3. The County should reduce the need for landfills by focusing its efforts on waste reduction measures.	Refer to Topical Response No. 13 and Chapter 2, "Goals, Policies, and Objectives."
4/18/96	Jeff Seymour Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	4. The County should draw from the many successes around the country and create a partnership with the private sector to develop incentives to recycle.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 16, No. 10, and Goal 3.
4/18/96	Damian Durrant Save Your Forest Coalition	1. The County should adopt a policy to ban inert materials such as yard waste, wood, corrugated paper, and construction and demolition debris at Class III landfills.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 5 and No. 19.
4/18/96	Damian Durrant Save Your Forest Coalition	2. The County should adopt a policy to site more regional recycling and material recovery facilities.	Refer to Goal 1, Objective 5.
4/18/96	Damian Durrant Save Your Forest Coalition	3. Real composters cannot compete with the artificially low price of \$9 per ton for yard waste charged at the Puente Hills Landfill which uses it as ADC. This goes against the County's mandate for market development of composting.	Refer to Topical Response No. 21.
4/18/96	Damian Durrant Save Your Forest Coalition	4. The County needs to implement more aggressive waste diversion goals similar to the 70% goal of the City of Los Angeles. The County also needs specific waste prevention goals similar to other counties.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 4 and No. 12.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/18/96	Damian Durrant Save Your Forest Coalition	5. The County should adopt a policy to site more regional composting facilities.	The objectives for Goal 1 have been revised to include a commitment to enhance cooperative efforts to site needed composting facilities.
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	1. The plan does not accurately reflect the market changes occurring from composting and material recovery.	The Summary Plan acknowledges that market demand for recycled goods and recyclable materials is an important factor in achieving the waste diversion goals of AB 939. However, market demand, by nature, fluctuates over time as a function of international macroeconomic forces which are beyond the County's ability to significantly influence or predict. As such, the strategies proposed in the Summary Plan may not reflect current market changes. This does not invalidate the proposed strategies since the Summary Plan recognizes and addresses this issue. Section 4.5 discusses the Recycling Market Development Zones and the role they play in stimulating market demand.
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	2. The goals and policies do not contain any incentives, penalties, fees, or per can charges to illustrate to the public the real cost of land filling.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 6 and No. 19.
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	3. The plan does not contain any bans at the landfills on items such as inerts, wood, and paper.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 5 and No. 19.
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	4. The County is still allowing sludge to be landfilled.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 15 and No. 19.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	5. The County should look out-of-County for existing composting facilities as many other organizations in the County are doing.	Refer to Goal 1, Objective 5.
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	6. The plan does not contain any deadlines or measurable objectives: how does the County know that the plan is implemented if nothing is measured at the end?	Refer to Topical Response No. 2.
4/18/96	Mike Lewis Alliance for Innovative Resource Management	7. There is no recognition of the City (of Los Angeles') goal of going beyond the 50% recycling and reduction.	Refer to Topical Response No. 4.
4/18/96	Allan Cameron Landfill Alternatives Save Environmental Resources and Santa Clarita Organization for Planning the Environment	The Bedminster technology would be able to handle Los Angeles County waste disposal needs.	The objectives for Goal 6 have been revised to include a commitment by the Task Force to investigate and report on alternative technologies. In Addition, Section 4.6, "Alternative Diversion Technologies" has been added to the Summary Plan and includes a discussion on the Eweson Digester Technology, which is marketed by the Bedminster Bioconversion Corporation
4/18/96	Maribel Marin Natural Resources Defense Council	1. The Superior Court has ruled that the recycling of yard waste by using it as landfill alternative daily cover is illegal.	On September 27, 1996, Assembly Bill 1647 was signed into law. This law declares that the beneficial reuse of materials in the construction and operation of a solid waste landfill, including the use of alternative daily cover, constitutes diversion through recycling.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/18/96	Maribel Marin Natural Resources Defense Council	2. The NRDC recommends that the plan be rewritten to incorporate diversion plans that legitimately achieve AB 939 requirements.	The list of proposed countywide programs in Section 5.5 of the Summary Plan is not intended to be a closed list. Goal 2 includes a policy which encourages the development of new diversion programs.
4/18/96	Maribel Marin Natural Resources Defense Council	3. The NRDC recommends that specific goals above 50% be set along the lines of the City of Los Angeles' 70% diversion goal and include quantitative goals for composting, recycling, and waste reduction options.	Refer to Topical Response No. 4. Countywide quantitative goals for composting, recycling, and waste reduction, although not included in the Summary Plan, can be an issue for discussion through the Task Force which will be the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis as stated in the policy for Goal 3.
4/18/96	Maribel Marin Natural Resources Defense Council	4. The NRDC recommends that an advisory committee composed of environmental groups, business that are impacted by the plan, and citizen groups, be brought together to help develop these goals and options.	The Task Force is the designated body responsible for providing the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a countywide basis as stated in Goal 3.
4/18/96	Maribel Marin Natural Resources Defense Council	5. The NRDC and other environmental organizations issue their support to Bio-Gro's Antelope Valley facility.	Comment noted.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	1. Show in the Summary Plan specific activities, time lines, and clear reporting for its diversion efforts similar to the tracking of landfill capacity set up in the Action Plan.	Refer to Topical Response No. 2.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	2. Implement a far more aggressive buy-recycled policy for the County and its contractors with targeted goals for all products.	Refer to Goals 4 and 7 and Topical Response No. 18.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	3. Publicize the two drop-off centers for recycled materials and add mixed paper to the list of materials accepted for recycling.	Refer to Topical Response No. 5.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	4. Cease landfilling shredded tires and contract to recycle the tires instead at the tire recycling facility which opened in March (1996) in Los Angeles County.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 14, No.19, and to Goal 6 objectives.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	5. Have all County agencies recycle, track, and report the disposal and diversion rate for all County operations.	Refer to Goals 7 and 8 and their respective policies and objectives.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	6. Improve recycling in the unincorporated County areas through the mechanisms of the Garbage Disposal Districts and County Ordinances. For example, mixed paper can be added to the list of materials accepting for recycling.	Refer to Topical Response No. 11.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	7. Improve the assessment of diversion and landfill needs by conducting and reporting annual waste composition studies at all County operated landfills.	Refer to Topical Response No. 5.
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	8. Greatly improve the scale and effectiveness of recycling, waste processing, and source reduction activities at the four landfills and one transfer station operated by the County Sanitation Districts. Currently, recycling at these landfills are inadequate and not publicly reported.	Refer to Topical Responses No. 8 and No. 19.

**Appendix H
Public Meeting Comments and Responses**

Date	Speaker	Summary of Comment/Question	Response
4/18/96	Joan Edwards Consultant	9. Substantially improve the County's Recycling Market Development Zone's outreach activities. Outreach and loans under this program have been minimal.	Refer to Topical Response No. 17.

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX I

**COMMENT LETTERS RECEIVED
AND SPECIFIC RESPONSES**

[This page intentionally left blank]

This Appendix contains copies of letters received by the Department commenting on the Preliminary Draft of the Summary Plan. Responses have been provided to the right of specific comments in each letter. Several of the letters also contain comments regarding the Preliminary Draft Negative Declaration, the Preliminary Draft Countywide Siting Element, and the Preliminary Draft Environmental Impact Report. Responses to these comments may be found in the appropriate appendices in the Final Drafts of those documents.

[This page intentionally left blank]

Appendix I
Comment Letters Received and Specific Responses

Page	City/Agency/ Organization	Author	Title	Letter Date
Comments Received from Cities				
I-1	South El Monte	Steven A. Henley	Assistant City Manager	4/8/96
I-2	Irwindale	David M. Polis	Consultant with Polis Associates	4/8/96
I-8	Pomona	Elena E. Qualls	Integrated Waste Coordinator	4/25/96
I-9	Huntington Park	Christina Kysella	Staff Analyst	5/6/96
I-11	Bell	Annette S. Peretz	Director of Development Services	5/14/96
I-12	Commerce	Richard King	Environmental Services Coordinator	5/15/96
I-15	*Montebello	Aram Fundukian	Administrative Analyst	6/17/96
I-16	Monterey Park	Chris Jeffers	City Manager	6/14/96
I-20	Signal Hill	Richard P. Lundahl	Director of Public Works	6/11/96
I-22	*West Covina	Michael Touhey	Mayor	6/12/96
I-25	Baldwin Park	Carl Yeats	Director of Admin. Services	6/17/96
I-27	Burbank	Michael J. McIninch	Administrative Analyst	6/18/96
I-28	Glendale	Kerry Morford	Director of Public Works	6/12/96
I-29	Santa Monica	Craig Perkins	Director of Environmental and Public Works Mgmt. Dept.	6/12/96
I-31	Vernon	Lewis J. Pozebon	Director of Environmental Health Dept.	6/17/96
I-35	Westside Cities: Beverly Hills, Culver City, Santa Monica, West Hollywood	Westside Cities Waste Management Committee		6/12/96

* Attachments to the comment letter are not included in this Appendix but are on file with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works.

Appendix I
Comment Letters Received and Specific Responses

Page	City/Agency/ Organization	Author	Title	Letter Date
I-37	Hidden Hills	Heather Lea Wurtz	Recycling Coordinator/ Charles Abbott Assoc.	6/17/96
I-39	Palos Verdes Estates	Heather Lea Wurtz	Recycling Coordinator/ Charles Abbott Assoc.	6/17/96
I-40	Los Angeles	Delwin A. Biagi	Director of the Bureau of Sanitation	6/17/96
I-45	Glendora	Arthur E. Cook	City Manager	6/13/96
I-47	La Puente	Steve Hauerwaas	Management Asst./Solid Waste Coord.	9/12/96
I-48	Pasadena	Jane A. Hinshaw	Public Works Supervisor	10/14/96
I-50	Walnut	Jack W. Yoshino	Senior Management Assistant	10/17/96
I-51	Glendale	Robert Weger	Integrated Waste Administrator	10/17/96
I-53	*Montebello	Aram Fundukian	Administrative Analyst	10/15/96
I-54	Glendale	Kerry Morford	Director of Public Works	10/17/96
Comments Received from Government Agencies				
I-57	Kern County Waste Mgmt Dept.	Susan L. Reid	RMDZ Coordinator	3/26/96
I-58	Cal-EPA/CIWMB	Robert C. Frazee	Vice Chairman	4/2/96
I-59	Governor's Office of Planning and Research	Antero A. Rivasplata	Chief, State Clearinghouse	4/12/96
I-61	*So. Ca. Assoc. of Governments	Viviane Doche-Boulos	Intergovernmental Review	4/23/96
I-68	*So. Ca. Assoc. of Governments	Viviane Doche-Boulos	Intergovernmental Review	4/30/96
I-75	Governor's Office of Planning and Research	Antero A. Rivasplata	Chief, State Clearinghouse	6/17/96

* Attachments to the comment letter are not included in this Appendix but are on file with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works.

Appendix I
Comment Letters Received and Specific Responses

Page	City/Agency/ Organization	Author	Title	Letter Date
Comments Received from the Public				
I-79	LASER	Susan Villa	Resident	4/2/96
I-82	J. Edwards and Associates	Joan Edwards	Resident	4/10/96
I-84	Friends of Caballero Canyon	Jill Swift	President	4/18/96
I-86	BKK Corporation	Clarence Gieck	Director Technical Services	4/22/96
I-88	League of Women Voters/ Los Angeles County	Jean E. Berger, Richard Seeley	President, Energy Director	4/21/96
I-90	Resident	Bryan Gordon	Resident	4/23/96
I-91	Resident	Peggy McCain	Resident	4/28/96
I-92	Resident	Royall Brown	Resident	6/13/96
I-93	Upper Mandeville Canyon Assoc.	Betsey Landis	Vice-President	6/17/96
I-96	Sierra Club	Andres Cano	Solid Waste Consultant	6/17/96
I-103	Southern California Council on Environment and Development	Kathleen Gildred	Director	6/20/96
I-106	Resident	Bryan Gordon	Resident	8/23/96
I-109	NRDC	Maribel Marin	Working Group Coordinator	9/16/96
I-119	AFIRM	William Hussey, Jr.	Regional Coordinator	10/17/96
I-147	S.C.V. Canyons Preservation Committee	Marsha A. McLean	President	10/15/96

* Attachments to the comment letter are not included in this Appendix but are on file with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works.

[This page intentionally left blank]



CITY OF SOUTH EL MONTE

1415 N. SANTA ANITA AVENUE
SOUTH EL MONTE, CALIFORNIA 91733
(818) 579-5540 • (213) 686-0460 • FAX (818) 579-2107

April 8, 1996

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Re: Countywide Siting Element

RECEIVED

APR 09 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION


Gentlemen:

Please be advised that at their regular meeting of March 26, 1996 the City Council of the City of South El Monte, by a minute action vote of 4-eyes and one (1) abstention, approved the following documents as submitted by your offices:

1. The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Plan) dated January, 1996.
2. The Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January, 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices.
3. The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January, 1996 (SCH No. 95011048).
4. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January, 1996.

Should you have any questions related to this matter, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully,


Steve A. Henley
Assistant City Manager/
Director of Public Works

CI

CI Statement noted



ENVIRONMENTAL & PUBLIC INFORMATION CONSULTANTS
RECEIVED

April 8, 1996

David M. Smith
Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

APR 16 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

RE: Los Angeles County Siting Element and Summary Plan

Dear Mr. Smith:

The City of Inverdale has reviewed the draft reports as referenced together with the *Draft Environmental Impact report on the Countywide Siting Plan and the proposed Negative Declaration on the Summary Plan*. The following includes both comments on the plan and corrections as they relate to the City of Inverdale.

The Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee's Integrated Waste Management Task Force and the Environmental Programs Division are to be congratulated on producing a comprehensive set of documents dealing with such a complex issue as solid waste management in Los Angeles County.

Out-of-County Disposal

Our major concern with the plan has to do with out-of-county disposal capacity. We note the statement (*Summary Plan, Volume 1, Page 5-24*) that:

"Preparing the Summary Plan provides the County, the cities and other interested parties with the opportunity to determine the future direction of integrated waste management in Los Angeles County. It is important to determine what programs must be implemented, what barriers to overcome, and what infrastructure needs must be addressed in order to achieve the 50 percent diversion goal by the year 2000."

We note that in several instances in *The Plan* reference is made to the fact that a shortfall in disposal capacity for Los Angeles County jurisdictions could occur by 1999. The projections are

800 579-4979 805 641-9422 Fax 805 641-1590
Printed on Recycled Paper
Certified Woman-Owned Business Enterprise

P.O. Box 13657, Berkeley, California 94704
P.O. Box 1304, Mercer Island, Washington 98040
2136 Palomar Avenue, Ventura, California 93001

based on the assumption that the various jurisdictions are diverting 25% of the waste stream and will be making sufficient progress to achieve 50% diversion by 2000.

In our view, this is not a very realistic assumption, nor are the options presented for future in-county disposal. We question whether efforts to develop landfill capacity in Mission Hills or Santa Clarita would even be consummated. Not only is Los Angeles County rapidly running out of in-county capacity, but a significant amount of tonnage is being imported from neighboring counties, thereby depleting available capacity.

The answer to us is quite simple, we must develop landfill capacity out-of-county. We believe the answer lies in rail-haul. Existing landfill sites could be developed into intermodal loading facilities where waste could be loaded and hauled by train to remote disposal sites. This should be occurring today before existing capacity is depleted.

By preserving existing capacity at several in-county landfill sites, the County would have emergency disposal capacity in the event of service interruption - whether labor strikes, natural disasters or other causes. By maintaining the current sites, existing traffic patterns are preserved.

As you are well aware, traffic patterns are a critical issue in attempting to site any solid waste facility, whether a landfill, material recovery facility, transfer station or intermodal facility. Once an existing landfill is closed, residents can reasonably expect an end to the endless stream of rubbish packer trucks on their thoroughfares.

But when we review the Draft Environmental Impact Report, also prepared by the Environmental Programs Division we read:

"The Action Plan also recognizes the need for out-of-county solid waste disposal facilities in the long term."

In the long term?

We find no definition of long term in the various documents comprising *The Plan and Countywide Siting Element*, but even taken at face value, it appears to be a luxury the County can ill afford.

We note that the County's total disposed tonnage dropped significantly from 1980 to 1985, but was that due to increased recycling or a reflection in the economy? *Figure 4-1 of the Siting Element* presents an alarming trend.

Consider that an 11 % increase in the County's population is anticipated by 2000. Taxable sales

C2.1

C2.1

The development of rail haul as a disposal option will a) require intergovernmental cooperation, and b) not count towards increasing diversion rates, which is the ultimate goal of AB 939. Additionally, rail-haul, since it is a disposal program, is a topic discussed in the Countywide Siting Element. Refer to that document for a discussion of rail-haul.


are expected to continue their upward trend, and a corresponding increase in consumer spending/disposed trash can be anticipated despite our best waste reduction efforts.

The future direction, the program to be implemented, the barriers to be over come, and the infrastructure needs are the challenges to be addressed today.

Given all of this, we believe that securing out-of-county disposal capacity should be the number one priority of Los Angeles County, and not something out there in the "long term."

Sincerely,

POLIS ASSOCIATES, INC.



David M. Polis

enclosure

CC: David Caretto, City Manager
Carlos Alvarado, City Engineer

SUMMARY PLAN VOLUME 1		THE PLAN
Page	Reference	Comment
3-14	Table 3-14	Department responsible should be Public Works
4-6	Table 4-1	Service is provided by Franchise for both residential and nonresidential waste generators. Also number of nonresidential haulers should be 6.
4-16	Table 4-4	Irwindale was not included in this matrix although the City did indicate that there would be future needs
6-67	Procurement Cooperatives	State of Maryland's procurement cooperative has been the model for this undertaking. The state was able to make recycled paper available to all jurisdictions, school districts, etc., more than a dozen years ago through a warehouse arrangement. This is one area where LA County could provide a beneficial service to local jurisdictions and make a significant market development impact.
n/a	Table 6-1	Existing Source Reduction Programs. Matrix should show Irwindale providing (1) Rate Structure Modifications associated with its AB 938 fee, including disposal fee modifications and quantity-based user fees; (2) Economic Incentives (taxes); (3) Technical Assistance (waste evaluations, commercial sector, educational efforts, nonprocurement activities); (4) Regulatory Programs (planning and reporting requirements)
n/a	Table 6-3	Existing Recycling Programs. Matrix show Irwindale providing: (1) Nonresidential sector (organized municipal program); (2) Supportive policies (anticaravenging policy, business permit requirements, mandatory hauler service requirements, franchised recycling services, education/promotion, technical assistance)
n/a	Table 6-5	Existing Composting Programs. Matrix should show Irwindale: (1) Residential pickup service, Christmas tree program, technical assistance) (2) Supportive Policies (education/promotion, technical assistance)
n/a	Table 6-7	Existing Special Waste Programs. Matrix should show Irwindale provides: (1) tire recycling, recycling of street maintenance material
n/a	Table 6-9	Existing Education and Public Information Program: (1) Newsletter, newspaper articles and press releases, brochures and fact sheets, radio/TV PSAs, information hotlines, presentations and workshops, videos and slide shows, special events, waste evaluations, technical assistance, school specific materials, interjurisdictional cooperation)

C2.2	C2.2	The change indicated has been made. Note that on page 3-14 is Table 3-4, not Table 3-14.
C2.3	C2.3	The changes indicated have been made except for the change regarding number of nonresidential haulers. As per 12-16-96 telephone conversation with David M. Polis, the number of nonresidential haulers remains seven.
C2.4	C2.4	No changes have been made to Table 4-4 as only jurisdictions that provided sufficient specific information were included.
C2.5	C2.5	Refer to Topical Response No. 17.
C2.6	C2.6	The changes indicated have been made.

n/a	Table 5-16	Existing HW Education and Public Information Programs: (1) Newsletter, videostudio show, presentations, schools, interjurisdictional cooperation	
n/a	Table 5-19	While this is a compilation of information from NDFEs, it is incomplete based on information being gathered as a result of free on-site waste evaluations	C2.7
6-11	Table 6-1	Program Funding Sources: Invidale is shifting from General Fund to an AB 939 fee and a franchise fee	C2.8
SUMMARY PLAN VOLUME II APPENDICES			
1 of 5	Invidale	(7) Responsible department should be Public Works	
1		(8) Type of residential service: should be franchise	
1		(12) Landfills used for residential waste disposal, should include Spadra and Azusa landfills	
1		(13) Type of commercial service should be franchise	
2		(14) Number of hauler should be 7	
2		(17) Landfills should include Spadra, Azusa, Commerce WTE, Peck Road, Rollance Pt (as noted)	
2		(18) Based on information now available, the indicated tonnage for 1994 is significantly	
2		(20) Additional transportation	
2		(21) Should be franchise	
3		(2) Curbside single family commingled: Yes	
3		(14) Rate structure modifications: Yes	
3		(17) Commercial source separation: Yes	
3		(18) Commercial commingled: Yes	
3		(19) Commercial salvage operations: Yes	
4		(23) Commercial rate structure modifications: Yes	
4		(28) Other commercial programs: Yes	
4		(33) Curbside green waste: Yes	
4		(46) Construction/Demolition: Yes	
4		(41) Tires: Yes	
5		(48) Large item pickup: Yes	

C2.7 C2.7 No change has been made as Table 5-19 is a compilation of data from NDFE's and is not intended to reflect information from other sources.

C2.8 C2.8 No change has been made to Table 6-1 since information shown remains correct, as per telephone conversation with David M. Polis on 12-16-96.

C2.9 C2.9 The changes indicated have been made in the appendices.

RECEIVED
DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
1996 APR 15 PM 4: 06
MAIL CENTER
300 S. FRENCH AVE.

EPD

THE CITY OF
POMONA
Public Works Department



ROBERT A. DELOACH
Director

April 25, 1996

RECEIVED

MAY - 1 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Mr. Dave Smith
Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803

The City of Pomona's staff have reviewed the Los Angeles Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CIWMP). Listed below are comments applicable to the specific Element sections referenced. These comments and all other comments received from the City of Pomona should be addressed in the revised CIWMP.

SUMMARY PLAN

Chapter 4 Appendices Telephone Survey

Total residential waste collected for 1994 - 53,117 Landfilled - 46,763

C3 C3 The changes indicated have been made.

Diversion Program Summary


Green waste program implemented as of February '96; White Good/Appliance Recycling Program implemented January, '94.

Should you have any questions concerning the aforementioned comments, please call me at (909) 620-2392.

Sincerely,

Elena E. Qualls
Integrated Waste Coordinator

5 P.2



City of
HUNTINGTON PARK california
6900 BISSELL STREET • HUNTINGTON PARK 90255 • (213) 582-6161

RECEIVED
MAY 13 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

May 6, 1996

Mike Mojaher
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Upon reviewing "The Summary Plan, Volume 1", I would like to provide you with more accurate information about existing programs in the City of Huntington Park. The Table number, title and column name to be corrected are as follows:

Table 5-1 Existing Source Reduction Program Technical Assistance and Promotion

- Waste evaluations
- Commercial sector
- Educational efforts

Table 5-3 Existing Recycling Programs Supportive Policies

- RMDZ
- Education/Promotion
- Technical Assistance

Table 5-5 Existing Composting Programs

- LACSD Landfill Cover
- Christmas tree program
- Workshops
- Education/Promotion
- Technical Assistance
- Demonstration Site

Table 5-7 Existing Special Waste Programs

- Brown/White Periodic Collection
- Recycle Street Maintenance material

C4

C4

The changes indicated have been made. Note that Existing Household Hazardous Waste Education information is presented in Table 5-16, not Table 5-14.

Mike Mojaber
Environmental Programs Division
May 6, 1996
Page 2

Table 5-9 Existing Education and Public Information

- Articles and Press releases
- Advertisement, Fact Sheets
- Presentations and Workshops
- Special Events, exhibits and displays
- Waste Evaluations
- Technical Assistance
- School specific material
- Ongoing presentations at public schools and businesses

Table 5-14 Existing Household Hazardous Waste Education

- Utility bills "C"

If you have any specific questions regarding this, or other program information, please call me directly at (213) 584-6323.


Sincerely,



Christina Kysella,
Staff Analyst

ckr

Rolf Janssen • Mayor
George Mirabal • Mayor Pro Tem
George Francis Bass • Councilman
George Cole • Councilman
Ray Johnson • Councilman



6330 Pine Avenue
Bell, CA 90201-1291
(213) 588-6211
Fax: (213) 771-9473

May 14, 1996

Mr. Donald Wolfe, Deputy Director
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
Post Office Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

This letter is in response to your Department's request for review and comment on the Los Angeles County Draft Countywide Siting Element, Draft Countywide Integrated Waste Management Summary Plan, and related environmental documents. The City of Bell hereby submits its response as follows:

- Draft Siting Element - No Comment
 - Draft EIR - No Comment
 - Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan
- Please incorporate the following information into the Draft Summary Plan as appropriate:
1. Table 5-5 - Summary of Existing Composting Program - The City of Bell sponsors an annual Christmas Tree Recycling Program.
 2. Table 5-9 - Summary of Existing Education and Public Information Programs - In conjunction with the Southeast Economic Development Corporation (SCDC), the City of Bell was awarded a grant to conduct a waste prevention public education program utilizing the CIWMB's printed advertising materials.
 3. Table 5-15 - Summary of Selected Household Hazardous Waste Collection Programs - The City of Bell is currently participating in the CIWMB's Third Cycle Used Oil Grant Recycling Program. Residents dispose of used motor oil at certified collection centers.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the County's Draft Waste Management Documents. Should any questions arise regarding the City's comments, please contact Ms. Tina Gall of my staff at (213) 588-6211, extension 222.

Sincerely,

Annette S. Peretz
Director of Development Services

ASP:TC/vdhw

cc: Robert A. Rizzo, Chief Administrative Officer

C5

C5 The changes indicated have been made.

RECEIVED

MAY 20 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

A

DS-



CITY OF COMMERCE

Robert J. Carréjo, Mayor
Agenda Minutes, Mayor Pro Tem
Jesus M. Carrutera, Councilmember
Armando S. Meneses, Councilmember
Raul T. Romero, City Administrator

DS-

May 15, 1966

RECEIVED

MAY 20 1966

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

Harry W. Stone, Deputy Director
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

SUBJECT: COMMENTS TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN, AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS.

Dear Mr. Stone:

This letter contains our comments on the following documents which have been prepared by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Environmental Programs Division:

1. PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, INITIAL STUDY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN, OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY, COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN (INITIAL STUDY).
2. PRELIMINARY DRAFT, VOLUMES I AND II, SUMMARY PLAN, OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY, COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN (DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN).
3. DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT (SITING ELEMENT).
4. PRELIMINARY DRAFT, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, COUNTYWIDE SITING SITING ELEMENT (PRELIMINARY SITING ELEMENT).

C6.1 Comment noted.

COMMENTS TO THE DOCUMENTS

First, all of the documents were well prepared. They're logically organized and contain helpful features such as Tables of Contents, Executive Summaries, lists of appendices, pagination, bold headings, and other features that make it easy to go through the documents from start to finish, or to look up specific subject material. However, Volume II, of the Summary Plan, should include page numbers for at least the first page of each of the six listed appendices.

C6.1

1. INITIAL STUDY

SECTION V, AIR QUALITY, (6)

Is the County suggesting that the 88 cities purchase electric-powered vehicles and/or vehicles powered by propane, methanol, or natural gas? If so, then, this mitigation measure is unacceptable because of its high cost.

2. DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN

The goals, policies, and objectives have been revised to include a timetable for implementation. Refer to Chapter 2 in the Summary Plan.

C6.2

C6.2

1. Table ES-1: Goals, Policies, and Objectives: A date should accompany each objective to show when it will be implemented.

2. Table 4-2: Quantities of Solid Waste Collected: In the first column of the table, under 1990 disposal for Commerce, the amount entered should be corrected to read, 90,238 tons, along with a corresponding correction to the cubic yards. In the final Plan, the other two columns of the table should reflect the results from the 1995 disposal survey.

3. Table 5-1: Source Reduction Programs: For Commerce, place a check mark under "Commercial Sector," "Awards and Public Recognition," and "Procurement Policies and Standards".

4. Table 5-3: Recycling Programs: For Commerce, place a check mark under "Drop-Off," "Buy-Back," "Contract/Franchised/Licensed Recycling Service," and "Technical Assistance". Additionally, remarks under "Comments" should include, "Existing programs include citywide residential curbside recycling, participation in CSD greenwaste cover project, City Hall Office Paper Recycling."

5. Table 5-5: Existing Composting Programs: For Commerce, place a check mark under "Christmas Tree Program," "LACSD Landfill Cover," "Education/Promotion," "Technical Assistance". In the Comments section, add, "Compost demonstration program at City Hall".

6. Table 5-7: Existing Special Waste Programs: For Commerce, place a check mark under "Offer Periodic Collection", "Ash".

7. Table 5-9: Existing Education and Public Education Programs: For Commerce, place a check mark under "Newspaper, Articles, and Press Releases," "Advertisements Brochures, Fact Sheets," "Videos and Slide Shows," "Special Events, Exhibits, and Displays," "Recognition Awards," "Technical Assistance," and "Inter-Jurisdictional Coordination". The Comments section should state, "Commercial/Industrial sectors technical assistance and awards".

C6.3

C6.3

The changes indicated have been made.

8. **Table 5-14: Existing Household Hazardous Collection Waste Programs: For Commerce, state under Comments, state, "Load Check required for citywide residential curbside collection program".**

9. **Table 6-1: Jurisdictional Funding Sources: For Commerce, under Funding Source, add "Refuse Hauler Gross Receipts Fee".**


3. **DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT SITING ELEMENT**

1. **Typo: Page 2-10, Paragraph 2.7.3, second paragraph, first sentence, change "...have identified..." to "...have been identified..."**

4. **DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT**

No comments.

Cordially,



Richard King
Environmental Services Coordinator

RK/ir

cc: I. Gwin, Director Community Development
R. Ramirez, Asst. Director Community Development

City of Montebello



ALL AMERICA CITY
1900 W BEVERLY BOULEVARD
MONTEBELLO, CA 90640
(310) 887-1000

June 17, 1996

County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
Attn: **ARON M. WOLFE**
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Enclosed is a copy of the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan which includes our written comments. Should you have any questions or concerns regarding our comments, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (213) 887-1472 or Ana Marie Castaneda, Projects Coordinator, at (213) 887-4551.

Sincerely,

ARAM FUNDUKIAN
Administrative Analyst

AF:AMC

Attachment

The changes indicated have been made.

C7

C7

RECEIVED

JUN 17 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

CITY OF MONTEREY PARK
320 west newmark avenue • monterey park, ca 91754-2896
• municipal services center



28/100
10/3

June 14, 1996

RECEIVED

JUN 17 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Donald L. Wolfe
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

**DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN, AND
RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT COMMENTS**

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

The City of Monterey Park has reviewed the draft Countywide Siting Element (CSE), Summary Plan, and related Environmental Documents and offers the following comments. The City appreciates the large volume of data that had to be consolidated and/or analyzed to allow for the preparation of these plans in accordance with State regulations. Although the comments below are of concern to the City, overall the City supports these draft documents.

COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT

State law requires the Countywide Siting Element (CSE) to demonstrate fifteen years of permitted disposal capacity, or identify how this disposal capacity will be secured. The County's draft CSE identifies a combination of new disposal facilities and facility expansions as Los Angeles County's methods to meet this requirement. Waste export is identified only as supplemental to these activities.

1. The majority of the potential new sites identified in the CSE, as well as some of the proposed expansions are known to face strong opposition. Although these sites may not ultimately result in viable locations for the development of new disposal facilities or the expansion of existing ones, the City of Monterey Park recommends that they remain part of the CSE. Identification of these sites in the CSE does not mean that these sites will ever be developed. However, if they are removed from the CSE they cannot ever be developed as a disposal facility unless the CSE is amended. Amending the CSE is a

C8.1..... C8.1 Comment noted.

significant process as it requires approval from a majority of the County's cities representing a majority of the incorporated population.

2. As the majority of potential new sites and proposed existing facility expansions identified in the CSE face strong opposition, the possibility exists that they may not ever be developed. Thus, the City of Monterey Park recommends that waste export be complemented by MRF development be given primary roles in the plan and not just identified as supplemental activities.

Although not specifically required by the regulations, MRF development will soon be a critical component of the County's waste disposal system. Specifically, the City feels that the County and Sanitation District should pursue MRF development on already existing landfill sites. These sites are an ideal location for MRFs as they already have dealt with local land use issues and already possess a Solid Waste Facilities Permit. Use of these sites would also facilitate a regional approach to waste management.

SUMMARY PLAN

1. Table 4-1 Organization of Services (page 4-7) - Monterey Park's listing under the column labeled "Commercial - Type of Service" is incorrect. Under this column, Monterey Park is listed as "contracts". Monterey Park's commercial haulers do not require a contract to collect in the City, they require a business license. Please correct.

C8.2

C8.2 The correction indicated has been made.

2. Table 4-2 Quantities of Solid Waste Collected (p. 4-11) - This table depicts waste disposal as identified in local jurisdiction SRREs, reports from the State's Disposal Quantity Reporting System, and local jurisdiction phone surveys. Given the known problems with many jurisdictions' base year data and the inaccuracies of the Disposal Quantity Reporting System, it is unfair to list these two figures without significant explanation of the issues surrounding their reliability. For these reasons, along with the existence of the State's base-year adjustment methodology (which should also be discussed), it should be very clearly indicated that the figures provided in this table cannot be used to calculate local jurisdiction diversion levels. This discussion should include the following points.

C8.3

C8.3 Refer to Topical Response No. 24.

Base-Year Problems - On a countywide basis, the SRRE identified base-year disposal data is known to be significantly underreported. This underreporting should be mentioned. In addition, many jurisdictions, including Monterey Park are currently in the process of revising these base-year figures. This should also be noted.

Disposal Quantity Reporting System Problems - The difficulties with the accuracy of the Disposal Quantity Reporting System also require explanation. This explanation should include the inability to verify the accuracy of the waste generation sources provided by landfill customers at the disposal facilities. In addition, it should be mentioned that if a landfill customer does not know the point of origin of waste, that this waste is automatically assigned to the jurisdiction where that landfill customer is headquartered.

3. Appendices - Monterey Park Survey Form - The following items on Monterey Park's phone survey form should be corrected. Applicable areas throughout the Summary Plan should also be changed to reflect these corrections.

- 4) Contact person name should be changed From Larry Koch to Tina Lackey. Other related information is correct.
- 13) Type of Commercial Service should be changed from "contracts" to "permits/license".

The following items on Monterey Park's Recycling Implementation Programs Table should be corrected. Applicable areas throughout the Summary Plan should also be changed to reflect these corrections.

- 14) Rate Structure Modifications - Planned program column should be changed to read "yes" as this is a program identified in the City's SRRE.
- 39) Other Programs - Planned program column should be changed to read "yes" and the line "yard waste ban" added to the comments column as this is a program identified in the City's SRRE.
- 48) Large Item Pick-up - Planned program column should be changed to read "yes" as this is a program identified in the City's SRRE.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

1. Chapter Six, Environmental Impact Analysis/Mitigation Measures may be revised to clearly separate suggested mitigation measures from the analysis. They are not consistent with those identified in Table ES-4.

C8.4


C8.4 The changes indicated have been made where specified and in accordance with phone conversation on 1-15-97 with Tina Lackey.

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

No comments.

If you have any questions regarding these comments, please call Tina Lackey of the City's Public Works Department at (818)307-1383.

Yours truly,



Chris Jeffers
City Manager

TJL:CJ

cc: Ray Hamada, Planning Division



CITY OF SIGNAL HILL

2175 Cherry Avenue • Signal Hill, California 90805 • (310) 989-7300 • FAX (310) 989-7393/7391

June 11, 1996

County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P. O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RECEIVED

JUN 17 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Attention: Mr. Michael Mohajer

Subject: **Official Review of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element - File EP-2**

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Staff has reviewed the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report, and the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Summary Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration. The City would like to submit the following recommended correction:

- Table 4-2, Quantities of Solid Waste Collected within the Summary Plan, shows a Baseline Annual Disposal (1990) of 15,990 tons. This disposal tonnage was corrected to 15,350 tons by the California Integrated Waste Management Board in the staff report dated September 21, 1994 approving the City's SRRB/HHWE.

Table 4-2 also shows a 1995 Annual Disposal for the City of Signal Hill of 21,064.79 tons. There is a large discrepancy between the County disposal tons and those reported by our single waste hauler within the City, Signal Hill Disposal. Signal Hill Disposal reported a total disposal for 1995 of 14,040 tons. While these figures do not represent self-haul disposal, a discrepancy of approximately 7,025 tons cannot be accounted for with the limited number of companies doing self-haul within our City.

After careful review of the solid waste reporting system used by Los Angeles County, we find it hard to accept the total countywide Annual Disposal of 12,181,064.07 tons based on the one week per quarter survey methodology. This non-scientific survey methodology provides many opportunities for introducing catastrophic errors to the data base, and should not be used. Orange and Riverside Counties are operating their reporting system using a daily survey method. This system eliminates short term aberrations to the normal disposal practices of participating agencies.

- C9.1 The changes indicated have been made.
- C9.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 24.

Official Review of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element - File EP-2

June 11, 1996

Page 2

The City of Signal Hill requests that the survey method be reviewed and modified to help eliminate the possible introduction of errors by switching to the daily survey methodology used by Orange and Riverside Counties. Please keep us informed of any possible changes the County is considering to the annual reporting methodology, and any possible solutions to the obviously distorted disposal tonnages for 1995.

If you should require any additional information, please contact Mr. Chris Parmenter, Civil Engineer Assistant, at (310) 989-7359.

Sincerely,



RICHARD P. LUNDAHL
Director of Public Works/City Engineer

RPL/crp

(Letter C10 is from the City of West Covina.)

June 12, 1996

Mr. Harry W. Stone
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460

RECEIVED
JUN 17 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Dear Mr. Stone:

Subject: Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element; Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan; and related Environmental Documents

The City has completed a review of the subject documents. Absent another person to whom we can address our comments, this letter is directed to you.

The City Council received a report from the City's Waste Management and Environmental Quality Commission on their analysis of the documents. On May 21, 1996, the City Council unanimously approved motion to transmit these comments and state the City's position that:

We support the Siting Element as a short-term, fair-share approach for waste disposal that does not rely on any one geographical area, provided that the next step is taken to develop a truly integrated waste management plan with the cities and the county.

Comments

Our review has concluded that the Siting Element and Summary Plan fall short of being adequate for the county and the cities in the county. The Siting Element includes possible sites and text that, unless deleted or modified, some cities may act to disapprove the Element. If not approved, the Siting Element may have economic consequences on the county and the cities in the county.

The Summary Plan does not take an "integrated" approach to management of waste in the County, from waste production to disposal. The Summary Plan is not integrated with the Siting Element. The Summary Plan leaves each city to their own devices to develop and fund their programs. While programs are listed, little has been done to coordinate programs to maximize the impact of

C10.1 The Summary Plan is a separate document with different purposes than the Countywide Siting Element (CSE). The Summary Plan focuses on diversion efforts, summarizes the jurisdictions' SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs, and lays out a plan for Countywide coordination. The CSE focuses on disposal capacity need. Cities are separate jurisdictions and, by law, are responsible for designing, implementing, and funding their own programs to best fit the needs of their residents. Coordination is being provided to assist in developing programs and various forms of materials needed for their programs.

C10.1

Also refer to Topical Responses No. 10, No. 12 and, for funding, No. 23.

scarce financial resources. The County has a funding source that is not shared with the cities in a significant way.

SOLUTION

The County needs to develop an Integrated Waste Management Plan that:

1. Assesses where and what wastes are produced.
2. Develops a coordinated program to achieve source reduction, recycling, composting, and education.
3. Determines the general location of facilities to implement the waste diversion programs. Facilities include transfer stations, material recovery facilities, and disposal facilities.
4. Approaches the development of facilities as a private sector and public sector partnership. Often the private sector has the expertise and the public sector has the favored financing.
5. Is based on the cooperation of all 88 cities and the County.
6. Provides the funding for all cities and County to do 1, 2, & 3 above.

C10.2

C10.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 5.

C10.3

C10.3 Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

We recognize the fact that the County alone cannot achieve the needed Integrated Waste Management Plan. The Los Angeles County Waste Management Task Force does not have the authority or the means to create an Integrated Waste Management Plan. The County and all the cities in the County are the only means for creating an Integrated Waste Management Plan.

Therefore we are asking the County and the Task Force to:

1. Find a way to provide a mechanism for all 88 cities and the county to actively participate in the production of an Integrated Waste Management Plan.
A possible approach would be to create subregional groupings of all county cities. These groupings could provide a local forum for input on local needs. These groups would select representatives to work with or on the Task Force to develop appropriate "coordinated programs" and policies for the countywide Plan. These representatives could either supplement the Task Force or form the basis for a revised Task Force membership that would have a greater number of seats. The number of seats could be similar to the SCAG approach to the subregions or some other workable number.
2. Draft new or supplemental waste diversion and management policies and programs that will address how the cities and the county in Los Angeles County can work together to meet the state mandates.

An approach could be to aggressively seek the input from all participating jurisdictions through meetings within the subregions. At a minimum, an additional goal should be adopted whereby: "In response to the expressed needs of local jurisdictions, the county and all the cities in the county should implement a countywide waste diversion strategy." Coordinated programs are needed to address areas that cannot be adequately addressed by individual jurisdictions. Examples include the use of television and radio promotions of waste reduction and consideration of coordinated approaches to the recycling of construction and demolition waste.

After drafting possible policy statements, we need to aggressively seek the reaction and constructive suggestions from all participants to produce a final list of policies for the county.

3. Develop a coordinated or integrated funding program to fund the selected programs and provide the funds to plan for the future.

We need to assess funding options for the selected programs, including the County Waste Management Fee. Select the funding approach that makes the most sense and will benefit all of the participants in the program.

The City of West Covina believes these steps need to be taken if we are to achieve the required waste diversion, manage our waste, and control costs to the public. The City requests steps be taken to develop an Integrated Waste Management Plan, including a Facility Siting Plan, that the county and all cities can support. In the meantime, we support the Siting Element as a short-term, fair-share approach for waste disposal that does not rely on any one geographical area, provided that the next step is taken to develop a truly Integrated Waste Management Plan with all the cities and the County.

If you have any questions or need further information, please call Michael Miller, Environmental Services Director, at (818) 814-8411.

Yours truly,



Michael Touhey
Mayor

CC: All Los Angeles County Cities



June 17, 1996

Mr. Harry W. Stone
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
PO Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RECEIVED
JUN 18 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Subject: Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Siting Element, Draft Summary of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and Related Environmental Documents; Comments

Dear Mr. Stone:

The City of Baldwin Park has completed its review of the subject documents. We have the following comments on each subject document.

Our review has concluded that the Summary Plan does not adequately integrate the programs planned and implemented within the Countywide jurisdictional boundaries. We are concerned that the document appears to simply list the various programs identified in the SRRE, but may not actually reflect the changed conditions and contingencies implemented by the different jurisdictions. Not only is there a lack of integration, but the document appears to just be a summary, rather than a plan. There is no attempt to suggest mechanisms to coordinate activities to maximize the positive impacts of programs, nor is there any attempt to plan activities in a way that conserves scarce financial resources. There appears to be no attempt to address the issue that the County retains a funding source (fees from disposal sites) that is not shared with the cities in any significant way.

Our review of the Siting Element and environmental impact documents likewise criticizes the absence of a coherent approach to integrate disposal capacity on the basis of watersheds. The identification of potential disposal sites appears limited to landfills, and there is no identification of alternative disposal technologies including composting and transformation. Nor does the Element address new and developing relationships among waste facilities providers for securing long term contracts at low investment costs with several large haulers, and how such relationships could affect long term disposal capacity.

C11.1

Refer to Topical Responses No. 9, No. 10, No. 22, and No. 23.

The likelihood of a few cities being able to derail the Element by vigorously opposing certain landfill sites also suggests that the Element was developed without adequate input from cities within the County. The County should find a mechanism for all 88 cities and the County to actively participate in the development of an integrated waste management plan.

To that end, this City supports a total revamping of the Siting Element and Summary Plan by first creating or using the existing subregional city groupings (re: the Southeast-South Bay Group, the ESGV Group, etc.) to hold open forums for the collection of input on local needs, desires, and developments. Groups could select representatives to work with or on the Local Task Force (LTF) to develop appropriate coordinated programs and policies for a Countywide Plan and Element. These representatives could either supplement or replace the existing LTF. In addition, it is important that the LTF be opened to more representatives from the private sector and the citizenry as they are most affected by the plan and element.

In this revised LTF, new or supplemental waste diversion and management policies and programs could be drafted that would address how the cities and the county in Los Angeles County can work together to meet the AB 939 mandates. Even if this means that some cities continue to act sub-regionally, there would be a meeting of the minds and a action plan that truly represented this great metropolitan area.

If you have any questions or need further information, please call Robert Roessler at (818) 813-5208 or Michael Huls, R.E.A. at (818) 969-7816.

Sincerely,



Carl Yeats
Director, Administrative Services

C11.2 C11.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

Dick

CITY OF BURBANK
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
FAX

Date: June 18, 1996

number of pages including cover - 1

FROM: Michael J. McIninch Administrative Analyst 500 South Flower Street Burbank, CA 91502-2106	TO: Michael Mohajer County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
PHONE: (818) 238-3905 FAX: (818) 238-3908	PHONE: (818) 458-3502 FAX: (818) 458-3569

SUBJECT: COMMENTS RE: REVIEW OF COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, DEIR, PLAN, AND NEG. DEC.

Countywide Siting Element: On page 3-10 of the draft and on pages ES-6 and 2-7 of DEIR, the correct phone number for the Burbank landfill is (818) 238-3888. In the Plan Appendices, the correct phone number for the Recycling Coordinator is (818) 238-3900, and the fax number is (818) 238-3908.

Summary Plan: Regarding Table 2-1, there should be Xs in every box ~~except~~ under Regional Composting Facility and Compost Market Development. Table 4-1 should say 29 permitted haulers. Regarding Tables 5-1 and 5-2, there should be Xs in every box ~~except~~ Disposal Fee Modifications, Loans and Grants, Taxes and Fees, Land Use Incentives, and Product or Packaging Bans. Regarding tables 5-3 and 5-4, there should be Xs in every box ~~except~~ Free Market Provision, On Site Salvaging, Mandatory Participation Disposal Ban, Cooperative Marketing, Service Rate Incentive, and Infrastructure Investment Incentive. Regarding Tables 5-5 and 5-6, there should be Xs in every box ~~except~~ Drop-off/Storage, Manure Program, Municipal Compost Facility, Intermediate Processing Facility, Municipal Procurement Guidelines and Disposal Restriction/Landfill Ban. The comments for table 5-8 should read "existing activities include municipal greenwaste curbside pickup and delivery to a private compost facility drop-off point in Sun Valley to be composted in Bakersfield, and composting workshops and fine composting bins to residents. Permanent educational composting exhibits including live-action animation and macroscopes, on display at Recycle Center." Regarding Table 5-7, add comment "1: municipal collection - private reuse program." Regarding Table 5-8, only change: under Develop Educational Materials list BI, B/WG, C&D. Regarding tables 5-9 and 5-10, there should be an R under Non-Radio/TV PSAs, and Information Hotline. Regarding table 5-11, there should be an R under Non-Ferrous, Tin Cans, and Wood Wastes. There should be a C under Food Waste with the comment "C: affiliate of Crown Disposal (CRRR) contracts with supermarkets for organic waste to use in composting. Regarding Table 5-12, under R: add tin cans and bi-metal. Regarding Table 5-14, move X from Periodic Collection City to Countywide Program, delete Xs under Door-to-Door Collection and Load Check (City Facility); and delete Comments. Regarding Table 5-15, under heading All Materials Collection Program delete Xs under Permanent Facility and Door to Door Collection; and remove the following sentence under Comments "City will phase out collection events when the permanent facility is built." Regarding Table 5-16, under Newsletter add S.C. under Radio/TV PSAs delete S.C.; under Video and Slide Show, Presentations and Workshops, Community Events Exhibits and Displays, HHW Collection Event and Inter-Jurisdictional Coordination, add S.C. Regarding Table 5-17, delete S.C. under Radio/TV PSAs, and under Comments delete "Multi-Family on-site education program. (remaining comments OK). Regarding Table 6-1, under Countywide HHWE Program, list Yes.

Please contact Steve Maggi, Recycling Coordinator, at (818) 238-3900 if you have any questions.

C12 The changes indicated have been made.

EAD
D/L/S

CITY OF Glendale CALIFORNIA

633 East Broadway, Room 209, Glendale, CA 91206-4385
June 12, 1996

(818) 548-3900
Fax (818) 546-2207

Director of
PUBLIC WORKS

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460

RECEIVED
JUN 18 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

Dear Department of Public Works:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County-wide Siting Element and Draft Summary Plan of the County-wide Integrated Waste Management Plan (1/96).

Major changes have occurred in the field of solid waste management since the last County Plan was adopted over ten years ago. In particular, individual cities were given the responsibility of meeting a very difficult fifty percent waste diversion mandate by the year 2000.

In order to assist the cities in meeting this mandate, State law provides an important role for the Summary Plan, as prepared under the leadership of the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force. As stated on page 1-2, among the goals of the Summary Plan is to go beyond a summary of the SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs by establishing "County-wide goals and objectives for integrated waste management" and describing "programs that could be consolidated or coordinated County-wide, and how these County-wide programs are to be financed."

It has been difficult in the limited time for public review of the Summary Plan for the City of Glendale to adequately consider the proper role for the County and the Local Task Force in meeting the goals of AB 939. It is our understanding that a number of parties are requesting a 120 day extension in the public comment period. The City of Glendale, Public Works Division, supports that request in order to allow more time for detailed discussions with the 88 local cities on how consolidated or coordinated County-wide programs can assist local jurisdictions in meeting the goals of AB 939.

Sincerely,

Kerry L. Morford
Kerry L. Morford
Director of Public Works

KLM:TB:rm



C13

C13 Refer to Topical Response No. 1.



CITY OF
SANTA MONICA
CALIFORNIA

Craig Perkins
Director of Environmental and
Public Works Management Department

1685 Main Street, P.O. Box 2200
Santa Monica, CA 90407-2200
(310) 458-8221 Fax (310) 576-3598

June 12, 1986

Don Wolf, Deputy Director
Los Angeles County Public Works
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RECEIVED

JUN 18 1986

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
SOLID WASTE PROGRAMS DIVISION

Dear Mr. Wolf:

This letter is a request that you extend the public comment period for Draft Los Angeles Countywide Siting Element, Solid Waste Summary Plan of the Integrated Waste Management Plan and Draft EIR for the Siting Element, issued by your department.

The documents represent critical steps in providing Los Angeles County with a feasible, long-term solid waste policy, plans and programs and in satisfying the requirements of California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939). Given their importance, I urge you to add a one hundred twenty day extension to the current close of public comment of Monday, June 17th. This would extend the public comment period until October 17, 1986. I congratulate you on having already extended the public comment period thirty days.

It is my view based on discussions with some of the public stakeholders that more time is required to generate positive changes and remedy deficiencies in the documents. As I am sure you would agree, it is the outcome of the review and comment process that is important not the satisfaction of any schedule per se.

An extension would allow a wide variety of stakeholders in solid waste policy to have sufficient time to fully analyze and comment on the Plan.

It is essential that the process of public review and comment not be foreshortened prematurely. A time extension could lead to improvements in the documents, ensure their credibility, and improve their chances of being widely accepted. I know that you wish to provide adequately prepared

C14 Refer to Topical Response No. 1.

C14

documents to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors and subsequently to the eighty-eight (88) cities, including Santa Monica, for their approval.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this very important matter.

Sincerely,




Craig Perkins, Director
Environmental & Public Works Management

cc: Supervisor Zen Yaroslevsky
Supervisor Deanne Dana
Supervisor Mike Antonovich
Supervisor Yvonne Burke
Supervisor Gloria Molina

870

CITY COUNCIL
LEONIS C. MALBURG
 Mayor
THOMAS A. YBARRA
 Mayor Pro-Tem
Wm. "BILL" DAVIS
 Councilman
H. "LARRY" CONZALE
 Councilman
W. MICHAEL MCCORMICK
 Councilman

DAVID B. BREARLEY
 City Attorney
 FAX: (818) 330-5818
KEVIN WILSON
 Director of Community Services & Water
 FAX: (213) 588-2761
KENNETH J. DADARIO
 Director of Light & Power
 FAX: (213) 583-1983
DAVE TELFORD
 Fire Chief
 FAX: (213) 581-1385
LOUIS ROSENKRANTZ
 Police Chief
 FAX: (213) 581-1178



CITY HALL
 4305 SANTA FE AVENUE, VERNON, CALIFORNIA 90058
 TELEPHONE (213) 583-8811
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT

June 17, 1996

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
 Environmental Programs Division
 P.O. Box 1460
 Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RECEIVED

JUN 18 1996
 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
 ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Attn: Mike Mohajer

SUBJECT: CITY OF VERNON COMMENTS ON THE JANUARY 1996 DRAFTS OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN, AND SUPPORTING ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the preliminary drafts of the subject documents. Our department makes the following comments and/or recommended changes as referenced by page enamegraph (¶) number of the respective documents:

Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles Countywide Siting Element:

- **Page xvi, ¶ 3:** Daily cover does not control erosion, but is more aptly described as being subject to erosion. Therefore, we recommend removing the reference to erosion control as a functional criterion in the definition of alternative daily cover. [Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (14 CCR), the apparent source of this definition, is being revised to reflect this change].
- **Page xvi, ¶ 9:** The definition of compost addresses only green waste, yet manure and biosolids are also commonly utilized feedstocks for composting. Therefore, we recommend including these items in the definition as they relate to feedstocks.

Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles Countywide Siting Element
(continued):

- Page xx, § 8: Not all permitted solid waste landfills in L.A. County have land use or conditional use permits, i.e., Antelope Valley, Pebbly Beach, etc.
- Page xxi, § 10: AB 939 differentiates composting from recycling and therefore so should the definition here for recycling.
- Page xxiii, § 9: The definition of transformation includes biological conversion other than composting, but also excludes biomass conversion. To eliminate confusion, please define the term biomass conversion.
- Page 5-20, § 2: The City of Long Beach Health Department is no longer the Local Enforcement Agency (LEA); instead, this LEA jurisdiction has been taken over by L.A. County Department of Health Services.
- Pages 5-20 to 5-22: Section (S) 5.5.6.2., Permitting Requirements, should also provide a description of a "Report of Composting Site Information", the technical report required for the permitting of a composting facility. This section should also reference the regulatory tier permitting requirements, commencing with § 18100 of 14 CCR.
- Pages 5-22 to 5-23: The correct term in § 5.5.6.3., Administrative Process, for the document submitted by the LEA to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) for approval is the "Enforcement Program Plan" or "EPP".
- Page 5-23, § 4: According to § 18207 of 14 CCR, the LEA has 55 days (not 65 days as stated) after filing of the permit application package to submit a proposed permit to the CIWMB. In addition, the LEA has 30 days in which to accept or reject the application package as to meeting the requirements of § 18201.
- Page 8-9, § 5: § 8.6.3. references the Hobart Intermodal Facility in the City of Vernon, yet neither the Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) nor the Nondisposal Facility Element (NDFE) for the city have identified this site. Please explain the source of this information.

Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan:

- Page xi, ¶ 5: To make this definition consistent with state regulations, we suggest adding the "control of blowing litter" to the functional criteria of Alternative Daily Cover.
- Page xiv, ¶ 6: Add the terms "biosolids" and "manure" to the list of potential feedstocks for composting (see above comment).
- Page 5-(70): Table 5-1 (Summary of Existing Source Reduction Programs) should identify that the City of Vernon currently: (1) conducts Waste Evaluations; (2) distributes source reduction information to businesses as a part of ongoing Educational Efforts; and, (3) has Procurement Policies for the purchase of recycled-content products.
- Page 5-(76): Table 5-3 (Summary of Existing Recycling Programs) should identify that the City of Vernon currently participates in the Los Angeles County EMDZ (Recycling Market Development Zone) program.
- Page 5-(90): Table 5-7 (Summary of Existing Special Wastes Programs) should identify that the City of Vernon currently has a program for the Recycling of Street Maintenance Material and is recovering construction and demolition (C&D) debris such as asphalt and concrete.
- Page 5-(94): Table 5-8 (Summary of Selected Special Wastes Programs) should identify that the City of Vernon continues to develop and expand its program for the Recycling of Street Maintenance Material.
- Page 5-(98): Table 5-9 (Summary of Existing Education and Public Information Programs) should identify that the City of Vernon currently: (1) produces recycling-related articles for the Vernon Journal, a city-generated Newsletter; (2) distributes Brochure to local businesses on commercial recycling and waste minimization; (3) conducts Waste Evaluations; and, (4) provides Technical Assistance to Vernon Businesses interested in recycling programs.
- Page 5-(103): Table 5-10 (Summary of Selected Education and Public Information Programs) should identify that the City of Vernon plans to continue: (1) producing recycling-related articles in their Newsletter and (2) distributing Brochures on recycling and waste minimization, along with the other

C15

C15 The changes indicated have been made.

Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (continued):

selected programs identified in the table.

- Page 5-(108): Table 5-11 (Summary of Targeted Materials) should indicate that the City of Vernon has targeted Food Waste for recycling (R) because of the numerous food processing and distribution facilities within the city.

Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element and Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan:

- We have reviewed the subject environmental documents and make no comments at this time.

We would like to take this opportunity to commend your staff on their outstanding efforts in preparing this well-organized and comprehensive set of documents.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding our comments, please contact Mr. Paul Manasjan at (213) 583-8811, ext. 232.


Sincerely,



Lewis J. Pozzebon,
R.E.H.S.
Director/Health Officer

c: Bruce Malkenhorst, City Administrator

EPD
- 4 -



June 12, 1996

RECEIVED
JUN 18 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Mike Mohajer, Assistant Division Engineer
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
900 South Fremont Avenue, Annex Building
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

The Westside Cities Solid Waste Management Committee representing the cities of Beverly Hills, Culver City, Santa Monica and West Hollywood respectfully request that the County extend the public comment period on the Draft Countywide Siting Element, Solid Waste Summary Plan of the Integrated Waste Management Plan and Draft EIR for the Siting Element which were issued by the County Department of Public Works.

We are aware that one extension has already been granted, but we feel the issue of solid waste disposal needs additional time for study in a county of this size and that by extending the comment period it is possible to work out some long range solutions that will be able to cope with the future municipal solid waste and recycling requirements of this vast county. We have discussed the Plan with other cities and will be able to solicit input from a great breadth of opinion and expertise in order to help improve the existing documents.

Although it is obvious the documents reflect a great deal of work, we feel they are not complete as they stand at this moment. The Siting Element needs further work to expand options that would mitigate the need for as much capacity as indicated in the document and the ever-present question of where additional landfill space should be are but two areas that require further focus, with more creative and innovative alternatives.

Additionally, in the Summary Plan, the County could have pulled together some of the far-reaching concepts in the Source Reduction and Siting Elements of the individual cities and worked them into a creative whole encompassing programs for all 88 cities in Los Angeles County complete with a county-wide support program.

C16.1

Refer to Topical Response No. 1.

C16.2

Refer to a)


Section 5.4, "Summary of Significant Diversion Developments" in the subject document; and,


b)


Topical Responses No. 10 and No. 19.

With an additional 120 days, putting the final comment period close in October, a truly useful document could be produced.

Thank you for your understanding and cooperation.


Mark Scott, City Manager
City of Beverly Hills


Craig Perkins, Director
Environmental and Public Works
City of Santa Monica


Judy Hall-Eisler, Chief Administrative Officer
City of Culver City


Paul Brozman, City Manager
City of West Hollywood



COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER

1025 1

June 17, 1996

RECEIVED
JUN 19 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Mr. Mike Mohajer, Supervising Civil Engineer
Waste Management Division
Department of Public Works
County of Los Angeles
900 S. Fremont
Alhambra, CA 91803

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Please accept the following comments for City of Hidden Hills on the Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan. In addition to those listed below, page 4-11, Table 4-2 "Quantities of Solid Waste Collected" the tonnage number listed is a typographic error.

Hidden Hills Selected Programs not indicated:

Source Reduction

Non-Procurement Activities

Recognition Awards

Recycling

Horse Manure Disposal Ban

Mandatory Hauler Service Requirements

- C17.1 As per telephone conversation on 12-16-96, this comment applies to the columns entitled "1995 Annual Disposal (Landfilled+Transformed)." As a part of the updating of the document, all of the numbers in these columns for all jurisdictions have been revised.
- C17.2 Table 5-2 has been revised to include "non-procurement activities", however "Recognition awards" was already shown under "Awards and Public Recognition."
- C17.3 Table 5-4 has been revised to include changes indicated.

WASTE MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION
10000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: 310-206-1000
Fax: 310-206-1001
www.wma.org

Special Waste

Periodic Collection of Brown and White Goods

Education and Public Information

Newsletter for Public Education

Presentations and Workshops - regional composting

Household Hazardous Waste Program

Used Oil Regional Program

C17.4

C17.4 Table 5-8 has been revised to include change indicated.

C17.5

C17.5 Table 5-10 has been revised to include changes indicated.

C17.6

C17.6 Table 5-15 has been revised to include changes indicated.

Please review and correct the Summary Plan based on the above comments. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,



Heather Lea Wurtz
Recycling Coordinator



CHERRY HILL ASSOCIATES INC

100

RECEIVED
JUN 19 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

June 17, 1996

Mr. Mike Mohajer, Supervising Civil Engineer
Waste Management Division
Department of Public Works
County of Los Angeles
900 S. Fremont
Alhambra, CA 91803

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Please accept the following comments for City of Hidden Hills on the Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan.

- On page 3-23, the City of Palos Verdes Estates is a member of Southeast Cities Waste Management Working Group
- Existing HHW Programs Tables
 - HHW Collection Event
 - Paint Programs

Please review and correct the Summary Plan based on the above comments. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Heather Lea Wurtz
Recycling Coordinator

C18.1

C18.1 As per telephone conversation with Heather Lea Wurtz on 12-16-96, these comments are for the City of Palos Verdes Estates and not Hidden Hills. Table 3-7 has been revised to include changes indicated.

C18.2

C18.2 As per telephone conversation with Heather Lea Wurtz on 12-16-96, these comments are for the City of Palos Verdes Estates and not Hidden Hills. Table 5-14 has been revised to include changes indicated.

CHERRY HILL ASSOCIATES INC
100 S. GARDEN STREET, SUITE 200, PALM BEACH, FL 33480
TEL: 561-832-1111 FAX: 561-832-1112
100 S. GARDEN STREET, SUITE 200, PALM BEACH, FL 33480
TEL: 561-832-1111 FAX: 561-832-1112
100 S. GARDEN STREET, SUITE 200, PALM BEACH, FL 33480
TEL: 561-832-1111 FAX: 561-832-1112

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF
PUBLIC WORKS

COMMISSIONERS

J.P. EILMAN
PRESIDENT

FRANK C. GARDENAS
VICE PRESIDENT

M.E. TERRY MARTINEZ
MEMBER AND CHAIR

ELLEN STEIN

VALERIE LYNNE BHAW



RICHARD J. RIORDAN
MAYOR

JUN 17 1996

Ginger Bremberg, Chair
Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802

**COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND SUMMARY PLAN**

The comments submitted to the Integrated Waste Management Task Force by the Bureau of Sanitation will focus on technical and feasibility issues associated with the Preliminary Draft Countywide Siting Element (CSE), Summary Plan, and Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR). The CSE and Summary Plan compress an enormous amount of information into one relatively small set of documents. We commend the staff preparation and analysis of the sheer volume of data that was submitted to them in the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements (SRRE), Household Hazardous Waste Elements (HHWE), and Non-Disposal Facility Elements (NDFE) from the 89 jurisdictions in the County. Our comments will focus primarily on the CSE and the projections and plans for disposal capacity in Los Angeles County.

On June 12, 1996, the Los Angeles City Council voted to adopt a report from the Environmental Quality and Waste Management Committee requesting that the County remove Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and the expansion of Sunshine Canyon Landfill into the City as potential sites for landfill disposal from the CSE.

The Bureau of Sanitation has two major areas of concern in the CSE. First, the CSE uses only two scenarios to examine waste management capacity issues in its 'Time to Crisis Analysis': all or nothing, and relies on 'time to crisis' analysis assumptions which may be misleading. Second, the CSE completely discounts export of waste as a planning factor in the next 15 years, treating infrastructure and remote siting issues as insurmountable obstacles. Other specific comments follows these general comments.

DS/14

DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC WORKS

BUREAU OF SANITATION

DELWIN A. BIAGI
DIRECTOR

HARRY M. SIZEMORE

JOHN T. CROSSE

SAM L. FURUTA

MICHAEL J. HENNER

JOSEPH B. SONES

ASSISTANT DIRECTORS

5015 1400, CITY HALL EAST

200 NORTH MAIN STREET

LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

(213) 485-5112

FAX: (213) 626-5514

RECEIVED
JUN 19 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS



The Time to Crisis Analysis, as presented in the CSE in Scenario A, shows that, if no expansions or new landfills are permitted within Los Angeles County, then a 'shortfall' will exist in 1999. In this scenario, Bradley Landfill is shown to close in early 1999. Waste Management Recycling and Disposal Services of California, Inc., has a contractual agreement with the City to accept City refuse for five years beginning July 1, 1996. The owner/operator of the Bradley Landfill has also confirmed to our staff that the facility has capacity at 7,000 tons per day for five more years. Therefore, this facility will be available, based on conservative estimates, until January 2001. This then could push the actual 'crisis' date back to 2001.

Is the 'surplus' which currently exists in the solid waste disposal system on a daily basis reflected in the projections for the document? For example, Asuzo-Western Landfill is permitted for 6,000 tons per day as shown in the CSE. The operator, however, is limiting the inflow to approximately 1,000 - 1,500 tons per day. Thus the capacity available in the facility will not be exhausted by 1997. The daily 'surplus' could be rolled over to accurately reflect the use of landfills in the region, as the maximum permitted daily capacity for some facilities is not being fully utilized.

Scenario B shows a plethora of landfill expansions and openings that result in landfill capacity which is three times the projected disposal needs for the year 2005. Realistically, if the targeted expansion of existing landfills take place, then none of the four 'Potential New Landfills' included in Scenario B (Blind, Elsmere, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan and Towsley) are necessary to ensure adequate capacity for the 15 year planning period. Using the CSE's estimates of waste disposal needs, the Bureau believes that a more pragmatic approach is to assume the expansion of those facilities which have achieved reasonable progress in their expansion plans and to then include the export of a percentage of the refuse in the year 2001. A third Scenario, which the Bureau requests be included in the Final CSE, should include the following items:

- (1) Bradley Landfill remaining open for refuse disposal to January 2001;
- (2) The successful expansions of Chiquita Canyon (possibly at some reduced rate if appropriate), Antelope Valley, Lancaster and Puente Hills;
- (3) The inclusion of out of County disposal facilities, serviced by either truck or rail haul (discussion follows), for an amount of refuse which equals at least 5% of the total daily disposal needs beginning in 2001 and increasing to at least 10% by 2005. This percentage should continue to increase as more refuse is moved out of Los Angeles County by truck or rail;
- (4) The removal of Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and the expansion of Sunshine Canyon into the City of Los Angeles from the projections.

Although the requested third Scenario leaves less flexibility and excess capacity, it will be valuable as a tool to show the results of lessening local capacity and increasing exportation within a fifteen year planning period.

The potential for the export of refuse to other jurisdictions is available now. Options are available which can be utilized to reduce the County's need for local landfill capacity. Although these alternatives are more costly than local capacity, they should be presented in the CSE to truly include all alternatives for refuse disposal needs. The options and information presented below have been proposed to the City of Los Angeles through a Request for Proposal process recently completed by the City and through discussions of future plans with companies involved with refuse management and disposal. The Bureau believes that remote disposal is feasible. Expanding existing transfer facilities and siting new facilities within the County may be a long lead time process, but may be more feasible than the development of new landfills in an increasingly urban environment. Even in our current situation (without convenient rail-loading facilities), at least two out of County landfills are available for disposal, have excess capacity, and can be utilized immediately if necessary.

The two facilities which are available by transfer truck and have been proposed to the City for use are the El Sobrante Landfill in Riverside County and the Frank Bowerman Landfill in Orange County. Both are approximately 60 miles from downtown Los Angeles and may be reached by utilizing existing transfer facilities. The El Sobrante Landfill is in the final stages for approval of a major expansion, which would allow the facility to accept waste generated outside of Riverside County. The Bowerman Landfill is permitted and open, and existing excess daily capacity at the facility has been purchased from the County of Orange by a private refuse company.

The City has also received several proposals to expand existing transfer facilities to include rail service and to build new transfer facilities which would include both truck and rail capabilities. These proposals, for the most part, are appropriately located and zoned, and already have existing solid waste facilities permits which allow transfer at the proposed sites.

Specific comments regarding the City Council action of June 12, 1996 in the CSE. Draft EIR, and Summary Plan:

Comment #1: In Table ES-1 of the Summary Plan, Goal 6 reads "Assure adequate long-term solid waste disposal capacity for the cities and County unincorporated areas." This goal is consistent with adopted City policy. Goal 6, underlying policies, and references to development of the landfills for which the City Council has adopted policies concerning viability found throughout the CSE, Summary Plan and Draft EIR.

However, the first Policy under this goal is proposed as "The County and the cities in Los Angeles County will support the development of new disposal facilities and expansion of existing facilities identified in the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan adopted on April 5, 1988 by the County Board of Supervisors (as revised by the Countywide Siting Element), as long as they are found to be technically and environmentally feasible."

C19.1

C19.1 Comment noted.

C19.2

C19.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 25.

The City requests that this policy be deleted from the Summary Plan, as the 1988 plan is outdated and includes Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and the expansion of Sunshine Canyon into the City as potential landfill sites.

A more general policy which would recognize the potential for export to other counties and possible other states, the development of infrastructure for recycling and transfer, and reasonable expansion of existing facilities would be more appropriate. The CSE discusses these issues, and discusses the 1988 Action Plan, but the policies are not included in the Summary Plan. Suggested policies may include:

The County and the cities in Los Angeles County will support the development of infrastructure for solid waste transfer and rail-loading as well as the expansion of existing disposal facilities, provided that they are environmentally safe, technically feasible, and publicly acceptable.

The County and the cities in Los Angeles County will actively seek and identify remote disposal opportunities (or restate Objective #6 in Draft EIR).

Comment #2: Please update the Summary Plan using the attached Draft NDFE submitted by the City of Los Angeles.

The following chart identifies the areas where our proposed changes should be incorporated:

SPECIFIC COMMENTS	
Comment number	Comment
CSE1	In Table ES-4, remove references to Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and Sunshine Canyon (inside the City).
CSE2	Remove Lopez Canyon from the Reserved List and Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons and Sunshine Canyon from the Tentatively Reserved List
CSE3	The list of potential facilities should be altered to remove references to Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and Sunshine Canyon (inside the City).
CSE4	Third bullet should be removed.
CSE5	The statements "The expansion of Lopez Canyon Landfill in the City of Los Angeles" and "The expansion of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill located in the northern San Fernando Valley within the City of Los Angeles..." should be removed.

Page 4 of 5

C19.3

The Summary Plan is intended to be a separate document with different purposes than the Siting Element (CSE). The Summary Plan focuses on diversion efforts, summarizes the jurisdictions' SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs, and lays out a plan for Countywide coordination. The Siting Element focuses on disposal facilities.

C19.4

The development of rail haul as a disposal option will a) require intergovernmental cooperation, and b) not count towards increasing diversion rates, which is the ultimate goal of AB 939. Additionally, rail-haul, since it is a disposal program, is a topic discussed in the Countywide Siting Element. Refer to that document for a discussion of rail-haul.

C19.4 Comment noted and text and tables have been revised.


Comment number	Page Number	Comment
CSE6	6-4	Remove references to Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyon.
CSE7	6-5	Remove Lopez Canyon and Sunshine Canyon from list of potential expansions.
CSE8	6-7	Remove discussion of Lopez Canyon Expansion.
CSE9	6-8	Remove discussion of Sunshine Canyon Landfill Expansion.
CSE10	6-13	In Table 6-1, remove references to the three landfill projects listed above.
CSE11	6-18	Remove Table 6-4
CSE12	6-28	Remove Table 6-9
CSE13	6-32	Remove Table 6-11
CSE14	7-3	Remove reference to Lopez Canyon Landfill Expansion
CSE15	7-4	Remove Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons and Sunshine Canyon from the Tentatively Reserved List
CSE16	7-5/7-5	Remove the references to the three landfill projects discussed above
DEIR1	ES-7	In Table ES-2, remove references to Lopez Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons, and Sunshine Canyon (inside the City).
DEIR2	2-8	Same as previous comment
SP1	ES-13 & 2-5	Delete reference to 1988 Action Plan. Include policies from CSE

C19.5

C19.5 References to the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan cannot be removed. However, one of the Goals of the Summary Plan calls for the Action Plan to be revised by the adoption of the Siting Element.

If you have any questions concerning the general or specific comments, please contact Karen Coca of my staff at (213) 847-3366.

Sincerely,



Delwin A. Biagi
Director

cc: Ron Deaton, CLA
Drew Sones



CITY OF GLENORA CITY HALL

116 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD., GLENORA, CALIFORNIA 91740 (918) 914-9201

June 13, 1996

RECEIVED

Mr. Harry W. Stone, Director
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P. O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

JUN 24 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

Subject: Commentary and suggestions on the Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan.

Dear Mr. Stone,

After reviewing the Preliminary Draft Siting Element and Summary Plan, we find several areas of concern and offer the following comments and suggestions.

The Summary Plan will be appreciated as a resource document, containing a great deal of useful information such as a listing of all composting facilities in the region. However, it does not give any clear indication on how to coordinate or consolidate the multitudes of programs to develop an efficient regional approach to waste management.

Suggestion: The county needs to develop an integrated waste management plan which:

- creates a cooperative framework which invites all cities, districts and businesses and citizens to accept responsibility for solutions,
- documents where and what wastes are produced,
- develops a coordinated program for source reduction, recycling, composting and education and
- develops and promotes recycled products marketing strategies.

The Siting Element has identified a number of landfill sites which are highly controversial. Opposition to those sites (especially Blind Canyon, Elsmere Canyon, Towsley Canyon and Mission/Rustic-Sullivan Canyons) may lead to the rejection of the entire element.

C20.1

C20.1 Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

C20.2

C20.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 5.

C20.3

C20.3 Refer to Topical Responses No. 9 and No. 10.


C20.4

C20.4 Refer to Topical Response No. 17.

Suggestion: The county should adopt a cooperative approach to identifying potential landfill sites. If all jurisdictions were invited into the planning process from the beginning a common frame of reference could be established which would insure that individual needs and requirements were honored and responsibility for solid waste management would be shared more equitably.

Please keep me informed on your progress. If you have any questions or require further information, please call Dianne Herring, 818-914-8200 x 303.

Sincerely,



Arthur E. Cook,
City Manager



City of La Puente

15900 E. Main Street La Puente, CA 91774 Telephone (818) 855-1900 Fax (818) 961-4626

September 12, 1996

Mr. Donald L. Wolfe
Deputy Director
Department of Public Works
County of Los Angeles
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

City Staff has reviewed the following documents with regards to their accuracy and impact towards the City of La Puente's Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) and Household Hazardous Waste Element (HHWE):

1. Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Draft)
2. Summary Plan, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan; Volume II (Draft)
3. Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element (Draft)
4. Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan

City Staff discovered no conflicts within the documents listed above and the waste management objectives specified in the City of La Puente's SRRE and HHWE.

Thank you for the opportunity to review these documents. If you have any questions, please contact me at (818) 855-1500.

Sincerely,

Steve Hauerwaas

Steve Hauerwaas
Management Assistant/Solid Waste Coordinator

Edward L. Chaves Mayor
Joe V. Aldrete Mayor Pro Tem

Sally Holguin-Fullin Council Member

George Caplan Council Member

Lois E. Price Council Member

Robert G. Gutierrez City Manager

C21

C21 Comment noted.

872



CITY OF PASADENA/PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT/SOLID WASTE DIVISION
P.O. BOX 7115/PASADENA, CA 91109-7215 818/405-4087 FAX 818/405-4765 TDD 818/405-4374

October 14, 1996

RECEIVED

OCT 17 1996

DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Dear Environmental Programs Division:

Congratulations to your entire Division for the effort that has been made to consolidate waste management information from so many different sources throughout the County! The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan is an incredible document and represents a tremendous accomplishment.

As you know, I have been involved in many of the discussions regarding the Los Angeles County Siting Element and Summary Plan. However, this letter addresses particular information in these documents that pertains to the City of Pasadena and may require some revision. I have listed the items by page number for easy reference.

SUMMARY PLAN

Page	Comment
3-23, Table 3-7	Scholl Canyon Wastewater Treatment Plant should be added to the table. Members include Glendale, Pasadena, Sierra Madre, South Pasadena, San Marino, La Canada Flintridge, Los Angeles County and the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County.
4-8, Table 4-1	Number of haulers has increased from 40 to 68.
4-12, Table 4-2	Pasadena's baseline disposal is 150,760, the table lists 166,000.

C22.1 The changes indicated have been made.

492


Page Two
Comments on the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan
October 14, 1996

In addition, I ask again that the County take on a leadership role with respect to the entire Los Angeles County achieving 50% reductions in the amount of waste taken to landfills. I support the idea of convening meetings with all Los Angeles County jurisdictions to discuss 50% strategies. We can assist in the identification of large-scale issues such as education and market development, and any other areas that could best be targeted on a Countywide basis. I would be happy to assist in making such arrangements and moderating discussions such as these that help us all focus on regional strategies.

I also support the redevelopment of the goals, policies and objectives in Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan. I'd like to be able to have more concrete information, time lines, and the use of the same language or titles of programs so that the text and the tables tie easily together to draw city representatives a picture of where the County is heading. That way I can see how I can insert myself, my city's programs, and my ideas into the big picture. The letter you received from the "Working Group" in September (from Maribel Marn, Working Group Coordinator and NRDC) has a very good summation of our discussions.

Once again, I appreciate the work that these documents represent and I also laud your efforts to meet with groups of people to further discuss revisions of the document and the future directions of solid waste management planning in Los Angeles County.

Sincerely,


Jane A. Hinshaw
Public Works Supervisor

RECEIVED
OCT 16 1996
PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISOR

C22.2

Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

C22.3

The goals, policies, and objectives in Chapter 2 have been revised, and Table 6-2, which has been moved from Chapter 6 to Chapter 2, contains a time line for implementing the objectives. To clarify the connections between proposed countywide programs in Chapter 5 and the goals, policies, and objectives in Chapter 2, each program heading in Chapter 5 lists an associated goal in parenthesis.

10/17/96
A



JUNE WENTWORTH
Mayor
WILLIAM T. CHOCTAW, M.D.
Mayor Pro Tem
"BERT" ASHLEY
JOAQUIN LIM
ROBERT PACHECO
Council Members

P.O. Box 682 Walnut, CA 91788-0682
21201 LA PUENTE ROAD
WALNUT, CALIFORNIA 91788-2018
Telephone (809) 395-7543
FAX (809) 395-0895

CITY OF WALNUT

SA

October 17, 1996

Mr. Harry W. Stone
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Dear Mr. Stone:

Subject: Comments on the Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element; Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan; and related Environmental Documents.

Upon my review it appears that the Siting Element and Summary Plan includes sites, which unless deleted or modified, may cause some cities to not support the approval of the Element. If not approved, the Siting Element may have economic consequences on the County and the cities within the County.

The Summary Plan is simply a compilation of the cities' plans from throughout the County and does not take an "integrated" approach to management of waste in the County from waste production to disposal. The Summary Plan does not provide a blueprint on how disparate programs can be coordinated to maximize the impact of scarce financial resources.

It is recognized that the County alone cannot achieve the needed Integrated Waste Management Plan. The Los Angeles County Waste Management Task Force does not have the authority or the means to create an Integrated Waste Management Plan. The County and all cities in the County are the only means for creating an Integrated Waste Management Plan.

Steps must be taken to insure that the cities in the County achieve the required waste diversion, manage their waste, and control costs to the public. This would include not only an Integrated Waste Management Plan, but also a Facility siting Plan which include materials recovery facilities and regional composting sites that the County and all cities can support.

Sincerely,

Jack W. Yoshino
Senior Management Assistant

10/17/96

C23.1

C23.1 Refer to Topical Response No. 9.

C23.2

C23.2 Refer to Topical Responses No. 10 and No. 23.

F 10 P

CITY OF Glendale CALIFORNIA

548 West Chevy Chase Dr., Glendale, CA 91204-1814 (818) 548-3916

Public Works
Division
INTEGRATED
WASTE
MANAGEMENT
SECTION

October 17, 1996

Mr. Donald L. Wolfe
Deputy Director
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

On October 15, 1996, the Scholl Canyon Wasteshed Committee met with Mr. Michael Mohajer and Mr. David Smith of your Department concerning the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan. The focus of the discussion was the need to create a permanent Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force.

The Wasteshed Committee believes that a TAC is a vital element in obtaining input from responsible city staff on how consolidated or coordinated Countywide programs can assist local jurisdictions in meeting the goals of AB 939. While the Summary Plan provides a list of such programs in chapter 5, the list was not prepared with input from city staff.

Local jurisdictions face many obstacles in reaching the 50 percent waste diversion goal in a county with relatively low disposal costs. A TAC would be a natural forum for reaching consensus on the most cost-effective way to coordinate recycling and waste reduction efforts. This is particularly important for smaller jurisdictions that have only minimal resources to devote to integrated waste management.

The current \$0.86/ton County Solid Waste Management Fee on all refuse disposed in the County is currently utilized for household hazardous waste, backyard composting and school educational efforts. The Wasteshed Committee believes that a TAC should be utilized to allow city staff to provide input on the best use of these funds to assist in the implementation of Source Reduction and Recycling Elements.

The TAC will only succeed in its efforts if the County and the Task Force seriously consider its advice as representing the voice of city staff responsible for meeting the AB 939 goals. The TAC should, therefore, include at least one representative from the various subregional solid waste groups. Open meetings would encourage larger attendance.

C24.1

The list referred to in Chapter 5 was prepared using the information provided in the SRREs provided by each jurisdiction and is consistent with the stated Goals, Policies, and Objectives of the Summary Plan. Nothing prevents jurisdictions from collaborating with the County on additional Countywide programs not on the list. The Task Force and the various city groups provide the best forums for working together on identifying such additional programs.

C24.2

Also refer to Topical Response No. 10.

C24.3

Refer to Goal 3 and its policies and objectives.

See response to comment C24.1 above.



PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



5-6-92

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Summary Plan. If you have any questions on these comments, contact Tom Brady in this Section at 818-548-3916.

Sincerely,

Robert Weger

Robert Weger
Integrated Waste Administrator

c.c. Wasteshed Committee
RW:TB:rb

RECEIVED
MAY 11 1992
GENERAL

City of Montebello



SA 10/19/96

ALL AMERICA CITY
1800 W. BEVERLY BOULEVARD
MONTEBELLO, CA 90640
(213) 887-1200

October 15, 1996

Donald L. Wolfe
County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Enclosed is a copy of the remaining comments pertaining to the City of Montebello on the County Summary Plan. Initial comments were mailed on June 17, 1996. Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (213) 887-1472 or Ana Marie Castaneda, Projects/Recycling Coordinator at (213) 887-4551.

Sincerely,

ARAM FUNDUKIAN
Administrative Analyst

/af

Attachments - 1

C25

C25 The changes indicated have been made.

CITY OF
Glendale CALIFORNIA

Director of
PUBLIC WORKS

633 East Broadway, Room 209, Glendale, CA 91206-4385

(818) 546-3800
Fax (818) 546-2207

October 17, 1996

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460

Dear Department of Public Works:

On June 12, 1996, the attached comments were sent on the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and the Countywide Siting Element. The letter supported a time extension in the comment period "in order to allow more time for detailed discussions with the 88 local cities on how consolidated or coordinated Countywide programs can assist local jurisdictions in meeting the goals of AB 939." I regret to say that those discussions have not taken place. While the following comments can be provided on both the Summary Plan and the Siting Element, a more thorough discussion among local jurisdictions is still needed to consider how we will reach our mutual goals.

Comments on Draft Summary Plan - Consistent with State requirements, the Summary Plan on page 1-2 states that: "The purpose of the Summary Plan is to establish countywide goals and objectives for integrated waste management, . . . and describe programs that could be consolidated or coordinated countywide, and how these countywide programs are to be financed." In terms of financing, an \$0.86/ton County Solid Waste Management Fee is currently charged on all refuse disposed in the County. It is my understanding that a majority of that fee is currently used for countywide household hazardous waste programs. The only other existing countywide programs mentioned in the Summary Plan are backyard composting and school educational efforts.

The 89 Los Angeles County jurisdictions face a very difficult task in meeting the 50 percent waste diversion mandate. The task is made more difficult by relatively low refuse disposal costs that provide little economic incentive to recycle. In addition, the availability of numerous public and private landfills makes it very difficult for local jurisdictions to track their waste, as is reflected in the great discrepancy between city and county disposal totals for the base year.

In response to those needs, the Summary Plan presents some laudable goals but the suggested objectives and "future coordinated programs" do not, as a whole, address the magnitude of the task before us. Would it not be preferable for the County to convene a permanent Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to the Task Force where city staff representatives can present their waste diversion needs and suggested ways for the County to address those needs. Each of the subregional groups should have



C26.1

C26.1 Refer to Topical Response No. 10 and to Goal 3.



at least one member on the TAC, although meetings should be open to encourage participation. A corresponding additional Summary Plan goal could be: "In response to the expressed needs of local jurisdictions, implement a countywide waste diversion strategy."

One component of the strategy will certainly be how to address the diversion of the large amount of construction and demolition waste that is disposed by hundreds of commercial and self-haulers at all local landfills. While a high percentage of this waste was diverted immediately after the Northridge earthquake, the diversion rate has recently declined due to the inability of recycling facilities to compete with low landfill costs. It will be difficult for any one jurisdiction to control this waste stream since there are hundreds of permitted and unpermitted construction and demolition debris haulers and self-haulers active in the county. The fact that disposal at some permitted inert waste sites is considered disposal while disposal at other "unpermitted" sites is not counted should also be addressed.

Given the necessity for green waste diversion to meet the 50% goal, another strategy could be to improve the markets for separated yard trimmings and to implement an extensive multi-media countywide education effort to promote such cost-effective waste reduction practices as grasscycling (encouraged on page 5-45). In the San Francisco Bay Area, a regional waste reduction effort was recently conducted under the sponsorship of counties, cities, state agencies, and various grocery stores. The "Shop Smart" campaign featured in-store displays and multi-media promotions with the goal of heightening consumer awareness of shopping options that would prevent waste and save resources.

One way to fund such efforts would be to redirect a portion of the County Solid Waste Management Fee to fund countywide efforts that are supported by and are beyond the resources of the cities. The TAC should be used to solicit input from cities on the current use of this countywide fee.

Over one-third of local jurisdictions in the Los Angeles region requested revisions to their base year waste generation and reporting year disposal data in their first Annual SRRE Reports. This was the highest percentage of requested revisions in California. The need for base year revisions is indicated by the 16% increase in countywide waste disposal from 1990-1993 reported in Table 4-2. The Summary Plan should indicate that the TAC will be used to cooperatively address problems in both areas.

In a separate matter, the list in Table 3-7 of informal regional groups that address integrated waste management issues should be expanded to include the Scholl Canyon Watershed Committee, which is composed of the Cities of Glendale, La Canada Flintridge, Pasadena, San Marino, Sierra Madre, and South Pasadena, Los Angeles County, and the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts. This group is the oldest such regional group, having been meeting since 1989.

Our other comments on the Summary Plan are corrections to the Glendale portion of Tables 4-1, 4-2, and 5-19, Appendix 4-A, and Appendix 4-D. In Table 4-1, Glendale should be listed as using government and permits for residential and commercial services, with 67 total haulers. Some of our haulers use the Central LA, Community Recycling, Waste Transfer, Western Waste, and South Gate transfer

C26.2

The revised goals, policies, and objectives listed in Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan were prepared specifically to address Countywide solid waste diversion strategies. Countywide programs that currently exist or are planned for implementation are listed in Section 5.5. No restriction is made in the Summary Plan to prohibit the development and implementation of additional Countywide programs. In fact, Goal 3 encourages additional programs to be developed through intergovernmental cooperation.

C26.3

Refer to Topical Responses No. 22 and No. 23.

C26.4

Table 3-7 was revised as indicated.

C26.5

The indicated corrections were made.

stations. While we understand Table 4-2 is to be updated, it was clearly a mistake to list Glendale's 1995 waste disposal as 60,466.94 tons (it was evidently switched with Gardena's 233,718.61 ton total). In Table 5-19, an "E" should be listed next to Glendale for the Community Recycling and Resource Recovery Facility, which was included in our NDFE.

In Appendix 4-A, line 8 should include a check for "permits/license." Line 13 should include a check for "government." Line 14 should list 67. Line 16 should include the transfer stations listed above. Line 17 should include the landfills listed in Table 4-1. Line 18 should show that all collected waste went to landfills. Line 21 should include checks for "contracts" and "government services." Line 23 should state "No." Line 25 should read 25,547. For "Recycling Implementation Programs," code 1 should state 4,670 TPY, code 3 should state "Yes" and 2,410 TPY, code 5 should state 193 TPY, code 6 should state 683 TPY and "No" for planned program, code 18 should state "Yes" for planned program, code 25 should state "Yes" for existing program, code 27 should list 748 TPY, code 33 should list 13,695 TPY, code 40 should state "Yes" for planned program, code 47 should state "Yes" for existing program, code 48 should state "Yes" for existing program, code 49 should list 12,945 TPY and code 50 should list 14,853 TPY. The Appendix 4-D table for Glendale should reflect the same tonnages noted above.

Comments on Draft Countywide Siting Element - The page 3-36 Brand Park Landfill Fact Sheet should be revised as follows: remaining permitted capacity: 937,500 tons or 750,000 cubic yards, in-place density: 1.25 tons/cubic yard, permitted daily capacity: 82 tons or 65 cubic yards, permitted monthly capacity: 1,300 tons or 1,040 cubic yard, permitted yearly equivalent: 15,600 tons or 12,480 cubic yards, 1995 average daily waste quantity: 22 tons at 5 days/week or 17.6 cubic yards/day at 5 days/week, permitted waste types: inert waste, fragmented asphaltic cement, concrete, dirt. Under point 3, the text should read "the Landfill was disposing of 60 cubic yards per day, and 1,300 tons per month."

Table 4-1 should include a listing of the waste received at all unclassified landfills and a separate table should be added to address the current status of all unclassified landfills. Since three unclassified landfills are permitted and therefore compete with the unpermitted unclassified landfills, it is difficult to determine how to reduce reliance upon the permitted sites without information on the unpermitted sites. This would seem one of the easiest ways to reduce the amount of waste disposed in permitted landfills.

If you have any questions concerning these comments, please contact Tom Brady in the Integrated Waste Management Section at 818-548-3916.

Sincerely,


Kerry Hartford
Director of Public Works

c.c. Robert Weger
KM:TB:tb



KERN COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

Daphne H. Washington, Director
2700 "M" Street, Suite 500
Bakersfield, CA 93301
(805) 862-8900
(800) 552-KERN (option 6)
Fax: (805) 862-8901

RECEIVED
MAR 28 1996

March 26, 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

File: 20012

Michael Mohajer
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RE: LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN, AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

The Kern County Waste Management Department has reviewed these documents and has no comments. The Department would like to commend your division on the level of thoroughness of your product.

Thank you for the opportunity to review these documents.

Sincerely,

DAPHNE H. WASHINGTON, Director

By: Susan L. Reid, AICP
RMDZ Coordinator

FILED
PLATTENBERG/2012/03/28/00

A

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

1000 California Street, Suite 1000
Sacramento, California 95826

Kevin Wilson, Governor



DS

April 2, 1996

RECEIVED

APR 10 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Mr. Harry W. Stone
County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

Dear Mr. Stone:

Thank you for your letter dated March 6, 1996 regarding the Los Angeles County Draft Countywide Siting Element and Draft Countywide Integrated Waste Management Summary Plan and related environmental documents.

State law (Public Resources Code Section 40412) requires that California Integrated Waste Management board members disclose all communications conducted outside of a Board meeting about matters which could come before the Board. In compliance with this law, I am notifying you that your correspondence has been made a part of the Board's official record as well as the subject record to which it relates.

Sincerely,

Robert C. Frazee
Vice Chairman

G2

G2 Comment noted

(This letter is superseded by a subsequent letter from the Governor's Office of Planning and Research dated June 17, 1996)



State of California

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH

1400 TENTH STREET
SACRAMENTO 95814



LEE GRISBOM
DIRECTOR

PETE WILSON
GOVERNOR

April 12, 1996

RECEIVED

APR 16 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

DAVID SMITH
L.A. COUNTY DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CA 91803

Subject: SUMMARY PLAN OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT N SCH #: 96031033

Dear DAVID SMITH:

The State Clearinghouse submitted the above named environmental document to selected state agencies for review. The review period is closed and none of the state agencies have comments. This letter acknowledges that you have complied with the State Clearinghouse review requirements for draft environmental documents, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Please call at (916) 445-0613 if you have any questions regarding the environmental review process. When contacting the Clearinghouse in this matter, please use the eight-digit State Clearinghouse number so that we may respond promptly.

Sincerely,

ANTERO A. RIVASPLATA
Chief, State Clearinghouse

G3

G3 Statement noted.

£ 31033

Mail to: State Office, 1605 Third Street, Room 131, Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 445-0011

NOTICE OF COMPLETION AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT TRANSMITTAL FORM

See 9079 below

1. PROJECT TITLE: **UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, SAN DIEGO CAMPUS, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**
 2. STATE AGENCY: **SAN DIEGO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**
 3. COUNTY: **SAN DIEGO COUNTY**
 4. COUNTY/COMMUNITY: **SAN DIEGO COUNTY**

5. PROJECT LOCATION: **4. COUNTY: SAN DIEGO**
 6. SECTION: **40. CITY/COMMUNITY: ALL OFFICE BUILDINGS**

7. OWNER: **UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO**

8. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

9. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

10. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

11. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

12. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

13. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

14. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

15. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

16. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

17. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

18. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

19. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

20. PROJECT TYPE: **01. General Plan Update**

State Clearinghouse Contact: **Mr. Chris Blisky (916) 445-0011**

State Review Region: **7-13-96**

Dept. Review to Agency: **4-5**

Agency Reply to SCR: **4-10**

SCR COMPLIANCE: **4-12**

Please note SCR Number on all Comments: **96031033**

Please forward comments directly to the Lead Agency: **AQMD/ARCD (Resource: 2/16)**

Project Sent to the following State Agencies	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Resources
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	State/Customer Specific Services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CEQA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California Air Resources Board
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - San Diego
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Imperial
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Kern
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Los Angeles
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Orange
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Riverside
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - San Bernardino
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Santa Ana
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Stanislaus
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Tulare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Yuba
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California State Water Resources Control Board - Other



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

818 West Seventh Street
12th floor
Los Angeles, California
90017-3435

(213) 236-1800
(213) 236-1825

RECEIVED
APR 29 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

April 23, 1996
Mr. Michael Mohajer
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RE: Comments on the Los Angeles County Preliminary Draft
Siting Element and Draft Environmental Impact Report for
the Siting Element (Countywide Integrated Waste
Management Plan) - SCAG No. I 9600090

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Thank you for submitting the Los Angeles County Preliminary Draft
Siting Element and Draft Environmental Impact Report for the
Siting Element to SCAG for review and comment. As area-wide
clearinghouse for regionally significant projects, SCAG assists cities,
counties and other agencies in reviewing projects and plans for
consistency with regional plans. Our comments on the project are
attached.

If you have any questions regarding the comments, please contact Bill
Boyd at (213) 236-1960.

Sincerely,

VIVIANE DOCHE-BOULOS
Intergovernmental Review

Office: Director, Southern Calif. Assoc. of Govts., 818 West Seventh Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3435
 County of Los Angeles: County Administrator, 100 South Main Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012
 County of Alameda: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Butte: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Colusa: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Contra Costa: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of El Dorado: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Fresno: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Inyo: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Kern: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Kings: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Lake: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Lassen: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Mono: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Nevada: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Placer: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Plumas: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of San Bernardino: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of San Diego: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of San Francisco: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of San Joaquin: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Santa Clara: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Santa Cruz: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Stanislaus: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Tehama: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Trinity: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612
 County of Yuba: County Administrator, 1000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612

April 23, 1996
Ms. Michael Mohajer
Page 2

**COMMENTS ON THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PRELIMINARY DRAFT
SITING ELEMENT AND DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
FOR THE SITING ELEMENT
(COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN)**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works has prepared the Preliminary Draft Siting Element and Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element (Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan).

The Siting Element describes those areas that will be used for the development of adequate transformation or disposal capacity for waste that has been first reduced through source reduction, reuse, recycling, and composting. The goals and policies outlined in the element do encourage the development of future solid waste disposal/transformation facilities and alternative waste management facilities. The Siting Element demonstrates that Los Angeles County has plans for sufficient disposal capacity for 15 years of solid waste disposal for its participating jurisdictions, when the specifically identified planned landfill expansions or new landfills are permitted and developed, provided they are environmentally sound and technically feasible. The siting element assumes that the County and local jurisdiction's waste diversion programs will be implemented. Specific policies are detailed which will help reduce the volume (tonnage) of solid waste requiring disposal/transformation through source reduction, recycling, composting and public education.

I. INTRODUCTION TO SCAG REVIEW PROCESS

The document that provides the primary reference for SCAG's project review activity is the Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide (RCFG). The RCFG chapters fall into three categories: core, ancillary, and bridge. The Growth Management, Regional Mobility (being a summary of the 1994 Regional Mobility Element), Air Quality, Hazardous Waste Management, and Water Quality chapters constitute the core chapters. These core chapters respond directly to federal and state planning requirements. The core chapters constitute the base on which local governments ensure consistency of their plans with applicable regional plans under CEQA. The Air Quality and Growth Management chapters contain both core and ancillary policies, which are differentiated in the comment portion of this letter.

April 23, 1996
Ms. Michael Mohajer
Page 3

Ancillary chapters are those on the Economy, Housing, Human Resources and Services, Finance, Open Space and Conservation, Water Resources, Energy, and Integrated Solid Waste Management. These chapters address important issues facing the region and may reflect other regional plans. Ancillary chapters, however, do not contain actions or policies required of local government. Hence, they are entirely advisory and establish no new mandates or policies for the region.

Bridge chapters include the Strategy and Implementation chapters, functioning as links between the Core and Ancillary chapters of the RCPG.

Each of the applicable policies related to the proposed project are identified by number and reproduced below in italics followed by SCAG staff comments regarding the consistency of the project with those policies.

II. CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND GUIDE

A. Core Chapters

1. *The Growth Management Chapter (GMC)* includes both core and ancillary policies in the mandated portion of the chapter that are particularly applicable to this project. The GMC policies relate to the three RCPG goals: to improve the regional standard of living, to maintain the regional quality of life, and to provide social, political, and cultural equity. To achieve these goals, SCAG encourages the development of urban forms that enable individuals to spend less income on housing, minimize public and private development costs, and that enable the private sector to be more competitive, thereby strengthening the regional economy. Attaining mobility and clean air goals is also critical in enhancing the quality of life in the region and can be achieved through the development of urban forms that accommodate a diversity of lifestyles, that preserve open space and natural resources, and that are aesthetically pleasing and preserve the character of communities. Lastly, SCAG encourages the development of urban forms that avoid economic and social polarization and of reaching equity among all segments of society. The evaluation of the proposed project in relation to the following policies is intended to guide efforts toward achievement of such goals and does not infer regional interference with local land use powers.

a. *Core Growth Management Policies*

3.01 *The population, housing, and jobs forecasts, which are adopted by SCAG's Regional Council and that reflect local plans and policies, shall be used by SCAG in all phases of implementation and review.*

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element references the SCAG employment forecasts, which were used to develop waste generation and disposal projections. The Summary Element, however, uses state population and taxable sales projections, instead of SCAG projections for developing their waste generation and disposal projections.

b. *Ancillary Growth Management Policies*

3.09 *Support local jurisdictions' efforts to minimize the cost of infrastructure and public service delivery, and efforts to seek new sources of funding for development and the provision of services.*

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element includes as a goal to reduce the volume (tonnage) of solid waste requiring disposal/transformation through source reduction, recycling, composting and public education. It also includes goals to assist jurisdictions who wish to site solid waste/transformation facilities and alternative waste management facilities; to develop and utilize environmentally sound and technically feasible remote disposal sites and related efforts to provide access to these sites; and, to conserve Class III landfill capacity through various measures, like alternative daily cover materials. These goals and related policies are directed toward minimizing the cost of solid waste infrastructure.

3.11 *Support provisions and incentives created by local jurisdictions to attract housing growth in job-rich subregions and job growth in housing-rich subregions.*

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element includes activities aimed at strengthening and developing markets for recycled or composted materials and products and specific efforts to stimulate economic activity in the county's approved Recycling Market Development Zones. These efforts should help stimulate job growth in housing-rich subregions.

2. *The Regional Mobility Chapter (RMC) also has policies, all of which are core, that have been analyzed for applicability to the proposed project. This chapter links the goal of sustaining mobility with the goals of fostering economic development, enhancing the environment, reducing energy consumption, promoting transportation-friendly development patterns, and encouraging fair and equitable access to residents affected by socio-economic, geographic and commercial limitations. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Siting Element.*

G4

G4

The waste disposal data used in Table 4-2 of the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan are actual figures obtained from jurisdictions and from solid waste disposal facilities. This data was obtained from two different sources:

- The Solid Waste Generation Study prepared by each jurisdiction in their Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) for 1990.
- The Solid Waste Quarterly Disposal Quantity Reports for the January 1 through Dec. 31, 1995 reporting period. Effective January 1995, the regulations require all solid waste disposal facility operators/owners to provide information on a quarterly basis as to the quantities of waste disposed at their facilities by individual jurisdictions.

Solid waste quantity projection data contained in the jurisdictions' SRRE was not considered in the projection methodology for the Countywide Siting Element (CSE). Refer to the CSE for a description of the projection methodology used.

Table 4-2 has been revised in the final draft of the Summary Plan. It lists waste disposal quantities for 1995 only. It does not contain disposal projections for the 1996-2010 planning period.

April 23, 1996
Ms. Michael Mohajer
Page 5

3. The Water Quality Chapter (WQC) includes core recommendations and policy options that are potentially applicable to this project. The recommendations and policy options relate to the two water quality goals: to restore and maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the nation's water; and, to achieve and maintain water quality objectives that are necessary to protect all beneficial uses of all waters. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Siting Element.

4. The Hazardous Waste Management Chapter (HWMC) includes core policies that are potentially applicable to this project. The policies relate to the two hazardous waste goals: to promote the following waste management hierarchy for hazardous wastes: 1) waste reduction 2) recycling and reuse 3) safe disposal; and, to ensure adequate, appropriate, and environmentally safe waste management capacity in the region. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Siting Element.

5. The Air Quality Chapter (AQC) includes policies that are potentially applicable to this project. These policies are presently being characterized as core and ancillary. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Siting Element.

B. Ancillary Chapters

1. The Integrated Solid Waste Management Chapter (ISWM) is non mandated; it is provided for information and advisory purposes. The recommendations in the chapter fulfill the chapter's objectives and do not create new legal mandates for local governments or other regional governmental organizations, like sanitation or waste management districts. The chapter includes the following policy recommendations:

14.1 Developing recycling industries and self sustaining markets for recycled materials.

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element includes activities aimed at developing recycling and composting industries and self sustaining markets for recycled materials. The plan identifies specific efforts to stimulate economic activity in the county's approved Recycling Market Development Zones.

14.2 Encouraging a reduction in overlap in waste prevention public awareness campaigns.

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element encourages local programs which should help

reduce the overlap in waste prevention campaigns. These efforts should build upon the state's strong public awareness waste prevention campaigns.

14.3 Economic impacts of increased waste management costs.

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element includes as an important goal to protect the economic well-being of Los Angeles County by ensuring that the County is served by an efficient and economical public/private solid waste disposal system. The Element, however, fails to adequately address the large volume of waste (691 tons in 1995) from outside the county that may continue to be attracted to Class III landfills and transformation facilities in Los Angeles County. The disposal capacity needs projections do not appear to reflect any disposal capacity considered or reserved for out-of-county imports. Furthermore, the Element does not attempt to forecast the volume of waste that may be exported to other counties. This may represent a significant amount, given recent efforts by Orange and Riverside Counties to seek disposal of waste from Los Angeles County.

In order to help meet the Siting Element's goal of providing long-term disposal of non-hazardous waste and facilitate future siting of new and expanded solid waste disposal facilities in Los Angeles County, the Element includes policies to support and facilitate environmentally sound and technologically feasible rail-haul projects outside Los Angeles County. These could include use of truck haul landfills in adjacent counties; rail haul facilities, such as the proposed Bolo Station or Mesquite regional landfills; or facilities in other states, such as the La Paz or Butterfield landfills in Arizona.

14.4 Promote new technologies.

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element include references to new technologies, and the importance they can play in source reduction, reuse, recycling, composting, landfilling and waste transformation. In order to help meet the goal of providing assistance to jurisdictions who wish to site solid waste disposal/transformation facilities and alternative waste management facilities, the Element identifies two policies to support the development and introduction of new technologies. The Element currently includes only a limited discussion of new technologies (waste-to-energy and pyrolysis). This discussion could be expanded, utilizing information in the County Public Works Department's files or available from SCAG's Solid Waste Task Force study which is currently in process.

14.5 Facilitating regional dialogue on intercounty waste disposal projects.

April 23, 1996
Ms. Michael Mobajjer
Page 7

SCAG staff comments. The Siting Element acknowledges the existence or possible development of the a number of out-of-county solid waste disposal facilities. The Element contains a thorough discussion on the limitations of the out-of-county disposal option. Also included is a thorough discussion of transportation infrastructure requirements (truck transport, rail haul and rail loading facilities). Los Angeles County and its local jurisdictions are encouraged to continue to participate in the regional dialogue on intercounty waste disposal projects and their associated support facilities.

CONCLUSION

As described above, the Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report are generally consistent with pertinent policies in the Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide.



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

818 West Seventh Street
13th Floor
Los Angeles, California
90017-3435

(213) 236-1800
(213) 236-1825

County of Alameda...
County of Butte...
County of Colusa...
County of Contra Costa...
County of Glenn...
County of Humboldt...
County of Inyo...
County of Kern...
County of Kings...
County of Lake...
County of Lassen...
County of Los Angeles...
County of Marin...
County of Matine...
County of Nevada...
County of Orange...
County of Placer...
County of Riverside...
County of San Bernardino...
County of San Diego...
County of San Francisco...
County of Santa Clara...
County of Stanislaus...
County of Tehama...
County of Tulare...
County of Yuba...

RECEIVED
MAY 06 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

April 30, 1996

Mr. Michael Mohajer
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 14660
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RE: Comments on the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and Proposed Negative Declaration Initial Study and Environmental Assessment for the Summary Plan -SCAG No. I 9600091

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Thank you for submitting the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and Proposed Negative Declaration Initial Study and Environmental Assessment for the Summary Plan to SCAG for review and comment. As areawide clearinghouse for regionally significant projects, SCAG assists cities, counties and other agencies in reviewing projects and plans for consistency with regional plans. Our comments on the project are attached.

If you have any questions regarding the comments, please contact Bill Boyd at (213) 236-1960.

Sincerely,

VIVIANE DOCHE-BOULOUS
Intergovernmental Review

April 30, 1996
Mr. Michael Mohajer
Page 2

**COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN
OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION INITIAL STUDY
AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works has prepared the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and Proposed Negative Declaration Initial Study and Environmental Assessment for the Summary Plan.

The Summary Plan establishes Countywide goals, policies and objectives for integrated waste management; establishes an administrative structure for preparing and maintaining the Summary Plan; describes the Countywide system of governmental solid waste management infrastructure; describes the current system of solid waste management in the unincorporated County and the 88 incorporated cities; summarizes the types of programs planned in the individual jurisdictions' Source Reduction and Recycling Elements (SRRs), Household Hazardous Waste Elements (HHWEs), and Nondisposal Facility Elements (NDFEs); and describes programs that could be consolidated or coordinated Countywide. The SRRs, HHWEs and NDFEs delineate the direction each jurisdiction proposes to go in order to reach the waste diversion goals of 25 percent by 1995 and 50 percent by the year 2000.

I. INTRODUCTION TO SCAG REVIEW PROCESS

The document that provides the primary reference for SCAG's project review activity is the Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide (RCPG). The RCPG chapters fall into three categories: core, ancillary, and bridge. The Growth Management, Regional Mobility (being a summary of the 1994 Regional Mobility Element), Air Quality, Hazardous Waste Management, and Water Quality chapters constitute the core chapters. These core chapters respond directly to federal and state planning requirements. The core chapters constitute the base on which local governments ensure consistency of their plans with applicable regional plans under CEQA. The Air Quality and Growth Management chapters contain both core and ancillary policies, which are differentiated in the comment portion of this letter.

April 30, 1996
Mr. Michael Mohajer
Page 3

Ancillary chapters are those on the Economy, Housing, Human Resources and Services, Finance, Open Space and Conservation, Water Resources, Energy, and Integrated Solid Waste Management. These chapters address important issues facing the region and may reflect other regional plans. Ancillary chapters, however, do not contain actions or policies required of local government. Hence, they are entirely advisory and establish no new mandates or policies for the region.

Bridge chapters include the Strategy and Implementation chapters, functioning as links between the Core and Ancillary chapters of the RCPG.

Each of the applicable policies related to the proposed project are identified by number and reproduced below in italics followed by SCAG staff comments regarding the consistency of the project with those policies.

II. CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND GUIDE

A. Core Chapters

1. *The Growth Management Chapter (GMC)* includes both core and ancillary policies in the mandated portion of the chapter that are particularly applicable to this project. The GMC policies relate to the three RCPG goals: to improve the regional standard of living, to maintain the regional quality of life, and to provide social, political, and cultural equity. To achieve these goals, SCAG encourages the development of urban forms that enable individuals to spend less income on housing, minimize public and private development costs, and that enable the private sector to be more competitive, thereby strengthening the regional economy. Attaining mobility and clean air goals is also critical in enhancing the quality of life in the region and can be achieved through the development of urban forms that accommodate a diversity of lifestyles, that preserve open space and natural resources, and that are aesthetically pleasing and preserve the character of communities. Lastly, SCAG encourages the development of urban forms that avoid economic and social polarization and of reaching equity among all segments of society. The evaluation of the proposed project in relation to the following policies is intended to guide efforts toward achievement of such goals and does not infer regional interference with local land use powers.

a. *Core Growth Management Policies*

3.01 *The population, housing, and jobs forecasts, which are adopted by SCAG's Regional Council and that reflect local plans and policies, shall be used by SCAG in all phases of implementation and review.*

April 30, 1996
Mr. Michael Mohajer
Page 4

SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan utilizes SCAG's 1994 population and employment forecasts from the 1990 baseline to year 2000.

b. *Ancillary Growth Management Policies*

3.09 *Support local jurisdictions' efforts to minimize the cost of infrastructure and public service delivery, and efforts to seek new sources of funding for development and the provision of services.*

SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan includes as a goal to facilitate an integrated waste management system of services and programs that will assist participating jurisdictions in achieving long-term economies of scale. The related policies and objectives should help to minimize the cost of solid waste infrastructure and public service delivery. A critically important objective in the Summary Plan is the promotion of land use policies to discourage incompatible land uses between the existing, expansion of existing, and new solid waste management facilities identified in the Countywide Siting Element and adjacent areas. Funding for the various programs in the SRRs have been identified in many instances and some jurisdictions have stated specific goals or objectives addressing the use of regional funding activities or grants to assist in implementation activities.

3.11 *Support provisions and incentives created by local jurisdictions to attract housing growth in job-rich subregions and job growth in housing-rich subregions.*

SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan includes activities aimed at strengthening and developing markets for recycled or composted materials and products and specific efforts to stimulate economic activity in the county's approved Recycling Market Development Zones. These efforts should help stimulate job growth in housing-rich subregions.

2. *The Regional Mobility Chapter (RMC) also has policies, all of which are core, that have been analyzed for applicability to the proposed project. This chapter links the goal of sustaining mobility with the goals of fostering economic development, enhancing the environment, reducing energy consumption, promoting transportation-friendly development patterns, and encouraging fair and equitable access to residents affected by socio-economic, geographic and commercial limitations. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Summary Plan.*

April 30, 1996
Mr. Michael Mottajer
Page 5

3. The Water Quality Chapter (WQC) includes core recommendations and policy options that are potentially applicable to this project. The recommendations and policy options relate to the two water quality goals: to restore and maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the nation's water; and, to achieve and maintain water quality objectives that are necessary to protect all beneficial uses of all waters. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Summary Plan.

4. The Hazardous Waste Management Chapter (HWMC) includes core policies that are potentially applicable to this project. The policies relate to the two hazardous waste goals: to promote the following waste management hierarchy for hazardous wastes: 1) waste reduction 2) recycling and reuse 3) safe disposal; and, to ensure adequate, appropriate, and environmentally safe waste management capacity in the region. There are no policies in this chapter which are directly applicable to the Summary Plan. However, specific actions identified in the County and local cities' HHWEs are supportive of the HWMC goals of: promoting a waste management hierarchy through 1.) waste reduction, 2.) recycling and reuse, and 3.) safe disposal; and, ensuring adequate, appropriate and environmentally-safe waste management capacity.

5. The Air Quality Chapter (AQC) includes policies that are potentially applicable to this project. These policies are presently being characterized as core and ancillary. There are no policies in this chapter which are applicable to the Summary Plan.

B. Ancillary Chapters

1. The Integrated Solid Waste Management Chapter (ISWMC) is non mandated; it is provided for information and advisory purposes. The recommendations in the chapter fulfill the chapter's objectives and do not create new legal mandates for local governments or other regional governmental organizations, like sanitation or waste management districts. The chapter includes the following policy recommendations:

14.1 Developing recycling industries and self sustaining markets for recycled materials.

SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan includes activities aimed at developing recycling and composting industries and self sustaining markets for recycled materials. The plan identifies specific efforts to stimulate economic activity in the county's approved Recycling Market Development Zones.

14.2 Encouraging a reduction in overlap in waste prevention public awareness campaigns.
SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan encourages local programs which should help reduce the overlap in waste prevention campaigns. The Solid Waste Task Force is identified as the vehicle for assisting cities in securing public education and promotional materials, thus supporting the goal of increased public awareness and participation in various waste management activities. These efforts should build upon the state's strong public awareness waste prevention campaigns.

14.3 Economic impacts of increased waste management costs.
SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan includes as an important goal to facilitate an integrated waste management system of services and programs that will assist participating jurisdictions in achieving long-term economies of scale. These cooperative efforts should help to minimize the impacts associated with increased waste management costs. Similar efforts in support of this air are goals in the Summary Plan to expand the number and scope of cooperative waste diversion activities and projects; and, the elimination or reduction of barriers between jurisdictions, agencies, and private enterprises in order to create new opportunities for diversion program implementation.

14.4 Promote new technologies.
SCAG staff comments. The Summary Plan includes an important goal of assuring adequate long-term solid waste disposal capacity for cities and County unincorporated areas. A related policy states that the Solid Waste Task Force will actively seek and identify transformation technologies. This policy could be expanded to include the promotion of new transformation technologies. Information on new technologies is available in the County Public Works Department's files and will be available from SCAG's Solid Waste Task Force study which is currently in process.

14.5 Facilitating regional dialogue on intercounty waste disposal projects.
SCAG staff comments. The Summary goal to "assure adequate long-term solid waste disposal capacity for cities and County unincorporated areas" could also be explicitly supported by the two policies under goal 2.4.6 of the Preliminary Draft Siting Element, relating to the promotion and support of environmentally sound and technologically feasible rail haul projects. Los Angeles County and its local jurisdictions are encouraged to continue to participate in the regional dialogue on intercounty waste disposal projects and their associated support facilities.

G5.1

G5.1 Refer to a)
b)

Goal 1, Policy d, Objective 5; and,
Goal 6, Policy 2, Objective 4.

G5.2

G5.2 Refer to Goal 6, objective 1 and Topical Response No. 10.

April 30, 1996
Mr. Michael Mohajer
Page 7

CONCLUSION

As described above, the Summary Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration Initial Study and Environmental Assessment are generally consistent with pertinent policies in the Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide.

G5.3

G5.3

Comment noted.

(This letter supersedes the letter from the Governor's Office of Planning and Research dated April 12, 1996)

PETE WILSON, Governor



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Governor's Office of Planning and Research

1400 Tenth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

RECEIVED
JUN 25 1996

June 17, 1996

DAVID SMITH
L.A. COUNTY DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CA 91803

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Subject: SUMMARY PLAN OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT N SCH #:
96011033

Dear DAVID SMITH:

The State Clearinghouse has submitted the above named proposed Negative Declaration to selected state agencies for review. The review period is now closed and the comments from the responding agency(ies) is(are) enclosed. On the enclosed Notice of Completion form you will note that the Clearinghouse has checked the agencies that have commented. Please review the Notice of Completion to ensure that your comment package is complete. If the comment package is not in order, please notify the State Clearinghouse immediately. Remember to refer to the project's eight-digit State Clearinghouse number so that we may respond promptly.

Please note that Section 21104 of the California Public Resources Code required that:

"a responsible agency or other public agency shall only make substantive comments regarding those activities involved in a project which are within an area of expertise of the agency or which are required to be carried out or approved by the agency."

Commenting agencies are also required by this section to support their comments with specific documentation.

These comments are forwarded for your use in preparing your final EIR. Should you need more information or clarification, we recommend that you contact the commenting agency at your earliest convenience.

This letter acknowledges that you have complied with the State Clearinghouse review requirements for draft environmental documents, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. Please contact at (916) 445-0613 if you have any questions regarding the environmental review process.

Sincerely,

ANTERO A. RIVASPLATA
Chief, State Clearinghouse

Enclosures
cc: Resources Agency

State of California
California Environmental
Protection Agency

Memorandum

To: Chris Belsky
State Clearinghouse
1400 Tenth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Date: April 12, 1996

David M. Smith
County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803

From:

Patrick Schiavo
Patrick Schiavo, Manager
Waste Characterization and Analysis Branch
Diversion, Planning, and Local Assistance Division
CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Subject: SCH #96031033, Initial Study and Preliminary Draft of a
Negative Declaration (ND) for the County of Los Angeles's
Summary Plan (SP)

California Integrated Waste Management Board staff (staff) has reviewed the subject documents. Following the project description below, you will find staff's comments.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The SP summarizes all solid waste management activities in the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements (SRRES), Household Hazardous Waste Elements (HHWEs), and Nondisposal Facility Elements for all the Cities in Los Angeles County and for the County's unincorporated area. The SP does not provide for new development projects to be undertaken.

The SRRES and HHWEs were subject to separate environmental review.

State Clearinghouse
Page 2

GENERAL COMMENTS

Staff finds no outstanding issues with the documents. When submitting the final SP to the Board for consideration of approval, please be sure to send to the Board a copy of the Notice of Determination (NOD) filed with the County Clerk or State Clearinghouse, documenting the County's adoption of the final ND, to ensure that your final SP submittal is complete.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this document. If you have questions, please call Yasmin Satter of my staff at (916) 255-2194.

G6 G6 Comment noted.

4.2.16

My name is Susan Crawford Vila. I am a resident of Quartz Hill. I am speaking on behalf of LASER and as a concerned private citizen. May I assume you are recording these informational hearings?

I have several questions for the Department of Public Works. I am genuinely interested in your responses, so I will be happy to submit my testimony at its conclusion.

The question of where new dumps should be put is a bit like asking, when did you stop beating your wife. Your top four selections are fascinating.

- Why did you utilize information that's one to two decades old as a basis for your top four choices?
- Why have you chosen four Significant Ecological Areas in which to site dumps?
- Did you consider the profound loss of environment, sensitive animal and plant habitat that the siting of these dumps would cause?
- Why have you chosen two sites that are owned by the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, namely Towseley and Blind Canyons, particularly when you know they will never allow such land use and that they are already set aside for public parks?
- Why would you choose Mission-Rustic-Sullivan Canyons when they've been staunchly protected for over 30 years by people who will never allow you to dump there again?
- Why would you choose Elmore, which is National Forest land, when they've already said they will not allow such land usage nor transfer of lands?
- Have you accurately studied the true costs of landfilling, including post-closure costs?
- Have you accurately compared landfilling costs to alternatives such as remote site rail haul, bioconversion, composting, recycling, reduction, material recovery facilities, ad infinitum and concluded correctly that landfilling is far more expensive?
- What happens 30 years after closure when the dump operator is no longer responsible for the site? Do you think these sites can just be abandoned?
- Who pays for the centuries of toxic clean-up?
- Are you aware that Germany has outlawed dumps entirely because of the unending billions of dollars wasted on vain attempts to contain the toxics at just a single site?

- Have you considered that Operating Industries Landfill in this County has already spent over \$100 million in post-closure expenses, and its far from over?
- Your County Wide Summary Plan includes praiseworthy recycling and education efforts. Why not put more emphasis in those areas? It's likely to generate far more public support.
- In your DEIR under Alternatives Section on page 8-2 you state, "Since the County is mandated by State law to prepare the CSR, no other alternative is considered feasible to attain the objective of this project. As such, none will be considered." Are you dismissing viable and environmentally superior alternatives to siting dumps?
- Are you actively implementing more of such alternatives now so that the remaining landfill space -- at conservative estimates, at least 15 years' worth -- can be utilized far effectively?
- Wouldn't those 15 years of available dump space satisfy the requirements of AB939 that you're trying to address with these documents?
- Are you considering that the City of Los Angeles is implementing numerous measures to cut their waste stream by 70% by the year 2020?
- Have you taken fully into account the effect on the waste stream that recycling of green waste, glass, plastics, metals, building materials, and mixed paper recycling has on the waste stream?
- Did you consider new and existing technologies such as the Bedminster Bioconversion system and its effects on the waste stream?
- Have you considered the EPA mandate to reduce methane gas emitted by landfills?
- Why do your population projections not reflect the genuine shrinkage of growth in L.A. County over the last 10 years?
- Did you take into account the effect on the waste stream of the diversion of waste to Orange County, the result of recent contract negotiations?
- Are you ignoring overtures from Riverside County and other locations out of County and out of State for dump sites?
- Why do you use that ridiculous 'we won't let IA be held hostage' argument?

- P1.1 Refer to a) the variety of existing and proposed recycling and education efforts contained in the subject document; and, Topical Response No. 13.
- P1.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 4.
- P1.3 The State has recognized the importance of recycling to further extend the life of landfills by implementing AB 939 and mandating all jurisdictions to achieve a diversion rate of 50% by the year 2000. Section 5.5 of the Summary Plan lists the various criteria that were used for selecting potential programs for implementation Countywide. One of these criteria includes the objective to maximize local utilization for recovered materials. Also refer to Topical Response No. 5.
- P1.4 Refer to a) Topical Response No. 16
b) the subject document Section 4.6, "Alternative Diversion Technologies"

- Don't your "shortfall" and "excess capacity" tables indicate that with the advent of alternatives, there is no need for new landfills?
 - Do you consider that "disposal capacity" does not have to mean "landfill capacity," that MRF's and the like should be taken into account?
 - Are you trying to create a scenario to justify the siting of Elsmere to somehow override the National Forestry Department?
 - You mention, among others, the possibility of expanding Waste Management's Lancaster facility. Are you aware that the company publicly admits they have to monitor an uncontrollable toxic plume that's flowing out into the desert? Do you know you have only to drive by on any one of our many windy days to see massive amounts of litter scattered all over the desert well beyond the dump? Do you know the last cell they dug, they hit water table, and they've used that cell for garbage anyway?
 - Are you aware that we know every single dump in the County has violations, health hazard issues, leakages, close proximity to populations and water supplies, and sundry other negative impacts on people and the environment?
 - Browning Ferris Industries predicted panic and garbage in the streets if Sunshine were closed. Do you recall that nothing whatsoever happened; in fact, no one needed that dump then and now?
 - Do you really not care that the companies you're dealing with have extremely unsavory connections, not to mention public reputations for being very bad at what they are being paid large sums of money to do?
- I realize that you think the public is out of touch with the realities of waste management. But we're not. The reality is, this seemingly ill-prepared report does little to address the genuine problems of garbage disposal and land management.
- Ladies and gentlemen, I respectfully suggest that it behooves you to get far more state-of-the-art and lose your dump mentality before you're considered as passe as the dumps you're touting.

FAX to Mike Mohaj
818-458-3561

April 10, 1996

FROM: Jean Edwards

RE: Specific things LA County can do to reduce its own waste stream
Unincorporated Area
County Agencies
(partial list)

1. The County should contract or lease apply all of its buildings, and use Phewas Hills only as a back up instead of landfilling about half of their sludge currently (about 500 tons per day).
2. The County should implement an aggressive buy recycled policy for all County purchases and for all companies under contract to the County in order to help create markets for recycled materials and it should report quarterly on the amount and percentage of products purchased with recycled content.
3. The County runs two drop-off centers for recycled materials. But publicity is minimal for these centers and signage has been dropping off. Also the centers do not yet accept mixed paper. The County should aggressively publicize these drop off sites and allow all residents and small businesses to drop off materials.
4. The County should recycle all waste tires generated by its own vehicles and all waste tires brought to County-operated landfills, now that a facility has been opened and is operating in Los Angeles that produces crumb rubber from waste tires. The City of Los Angeles now sends all of the tires picked up through lot and slurry dumps, for example, to this facility. This would also be a way to create a closed-loop program for the rubberized asphalt driveways currently operated by the County.
5. Los Angeles County is the largest employer in the County. County employees work in County facilities and operations as diverse as prisons, office buildings, maintenance yards, hospitals and health care facilities, park and beach areas, road repair, landfills, and transfer stations. In some just a few. Yet no one appears to keep track of how much waste is disposed of in the County each day by these employees as they carry out their work responsibilities. No one is tracking the diversion rate for these County operations and reporting to the Board of Supervisors as part of the Quarterly Report prepared by Public Works, despite the fact that this waste stream is likely to be a substantial portion of the waste disposed of daily in County landfills. (For example, Los Angeles City Department was documented to dispose of more than 12% of all L.A. City waste in 1990 and are directed to implement and report on diversion programs yearly.) All County agencies should be required to set up recycling programs and report regularly on waste disposal and diversion so that they can directly assist in reducing landfill disposal needs and as an example to other localities and commercial businesses.
6. The Unincorporated Area of Los Angeles County has the second largest waste stream of the 88 localities making up the County. Responsibility for waste management in this area is the responsibility of the County. The County currently has mechanisms for contracting that this waste stream is diverted but has made minimal efforts to utilize these mechanisms in either the residential or commercial waste stream. In the residential sector, it can require recycling via the garbage disposal districts as well as through ordinances in the other portion of the Unincorporated Area. Yet mixed paper is still not included in curbside programs and yard trimmings service is not offered throughout the area. No reports on progress of diversion in the Unincorporated Area are included in Public Works' Quarterly Reports to the County Board of Supervisors.

P2.1	Refer to Topical Responses No. 15 and No. 19.
P2.2	Refer to a) Topical Response No. 18; and, b) Goals 4 & 7.
P2.3	Refer to Topical Response No. 5.
P2.4	Refer to a) Topical Response No. 14; b) Topical Response No. 19; and, c) Goal 6 Objectives.
P2.5	Refer to Goals 7 and 8 and their respective policies and objectives.
P2.6	Refer to a) Topical Response No. 11; b) Topical Response No. 2.

7. The County runs a Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ) which is responsible for bringing additional recycling businesses into certain designated areas of the County. Yet there is no cohesive County strategy for utilizing this program to increase markets for recyclables or reduce dependence on County landfills, nor is any program report on this program included in the Quarterly Reports to the County Board of Supervisors.
8. The L.A. County Sanitation Districts are responsible for operations at various landfills and a County transfer station. Some recycling activities occur at these facilities, but no major effort has been made to expand and quantify these diversion activities, nor to make them part of the County's year-to-year strategy for reducing dependence on landfill capacity. The pilot earthquake recovery program for construction and demolition debris at Calabasas has been suspended and has not been expanded to other landfills. No other major waste processing activities have been implemented at the landfill or at the transfer station. There is no ongoing separation of metals. There are no plans to embark on pilot landfill reusing activities or test new synthetic or reusable cover materials that could expand landfill capacity by 25-30%. No quantitative goals or reports regarding diversion at these facilities or efforts to substantially lengthen landfill life through creative use of new types of cover materials or landfill reusing or compaction initiatives are made on a regular basis to the Board of Supervisors, nor are these part of the County's Summary Plan. The proposed Puentes Hills MRF is clearly proposed primarily as a waste transfer facility, not a processing facility. The MRF is intended to be a permanent, not temporary, facility. The proposed MRF will implement diversion, and investments in other waste processing activities have been postponed until implementation of this MRF, despite opportunities to implement additional source separation and waste processing activities immediately at County solid waste facilities.
9. The County would do a great service to other localities and greatly assist in its own diversion planning if it would conduct annual waste composition studies at each of its four landfills and report on what types and quantities of recyclable materials were still arriving in large quantities.
10. The County has not utilized either its County Integrated Waste Management Task Force or its AB939 sub-committee as a way of creating leadership on diversion issues and meaningful progress toward diversion goals. Yet this could be a powerful vehicle for creating a major change in County policy and programs that would reduce dependence on County disposal facilities.
11. The Summary Plan does not provide the kind of specific reporting mechanisms on landfill alternatives that the 1988 Action Plan provided for landfill capacity tracking and efforts. Qualitative reporting to the Board of Supervisors on progress on a wide range of market development and diversion efforts should be a major part of the Summary Plan goals and objectives. Specific timetables for implementation and clearly outlined reporting responsibilities should be an integral part of County agency responsibilities in the Summary Plan.
12. County education initiatives can have a major impact on diversion progress in County agencies, other localities, and in the Unincorporated Area. However, these initiatives should be fitted to clear, quantifiable goals in order to determine their effectiveness and the County should solicit input from other localities on how County efforts can support other efforts. Reporting and evaluations of these educational efforts to both the County Board of Supervisors and to other localities which are anticipated to benefit from joint efforts.
13. County Public Works and the County Sanitation Districts have maintained a strong presence in Sacramento on landfill and waste disposal issues. Both agencies should take the same leadership with regard to State initiatives that can increase energy reduction, recycling, composting, and markets for recycled products.

P2.7	Refer to Topical Response No. 17.
P2.8	Refer to Topical Responses No. 8 and No. 19.
P2.9	Refer to Topical Response No. 5.
P2.10	Refer to a) Goal 3; and, b) Topical Response No. 19.
P2.11	Refer to Topical Response No. 2.
P2.12	Refer to Goals 3, 5, and 8 and their respective policies and objectives.
P2.13	Refer to Topical Responses No. 19 and No. 20.



April 18, 1996

Re: L. A. County Integrated Waste Management Draft Summary Plan
(for hearing April 18, 1996, Taft High School)

Dear Members of the "Listening Team" for this evening's meeting:

Since these meetings are for the "general public's involvement in the planning process", we hope that our input will be considered and responded to in a meaningful way, empowering those who care enough to be involved.

General Statement:

I would like to urge that there be City and County-wide bans on all plastic containers that cannot be recycled. Presently only plastics marked "1" and "2" are eligible. That leaves "3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8" as costly elements for disposal, both environmentally and fiscally for which the public pays. The County of Los Angeles should be directed to enlist the support of the state legislature to enact appropriate legislation state-wide, thus reducing the burdens for both local governments and the taxpayers. Vendors should be our allies, not our enemies, in their use of recyclable plastics or they should bear the costly burden of disposal of the un-recyclable.

Instead of pitting community against community in the choice of the next canyon landfill, logic dictates a more aggressive recycling campaign, through education and legislation.

Specific suggestions:

1. Under Section VII (c), "Impacts and Mitigations", the answer should be "site specific" (i.e., Sunshine Canyon has documented adverse reactions for people in its environs.)
2. All of Table 4 ("Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigations Measures") the word "unavoidable" precludes utilization of known remedies, not the least of which would be "no project" or consultation with and implementation of professionals in the appropriate field.
3. Again, (Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation", Table 4, all columns marked "none" under "unavoidable" should be re-considered by professionals in the appropriate field before such a blatant admission of "none" is made.
4. Same Table 4 of "Summary" should not rely on following a code as a mitigation: Code compliance is already your legal obligation!

P3.1 Refer to Topical Responses No. 5, No. 19, and No. 20.

P3.2

Refer to a)

the variety of existing and proposed recycling and education efforts contained in the subject document; and,

b) Topical Response No. 13.

page 1

5. In the "Question and Answer" section, Question 6, it is stated: "The Task Force developed the goals, policies, and siting procedures..." It is our understanding that a Task Force subcommittee made comments on this Siting Element. One's conclusion must arbitrarily be that the Answer is not accurate nor reflective of the reality. Why didn't this occur?
6. Question 9: If in fact your criteria (considered in protecting public health and safety) are accurate, all in-county new landfills should and would be eliminated for consideration.

page 2

7. There should be a Question 12 to read as follows:

Why weren't out-of-county landfills discussed and charted as extensively as in-county landfills, as well as incorporated in calculations of disposal capacity (15 years)?

If out-of-county landfills are included in calculations of future disposal capacity, there is no landfill shortage. Why weren't those large landfills, many of which are closer to permitting than any new in-county landfills, considered as part of the County's future land filling capacity? Why aren't markets, recycling and other reduction programs being more vigorously pursued by the County?

Thank you for the opportunity to have these comments and questions included in your process.

Sincerely,

Jill Swift, President
Friends of Caballero Canyon

P3.3

P3.3

The revised goals, policies, and objectives listed in Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan were prepared specifically to address Countywide solid waste diversion strategies. Countywide programs that currently exist or are planned for implementation are listed in Section 5.5. No restriction is made in the Summary Plan to prohibit the development and implementation of additional Countywide programs. In fact, Goal 3 encourages additional programs to be developed through intergovernmental cooperation.

Also refer to Topical Response No. 17.



2540 227th Street
Torrance, California 90505
(310) 539-7150
Fax: (310) 539-3833

April 22, 1996

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California 91803

SUBJECT: Comments to the Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan,
Dated January 1996

ATTENTION: Mr. David Smith
Environmental Programs Division

The following are suggested changes to the Countywide Siting Element:

- 1) Glossary of terms, page xvi, the terms **aerobic** and **anaerobic** now state, "...in the presence or absence of free oxygen." This should be changed to read, "...in the presence or absence of air or oxygen", not free oxygen (alone).
- 2) Page 3-2, 3.3.1, third paragraph, eighth line, "hydraulic continuity" should read 1×10^{-6} cm/sec, not 1×10^{-7} cm/sec.
- 3) Page 3-5, 3.4, second paragraph, fourth line, "...landfills, six minor ..." should read, "...landfills, five minor..."
- 4) Page 10, asterisk at bottom of page is defined, but **not** found anywhere in the page text.
- 5) Page 18, "Adivari" should be removed (insure accuracy of names).
- 6) Page xviii, ~~Garbage~~ (definition) suggested added phrase, for clarification, parenthesize: (Garbage in other California codes is inclusive with refuse, trash, rubbish and related solid waste).
- 7) Page 3-10, table 3-1 (continued), lists the facility Two Harbors as being closed September 1995. Why not remove this facility from the facility listing?

8) Page xx, Permitted Daily Capacity. The definition of this phrase names the various "permits" necessary, and ends with, "... whichever is less." In the various tables and figures throughout, Puente Hills Landfill shows two (2) daily capacity values... 12,000 and 13,200. One number should be used throughout, to be consistent with the definition. The change should be made accordingly.

The following are suggested changes to the Summary Plan:

- 1) Glossary of terms, page xi, the terms aerobic and anaerobic should be changed as indicated in #1 above.
- 2) Page xix, Garbaga (definition) the same applies as stated in #6 above.
- 3) Page 4-21, table 4-6 (listings). The correct address for Falcon Refuse Center is: 3031 East "I" Street, not 30301.
- 4) Page xxxviii Special Waste. This now indicates, Department of Health Services, sections 66310, 66740 and 66744, which was repealed in 1991. This entire definition must be rewritten to accurately reflect the Department of Toxic Substances Control involving sections 66261.120, 66261.122, 66261.124 and 66261.126 (see attachments).
- 5) Table 5-19, listing for Falcon Refuse Center now reads (location) Long Beach. Change location to state: Los Angeles.

In addition, the following comment is made involving the Summary Plan, Volume II. On each "survey form" Falcon, in items 11 and 16, is called "Falcon Transfer Station, L.A." The correct name is: Falcon Refuse Center, L.A.

Sincerely,



Clarence W. Gieck
 Director, Technical Services

Attachments

P4.1	P4.1	Definitions have been revised to be consistent with CSE. It should be noted that air, by definition, contains (free) oxygen.
P4.2	P4.2	No change has been made as the definition used is identical to that contained in State regulations.
P4.3	P4.3	The change indicated has been made.
P4.4	P4.4	Comment noted and definition has been modified.
P4.5	P4.5	The change indicated has been made.
P4.6	P4.6	Comment noted. Future revision of the survey form will incorporate this change.



Respond to:
 Richard Seeley
 3924 El Caminito St.
 La Crescenta Ca, 91214

April 21, 1996

Los Angeles County
 Dept of Public Works
 Environmental Programs Division
 P.O. Box 1460
 Alhambra Ca, 91802-1460

Attn: Donald L. Wolfe
 Deputy Director, Public Works

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

Your letter of March 4, 1996 along with relevant material on the L.A. Integrated Waste Management Plan has been referred to the L.A. County League of Women Voters and the undersigned. Allison Fuller is no longer involved in this matter locally.

After wading through most of the material contained in the plan, it is our view that there are areas of solid waste control that need primary emphasis; emphasis that only cursorily appears in the EIR and the Siting documents and is routinely dismissed as too expensive or against public opinion or both.

Full and complete consideration must be given to the following three segments of solid waste management and, as we enter the 21st century, implementation should be mandatory:

First, funding and research on alternative methods of waste disposal such as non-polluting incineration, pyrolysis, waste-to-energy facilities, and as yet undiscovered approaches must be given priority.

Second, intense and continuing public education regarding the above alternatives and prevention of solid waste in the first place, items like excessive packaging, conspicuous consumption, insistence on complete biodegradable packaging and so on must be enacted.

Lastly, correlative to these proposals and in the overall interest of community sustainability there should be an agreement to limit growth, both commercial and residential, for as long as it takes to create and implement the educational and alternative programs.

Obviously, landfills are the easiest to implement and the cheapest to operate but they are neither a viable nor a long term solution to the problem of solid waste disposal. Additionally, since the

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS of LOS ANGELES COUNTY is an inter-league organization

Long Beach • Los Angeles • Palms Verde • Pasadena • Pomona • San Gabriel Valley • Santa Monica • South Pasadena • Torrance • Van Nuys

Long Beach • Los Angeles • Palms Verde • Pasadena • Pomona • San Gabriel Valley • Santa Monica • South Pasadena • Torrance • Van Nuys

RECEIVED

APR 24 1996

OFFICE OF PUBLIC WORKS
 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

P5.1

P5.1 Refer to Topical Responses No. 5 and No. 19.

P5.2

P5.2 This type of action is beyond the purpose and scope of the Summary Plan. However, this and other concerns can be addressed by jurisdictions through the Task Force which will be the primary forum for addressing solid waste issues on a Countywide basis as stated under the policy for Goal 3.

Also refer to Topical Reponse No. 19.

costs as well as the profits fall basically upon private waste haulers, the interest in alternatives lags.

The County League believes we must eliminate or severely reduce our reliance on landfills as the primary disposal source not only in Los Angeles County, but nationwide as well. It would appear that incineration and pyrolysis are nearly pollution free methods of disposal with the only negatives being cost and funding. Money must be found, by increasing taxes and fees if necessary, to create citywide or tri-city disposal plants with only the residue created by these plants transported to landfills. To continue with the primary emphasis upon landfills as a solution to our solid waste disposal problems is tantamount to disaster.

Thank you for submitting the Siting material and the EIR for review. I trust you will keep us advised in the future as to public meetings and other information updates.

Sincerely,



Jean E Berger
President, LMW/LAC



Richard Seeley
Energy Director

GPP
DS-

RECEIVED
APR 29 1988
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

April 23, 1988
Mr. Michael Mohajer
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Ave.
Alhambra, CA 91803

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

On April 11, 1988 I attended a public hearing held in the West Los Angeles community of Brentwood. This hearing was part of the slating element for the Los Angeles County Waste Management Summary Plan.

After reading the Summary Plan, I noticed that nothing was mentioned in the Purpose and Goals that will create incentives to reduce waste. Unless the Plan specifically addresses the issue of creating incentives for the public to reduce waste, the plan avoids resolving the problem at the generation point. Incentives really work.

The County and City of Los Angeles must partner together to create incentives that reduce the public's generation of waste or the amount of trash that is generated by the public will continue to grow as certainly as suburban sprawl in Los Angeles. The Summary Plan in its current form will promote the more costly "end of pipe solution" and will be ineffective at reducing the source of the waste in the first place.

Currently, the costs for waste disposal are levied in the form of taxes to the citizens of Los Angeles City and County. From a taxpayer's point of view the total costs for dealing with the waste problem will continue to grow as will the tax that pays for handling the waste. Until the City and the County have the courage to demand sacrifices from the citizens of Los Angeles County for dealing with the waste problem before its created, the County will continue to demand sacrifices from the communities for "end of pipe" solutions such as siting landfills in and around our communities remaining open spaces.

Solutions? How about a ban on yard waste, such as is enforced in several other states? There are many creative ideas that can be generated by simply asking the question: "What is the best way the County of L.A. can create an incentive for people to continuously reduce their waste rather than having to continuously ask them to site landfills in their neighborhoods and remaining open spaces? I would be willing to work with the County to develop such incentives!

The Summary Plan must have as its prime objective, the incentive to reduce the volume of waste created or it will continue to pass along the increasing costs of waste management that will not promote a sustainable quality of life in Los Angeles County.

Respectfully,

Richard Klodian
Richard Klodian
11827 Idaho Ave., # 7
Los Angeles, CA 90025
310-828-8016

cc: Richard Klodian, Mayor
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Senator Barbara Boxer, Committee on Environment and Public Works

printed on recycled paper

- P6.1 Refer to Topical Responses No. 3 and No. 6.
- P6.2 Refer to Goal 3 and its policies and objectives and Topical Response No. 6.
- P6.3 Refer to Goal 1 and Goal 5 and their policies and objectives. Source reduction of waste, which focuses on the problem at the point of generation, is specifically identified in the policy for Goal 1.
Also refer to Topical Responses No. 3, No. 5, and No. 6.

EPD

Peggy McCain
2334 Westridge Road
Los Angeles, CA 90048
(310)471-6434

April 28, 1988

RECEIVED
MAY - 2 1988
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Mr. Mohajer, Project Director
Solid Waste Management
Department of Public Works
Los Angeles County
800 South Fremont Ave.
Alhambra, CA 91803

RE: Highest and Best Use of Mission, Rustic-Sullivan Canyons

Dear Mr. Mohajer:

Landfills are obsolete. The exorbitant cost of purifying contaminated water, soil, human health and land loss use leaves no other choice than to recycle. Los Angeles is one of the leading areas of the country and the world. It is time we become the leader into the 21st Century and encourage total recycling. One gentleman, attending the April 11th meeting said his recycling firm, recycles 100% of the solid waste.

The continual proposing of landfills for these and other canyons, is a waste of tax payer dollars. It appears none of the previous documented scientific work was used in your newly "recycled" landfill proposal. This again is a total waste of citizen's tax dollar and time which should be spent to encourage recycling firms. Let us address the problem. Science hand-in-hand with technology and business should be instrumental in solving the problem with the help of the Solid Waste Management to assist it through to implementation.

Enclosed are two information sheets. One covering the specific landfill area with other concerns of recycling, composting and landfill problems. The other an abbreviated listing which your publication *SUMMARY PLAN, Environmental Programs Divisions, Draft Negative Declaration*...this is amazing to find NO IMPACT in regard to the canyons. Below are the largest problems.

- * Earthquake epicenter site at proposed southern/flower base of landfill.
- * Contamination of Santa Monica potable water wells and Encino Reservoir.
- * Health risk to large population area. Note separate enclosures.
- * Traffic congestion is near gridlock in the western side of Los Angeles.
- * Public Law 98-506 prohibits landfill sites on parkland areas.
- * Fire hazard from landfill site.
- * Noise pollution.
- * Loss of a heavily used recreation area used by people from all parts of LA and surrounding parts which happens to be situated in a dense population area.
- * Contamination of water and soil is exorbitantly expensive to rectify.

The present and future is recycling. Let's bury for once and for all the antiquated garbage dumps. The Roman garbage dumps still have leachate flowing from them after 2,000 years. Our Earth, her people, her wildlife and plant life are too precious to waste.

Recycle, recycle, recycle.

cc
Yaroslavsky
Braude

enclosures: 8

P7.1 Refer to Goals 7 and 8 and Topical Response No. 4.

P7.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 17.

EPP

2153 Arona Drive
West Covina, Ca. 91791
June 13, 1996

RECEIVED
JUN 17 1996

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, California 91802-1460

Dear Sir:

This letter is to formally protest the Countywide Siting Element, the Summary Plan and the Draft Environmental Impact Report Report Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and to request revision of each so as to adequately inform the public of the alternatives that the County of Los Angeles could adopt. Waste disposal at landfills in Los Angeles County has had a history of adverse environmental consequences upon the properties that are adjacent or near to landfills. The above named documents, do not adequately inform the public what the history of problems are at landfills in Los Angeles County. Without such a history of the problems, a member of the public or decision maker who relies upon these documents to become informed is without a proper basis to make a good decision. California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that real alternatives be identified and the consequences of each be included in the report. How a landfill is designed can make a major difference upon what the neighbors of a landfill must endure once waste is deposited at such a landfill. Although baling of garbage in mentioned the lack of odors at landfills that only accept garbage that has been processed and squeezed into bales prior to placement in a landfill is not explained or even outlined in these plans or elements as published (January 1996). Also baled garbage does not produce leachate and as a result landfills that only accept baled garbage have not had groundwater pollution problems.

Specifically review of situations such as Newport, Oregon and Coeur D'Alene, Idaho as part of the EIR would give the public a proper background to evaluate alternatives. At Newport the dewatering of garbage by baling was adopted because the previous loose placement of waste, as is done in all Los Angeles County landfills, caused groundwater problems. At Coeur D'Alene, the problem with loose placement of waste was odors and gases in the old gravel pit where disposal was occurring. Today the pit has been filled with bales. The landfill is closed and the surface is now used for recreational facilities.

Wet garbage placement with compaction at the face of the landfill leads to environmental problems at a landfill and with the design of landfills to place only dry waste reduces significantly the possibility of future environmental problems such as odors and groundwater contamination. Therefore siting of landfills in Los Angeles County that only accept dewatered garbage should be the recommended policy in the EIR and the document should be revised to include the dewatering of garbage prior to placement in any landfill that will continue to operate or be sited in Los Angeles County.

Very truly yours,

Royall Brown
Royall Brown

P8.1

P8.1 Refer to

- a) Goal 1, Policy d, Objective 5; and,
- b) Goal 6, Policy 2, Objective 4.

3 pages *DS*

UPPER MANDEVILLE CANYON ASSOCIATION
P.O. Box 48945
Los Angeles, California 90049

June 17, 1996

Mr. David Smith
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RE: Summary Plan of Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Preliminary Draft, Proposed Negative Declaration, Initial Study and Environmental Assessment, Technical Appendices) and Countywide Solid Waste Management (Preliminary Draft, Draft Environmental Impact Report).

Dear Mr. Smith:

Upper Mandeville Canyon Association represents about 270 homes situated between Mission Canyon and Rustic/Sullivan Canyons. We are extremely concerned about the continued presence of Mission/Rustic/Sullivan Canyons in the list of potential landslides for Los Angeles County to ensure adequate disposal capacity for the next 5 to 15 years.

If this document was a site-specific EIR, how could Mission/Rustic/Sullivan meet the siting criteria listed in Appendix 5 of the preliminary draft Countywide Siting Elements (CSE) since:

1. The residents in near proximity to the site could not be protected, judging from existing problems with the Mission Canyon landslides.
2. Due to underlying faults which caused much damage after the Northridge earthquake of 1994 and to highly unstable soils, the structural stability and safety of the facilities could not be ensured.
3. According to the latest State Water Resources Report, all 8 Mission Canyon landslides are leaking into surface waters and groundwater (which may be affecting wells downstream of the existing landslides), so it seems problematic that surface waters and groundwater can be protected.
4. Rustic/Sullivan are in a Significant Ecological Area and, in combination with Mission Canyon, form the last wildlife linkage with the eastern Santa Monica Mountains, so they must be protected.
5. The conditions in Sepulveda Pass are hazardous now for garbage trucks, with gridlock conditions for hours every day that lead frequently to accidents and fires.
6. The social and economic goals of the community are to maintain property values and to promote the natural and recreational resources of Rustic/Sullivan /Mission which are a major factor in the economic development of our community?

Under CEQA, if the goals and policies of a draft EIR are site-specific, doesn't the draft EIR have to be site specific? To accomplish Goal 2.4.1 (page 2-2, CSE) the Policies include "The County and the County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, The City of Los Angeles, and the County will coordinate efforts for the permitting and development of Mission/Rustic/Sullivan Canyons Landslides provided it is found to be environmentally sound and technically feasible." Similar site-specific policies are stated for Elanero Canyon,

UPPER MANDREVILLE CANYON ASSOCIATION

Towhee Canyon, Blind Canyon, expansions of Antelope Valley and Lancaster Landfills, Chiquita Canyon Landfill, Puerto Hills Landfill, Lopez Canyon Landfill and Sunshine Canyon Landfill.

Why doesn't the CSE DEIR discuss or tabulate the suitability of not only Mission/Rustico/Sullivan, but the other 3 sites on the list (Table 6-1, page 6-13), as proposed new landfills sites using the siting criteria? If these criteria are not exclusionary, what criteria are?

Shouldn't this CSE include a probable time to permit any of these proposed new landfill sites? Won't permitting a new landfill site take more than 5 years, perhaps more than 15 years? Why should these new sites be included in a plan intended to ensure 5 to 15 years of landfill capacity?

Why doesn't the CSE DEIR tabulate the out-of-county landfill sites, including whether they are operating or will be operating in the next five years? State law requires the county to develop a strategy that may include a number of options, not just new in-county landfills. Isn't this CSE incomplete without a more thorough discussion of alternative strategies?

How can the CSE DEIR talk about "Significant Irreversible Changes" in Chapter 10-1 without doing site-specific discussions? In particular, isn't the second "irreversible environmental change" considering biological resources losses highly inaccurate since it does not address all possible losses to any or all of the potential landfill sites?

We ask that the CSE DEIR do site-specific EIRs or sites provide for 5-15 years of landfill capacity through other strategies such as out-of-county landfills, transformation facilities that meet regulatory requirements for operation within the county, or stronger reduction strategies than those proposed in the Summary Plan (SP).

If the SP is totally separate from the CSE and is meant to be solely an administrative document or an overview, then a mitigated negative declaration could be considered, but, under CEQA, if there are any environmental impacts you cannot have a negative declaration. Why do you discuss environmental impacts and then call for a negative declaration?

If the SP contains no reference to the CSE (the note on page 1-5 seems to indicate otherwise) then the SP does not have to do an EIR. However, one of the SP goals (SPTable ES-1, Goal 6, page ES-13 and Goal 6, page 2-5) states: "Assure adequate long-term solid waste disposal capacity for the cities and County unincorporated areas." The related policy for Goal 6 (SP page 2-5) says: "The County and the participating cities in Los Angeles County will support the development of new disposal facilities and expansion of existing facilities identified in the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan (LACSWMAP) adopted on April 5, 1988, by the County Board of Supervisors (as revised by the Countywide Siting Element), as long as they are found to be technically and environmentally feasible."

How can a document (the SP) containing another document (CSE DEIR) with an unapproved DEIR and acknowledged irreversible environmental impacts (Chapter 10, CSE DEIR) receive a negative declaration? Doesn't this SP Goal 6 have to be removed to keep the CSE and the SP separate?

Since the LACSWMAP EIR contained site-specific studies, if the CSE is to be a revision of the 1988 LACSWMAP, shouldn't it update those site-specific studies?

UPPER MAHDEVILLE CANYON ASSOCIATION

The SP contains informational programs to promote recycling, reduction and reuse. How are we going to meet the mandate of 50% reduction of our wastewater by the year 2000 if the County does not initiate more proactive programs and leadership? As residents threatened by the destruction of our surrounding natural resources, our air and our water, if we don't radically reduce our wastewater, we are extremely concerned that the County is not more actively encouraging new industries to locate in southern California, use our recyclables and enhance our economy. We find landfill permitting procedures should be reviewed to see if landfill life can be extended by lowering the ceiling on permitted tons/day and by attempting to control the importation of trash from out-of-county to in-county landfills as long as the County refuses to consider out-of-county sites as part of our 5- to 15-year landfill capacity.

P 9.1


P 9.1 Refer to Goals 1 thru 8 (Goal 3 in particular) and their respective Policies and Objectives.

P 9.2

Also refer to Topical Response No. 10.

P 9.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 17.

Sincerely,



Betty Landa
Vice President, UMCA

June 17, 1996 Facsimile Communication

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Attention: David Smith
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California 91803-1331

RECEIVED

JUN 18 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION

To whom it may concern.

This letter is being submitted to comment on the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Countywide Siting Element (CSE) and County Integrated Waste Management Plan (CIWMP).

Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan

In general the goals, policies and objectives of the CIWMP give short shrift to the basics of economics in that the cost of landfilling is being kept far below the actual cost of disposal by the biggest landfill operator, the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County. This will continue to make any kind of source reduction or recycling more expensive than simply hauling it off and dumping it in a hole or canyon. The National Solid Waste Management Association (NSWMA) and the Solid Waste Association of North America (SWANA) in numerous publications point out that the cost for recovering recyclables using the most commonly used and cost effective methods is approximately 50-55 dollars per ton at its lowest. Therefore, as long as the county continues to subsidize the cost of solid waste disposal at 17 dollars a ton it will never be in the economic interest of businesses, public entities, or the general citizenry to recycle. The only thing that keeps the current MRFs in business is the labor and transportation cost savings and subsidies from cities to meet their recycling goals. The Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (San. Districts) have proposed a level pay plan where its proposed MRF would charge the same tip fee as the landfill. How does that encourage recycling? In a capitalist economy the cheapest price wins, if you lower the price they will come.

The CIWMP also ignores the responsibility to address the political obstacles to implementing any tangible actions. No member of the task force, or any political or government official is willing to raise rates for disposal to consumers or businesses. This is the third rail of trash politics. Therefore the CIWMP proposes, encourages, works with entities, trains, educates, assists, considers, investigates etc. but very few projects requiring capital investment or rate increases are proposed.

Although much of this is beyond the jurisdiction of the LA County Department of Public Works (DPW), there are actions which could be taken by the DPW unilaterally. This would put the DPW in the leadership role and be an example to the county communities to walk the walk of the DPW instead of just the talk. For example does the DPW used retreaded tires on its cars? No. They will claim they do on their heavy

P10.1 P10.1 Refer to Topical Responses No. 17 and No. 21.

P10.2 P10.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

P10.3 P10.3 Refer to Goals 7 & 8 and their respective Policies and Objectives.

duty vehicles but that has been always been true for all heavy duty vehicles since the 40's. What recycled procurement has the DPW committed to? Does it have a policy much less a mandatory one for recycled procurement. How can the CIWMP propose that it will encourage, educate etc. when it doesn't do it themselves? Does the DPW purchase recycled oil, antifreeze or lubricants? Does the county have a program for the use of alternative road products? If so how much has it used. This is not stated because it is a small token amount. What are the accomplishments of the DPW that are examples to the county communities?

The plan states that it will encourage mixed waste composting. Mixed waste composting has been a questionable strategy in the U.S. and Europe. The product quality/marketability is low and there are still unsolved problems with contamination, odors, siting and process problems. Biosolids and green waste composting is the predominant and fastest growing composting strategy in the U.S. and Europe. This should be considered the most viable options but it is not proposed because it would supplant the green waste ADC program.

The DPW and San. Districts were conspicuously absent from hearings before the planning commission for the proposed yard waste and biosolids composting facility in Lancaster. If they are in favor of composting why weren't they backing that project. Is it because it would compete with them?

The reports repeatedly claim that the County LEAs enforce state and federal law. Their roles and responsibilities are to enforce the law, that is correct. However they do not enforce the law in any tangible way, shape or form. They do not issue fines, close facilities or sanction their supposed charges in any way. West Covina LEA will make history, if it succeeds in closing BKK. Even this may be a pyrrhic victory since the financial responsibility for closure may not be adequate forcing the state and city to foot the bill for the mess that BKK made on the assumption that trash would be an eternal sponge for the absorption of hazardous liquids. The LEAs are purely advisory or ceremonial groups which hope to or make the appearance of inflicting discomfort on operators and owners with paper cuts and brow beatings. I note as evidence the recent NRDC lawsuit, which was won, which supported the fact that the CIWMB and LEAs failed to enforce the law. I also cite as evidence the repeated unpermitted illegal vertical and lateral expansions of Chiquita and Lopez Canyon Landfills with no consequence to the operators. No matter how many sashes, medals and paper proclamations are bestowed on the president of Chile, General Pinochet is still in charge. So it is with the figurehead LEAs when the budget, staff and clout of the landfill operators are matched against these puny staffed, barely funded agencies. History tells us who has won.

The reports should be corrected to reflect the status of Yard Waste ADC as not being legitimate recycling. Although the court decision is under appeal the EIR must reflect the current statements of the court and thus the current state of the law on this subject. Yard waste ADC is not recycling. It is in fact, landfill disposal. The reports repeatedly state in numerous locations that Green Waste ADC is considered recycling. If this is to be corrected it should be stated by the authors that green waste is considered recycling

P10.4 P10.4

The Summary Plan did not specifically state that it will encourage mixed solid waste composting; however, it does support the investigation of a potential implementation of a local and/or regional solid waste composting program in conjunction with the private sector and area cities.

Refer to a) Goal 1, Policy c; and,

b) Goal 6, Policy 2, Objective 4d.

P10.5 P10.5

According to recent changes in state law the use of yard waste as ADC constitutes diversion through recycling. Section 4.1 in Chapter 4 of the Summary Plan has been updated to reflect this change in the law.

except by every other state of the union and except by the courts and rule of law in the State of California. Why do we have courts if their decisions are not to be accepted, even if they are under appeal. If reducing the volume of solid waste is recycling, all that needs to be done is to set up bailers and hammermills at landfills and shred and compress all the waste to 50% of its original volume to reach the recycling goals. Does that make sense? If ADC is recycling why can't the avoided use of dirt cover be counted as recycling when tarps or foam ADCs are used. Is using a tarp or foam for cover recycling? If not then why is Yard Waste ADC recycling?

The reports erroneously quote the current prices for recyclables at "all time highs". One only needs to read Recycling Times or other trades to know that prices were at all time highs but have since returned to their historic volatile behavior and prices have stabilized and been corrected. The price of recyclables should reflect current and historic levels and behavior. Recycling prices have always been volatile and anyone in the business knows this requires caution and should not be relied on if they plan on surviving.

P10.6 P10.6

Section 4.1 in Chapter 4 of the Summary Plan has been revised to address this issue.

Countywide Interrelated Waste Management Plan Proposed Neg. Dec. & Env. Assessment

The Environmental Checklist form is incorrect. Because the CIWMP favors the continued emphasis on landfills, continued expansions of the existing landfills will be undertaken as a result of their sanction in this plan. Expansions would result in potentially significant impact from seismic ground shaking as evidenced by tears in landfill liners at several landfills resulting from the Northridge earthquake. Landfill expansions have also resulted in landslides and mudflows as televised or otherwise documented failures of Chiquita, BKK, and Lopez Canyon attest. Landfill expansion and continued emphasis necessarily cause increased erosion, changes in topography, due to excavation and grading.

Landfills emphasis and expansion cause increased discharges to surface water. Such discharges affect the surface water quality such as temp., dissolved oxygen and turbidity, as well as the introduction of chemical contaminants from the landfill to surface water.

Landfills emphasis and expansion sanctioned and encouraged in the plan will cause impacts to groundwater quality due to the fact that many of the existing landfills quoted in the plan have already and continue to impact groundwater quality (i.e. BKK, Puente Hills, Calabasas, Azusa etc.)

The environmental assessment is also wrong in that violations of air quality standards have been and will continue to be violated by landfills because of the inaction of this plan to mitigate their use.

The CIWMP will also increase the amount of vehicle trips, traffic and vehicular air pollution that could have been avoided had it emphasized the use of MRF, recovery of the true cost of disposal and a fair market based pricing structure rather than a stalinistic price subsidies and controls.

The plan would also cause the use of non-renewable resources in a wasteful and inefficient manner.

The environmental checklist is incorrect when it claims it will make no significant impact on solid waste disposal. It will increase the amount of resources disposed of rather than recycled because it emphasizes landfilling as its priority rather than last in the hierarchy of solid waste management (source reduction/reuse, recycling, landfilling).

Landfill emphasis in the plan has caused and will increase the contamination of local and regional water supplies.

The aforementioned impacts are cumulatively considerable have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment and have the potential to cause substantial adverse effects on human beings both indirectly and directly contrary to the environmental analysis checklist.

Countywide Siting Element

On page 1-6 the element states that there are strict requirements for landfills by local, State and Federal agencies. This is tacitly untrue. The local agencies bow to the every whimsey of the San. District and other public operators. No fines have ever been imposed or sanctions of any kind imposed. The recent NRDC lawsuit which was won showed that the LEAs failed to list any facilities for minimum standard violations. Inspectors for the state agencies have been badgered, pressured and threatened with demotions, salary sanctions and loss of their jobs for trying to do their jobs and enforcing the law. There is one person in the U.S. EPA responsible for RCRA subtitle D compliance for the entire state. California has the most lenient regulations begging subtitle D compliance. They allow contaminated stormwater and leachate to be used for dust control or to be discharged to surface waters. Few other states allow this. California allows local agencies a lower standard for financial responsibility putting private operators at a disadvantage and California has a near perfect record of complete lack of enforcement actions.

The siting element does not adequately address the avoidance of siting new landfills by diverting more waste to existing MRFs and giving support to new MRF development. This should be the goal of the siting element and is not included in the policies, goals or objectives. If the goals of 50% diversion were exceeded less capacity would be necessary and the time to crisis would dramatically increase.

Page 2-3 includes the expansion of Lopez Canyon. By recent agreement the City of L.A. has agreed to close this landfill. The Siting Element should be corrected to include the most recent data concerning this landfill.

Pages 2-6 and 2-7 states as goals the use of green waste as daily cover. A recent court decision disallowing the use of green waste ADC for diversion credits has been issued. This statement does not reflect the current judicial decision on the law.

The siting element should also not include for future planning purposes the continued operation of BKK. Under a settlement with the City of West Covina this facility will close next year. The element should be corrected to not include this facility.

Catalina Island is currently using open burning to dispose of their solid waste. Illegal activities such as this should not be cited as legitimate capacity.

The Adjustment methodology although sanctioned by the CIWMB is patently illegal and is not authorized by any statute. AB939 specified the base year and that the calculations should be based on 25% and 50% of the amount disposed of in the year it was passed.

The entire section on "Time to crisis" is completely hysterical in its characterization of an imminent crisis. The estimate of shortfall in 2010 at its greatest could be met by the permitting of two landfills and will probably result in a glut since most of the permits will be granted based on the false illusion of crisis. If Puente Hills and one other large capacity landfill are granted permits no crisis will ever appear. The result of past crises merely meant that permitted facilities would illegally expand vertically and laterally. Scenario B is correct is overly optimistic but at least half not all expansions and new sites will be accepted averting the illusory "crisis." In fact if it is calculated that only the expansions receive approval the time to crisis may completely disappear.

The continued citation of the State regulation of landfills as strict are indeed uproariously laughable. Recently, staff at the LA RWQCB concluded that Puente Hills landfill was leaking and impacting groundwater resources. The Board of the LARWQCB, packed with developers, anti-regulation, laissez-faire, anti-environmentalist zealots completely ignored the recommendations of the technical staff of environmental specialists, geologist and engineers on its own payroll.

The reintroduction of Blind, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan, and Towsley Canyons should not be considered. These sites have been explored before but rejected due to public outcry. The Siting Element takes great pains to cite how public participation and CEQA guidelines will be followed but ignore the fact that considerable environmental degradation would occur from these projects and public participation history caused the previous demise of these projects. The siting element should summarize the past history of previous proposals for use of these canyons as it briefly summarizes other landfill histories.

Lopez Canyon expansion should not be included unless the City of LA plans to renege on its decision to close the landfill as it has done twice before. Lopez is an example of the complete Keystone Cop behavior of local, state and absent federal regulation of landfills. Although illegal vertical and lateral expansions were noted the "strict" regulators were unable to sanction or stop this landfill from doing whatever it pleased. Lopez has had floods, landslides and gas emissions with no reaction from the state and local "strict" authorities. BKK is another case in point. Evacuations of nearby homes and landslides flooding adjacent properties failed to bring any action from the "strict"

environmental regulatory local or state authorities. In West Covina's latest battle the CIWMB actually took the side of the landfill and attempted to take away the permitting authority of the LEA which was actually trying to do its job!

Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan Proposed Net. Dec. & Env. Assessment

The current project description only outlines the operations of new and lined facilities. It does not describe the operations of unlined expanded facilities and their added environmental impact. Spadra, parts of Lopez, parts of BKK and other landfills are unlined without LCRS and have retrofitted LFG recovery systems that are not described and have significantly larger environmental impact to the air and groundwater resources. The land uses are also impacted since landfills commonly exceed their vertical and lateral boundaries without compaction. The EIR must note and mitigate these impacts since regulatory agencies continue to do nothing.


The section on typical disposal operations outlines the best available practices and puts out the impression that these practices are typical of landfill operations. This is a false impression. BKK discharges leachate into a fractured rock aquifer. Lopez Canyon still has problems with landfill gas emissions. recent modern landfill liners have been installed improperly (BENA, Kern County) and landfills have no permitted limits which are enforced. Those are but some of the typical operations of permitted landfill operations. Some statements in the operations are erroneous. Surface water control is usually instituted on a failure and patch basis. That is, modifications are only proposed after failure. No methods to prevent slope failure are usually proposed. Landfill gas is most commonly flared. No energy recovery takes place at most landfills in the County. Sanitization counters are only at the San. District landfills, this is not typical. The section on surface water and groundwater only address the fact that the RWQCB regulates them and makes no mention that groundwater is currently being impacted by several landfills in the county and surface water is discharged without proper oversight. To verify this one would only need to visit or call the RWQCB and ask how many landfill SWATs have received written review or ask how many reports of waste discharge have received written review. The answer may shock the caller. The RWQCB is so understaffed SWAT and WDRs are not reviewed or even discharge reports or analyses periodically checked. In most cases landfill contaminants are not even monitored or analyzed for. This is the standard operating practice for landfills not the rosy picture portrayed by the EIR.

The Closure and Post-Closure requirements are incredibly inaccurate. The county and cities do not even inspect all of its closed, illegal or abandoned facilities with any rigor. The Blanchard St. Landfill adjacent to the RWQCB every winter spews forth rust-colored to black leachate next to the Long Beach FWY every winter yet nothing has ever been done. That is the accurate state of affairs concerning landfill closure.

I request a written response to my comments. Responses may be sent to the address below the signature line.

These comments are submitted on behalf of myself as a concerned citizen and on behalf of the Conservation Committee of the Angeles Chapter of the Sierra Club. These comments do not in any meaning, inference, or interpretation the opinions of my employer or clients.

Sincerely,



Andres Cano, Solid Waste Consultant
Conservation Committee, Angeles Chapter
Sierra Club
3345 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 508
Los Angeles, California 91803-1460

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENT & DEVELOPMENT

June 20, 1996



It's in our hands

SPONSORS

- Chico's EPA
- OTB Citizens
- James Paris Population
- L.A. Dept. of Water & Power
- McDonald Douglas Corp.
- Metropolitan Water District
- SCAG (Southern California Association of Governments)
- South Coast Air Quality Management District
- Southern Calif. Gas Co.

STEERING COMMITTEE

- (Representative from)
- WASC (Western Environmental Action Group)
- CS San University - LA
- California EPA
- City of Santa Monica
- Claremont Planning, Venues Quality
- Concurrent Citizens of So. Central
- Bank America, Inc.
- Bank Trust Foundation
- Env-O-Net Council
- Global One Project
- GTR, California
- Los Angeles County
- Sustainable Districts
- LA Environment's Industry Alliance
- LA Environmental Affairs Dept.
- LA Veterans' Med. Waste Div.
- McDonald Douglas Corp.
- Metropolitan of San L.A.
- National Resources Defense Council
- OCAG (Orange California Association of Governments)
- South Coast Air Quality Management District
- Southern Calif. Edison Co.
- Southern Calif. Gas Co.
- TreePeople
- William Gilwell, Director
- Jim Stewart, Associate Director

Mr. Donald L. Wolfe, Deputy Director
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

RECEIVED
JUL - 2 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

By way of introduction, the Southern California Council on Environment and Development (SCCED) is a non-profit organization dedicated to promoting an increase in the application of sustainable policies and technologies. SCCED's Solid Waste Task Force is comprised of corporate, environmental, city and county agency people -- a group dedicated to environmentally and economically sound solutions to our recycling and solid waste management problems.

While the following comments are offered on the Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, SCCED supports the request of other concerned parties for a 120 day extension in the public comment period. An extension would be most valuable in allowing for the subregional meetings of cities called for in the comments below.

The Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force directed the preparation of the Summary Plan for the County. These documents serve all 88 cities and the unincorporated county areas. The Plan was drafted to meet the AB 939 provisions and the regulations to implement AB 939.

The Plan is primarily a summary of all the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements produced by the cities in the County and the Unincorporated areas of the County. However, one of the goals of the Summary Plan, as stated on page 1-2, is to go beyond a summary of the SRRs, HfTWs, and NDRFs by providing "countywide goals and objectives for integrated waste management" and describing "programs that could be consolidated or coordinated countywide, and how these countywide programs are to be financed." The stated purposes of the Plan closely reflect State statutes as it was compiled solely to comply with AB 939 and associated regulations.

While neither the Task Force nor the Public Works staff had the authority or direction to produce a truly integrated waste management plan for all of the county, State law calls for the Summary Plan to include countywide goals, objectives, and programs to meet the waste diversion mandates of AB 939. This is clearly a difficult task in a county with 89 local jurisdictions and numerous public and private landfills. In addition, meeting the 50% waste diversion mandate is made more difficult by relatively low refuse disposal costs that provide comparatively little economic incentive to divert waste through source reduction, composting and recycling programs.

P11.1

P11.1 Refer to Topical Response No. 1.

In order to meet the needs of local jurisdictions and provide a truly countywide integrated waste management program, several additional recommendations need to be made.

1. Provide a mechanism for all 88 cities and the County to actively participate in the development of a countywide integrated waste management plan.

Solution option:

Subregional groupings of all county cities need to be formed to provide a local forum for input on local needs. These groups would select representatives to work with or on the Task Force to develop appropriate "coordinated programs" and policies for the countywide plan. These representatives could either supplement the Task Force or form the basis for a revised Task Force membership that would have a greater number of seats. The number of seats could be less than the SCAG approach to the subregions.

2. Draft new or supplemental waste diversion and management policies and programs that will address how the cities and county in Los Angeles County can work together to meet the state mandates.

Solution option:

Aggressively seek input from all participating jurisdictions through meetings within the subregions. At a minimum, an additional goal should be adopted whereby: "In response to the expressed needs of local jurisdictions, implement a countywide waste diversion strategy." Coordinated programs are needed to address issues that cannot be adequately addressed by individual jurisdictions. Examples include the use of television and radio promotions of waste reduction and consideration of coordinated approaches to the recycling of construction and demolition waste.

After drafting possible policies and programs, the Task Force needs to aggressively seek the reaction and constructive suggestions of all participants to produce a final list of policies and programs for the County.


3. Develop a coordinated or integrated funding program to fund the selected programs and provide the funds to plan for the future.

Solution option:

Assess funding options for the selected programs, including any necessary increase in the County Solid Waste Management Fee. Select the funding approach that makes the most sense and will benefit all of the participants in the program.

We look forward to assisting local cities and the County in developing sustainable integrated waste management policies and programs within the framework of AB 939.

Sincerely,


Kathleen Gilbreed
Director SCCED

P 11.2

P11.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

P 11.3

P11.3 Refer to Topical Responses No. 10 and No. 23.

SCCED SOLID WASTE TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Tom Brady, City of Glendale
Andres Cano, Sierra Club
Joan Edwards, J. Edwards & Associates
Joe Haworth, Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
Barclay Hudson, Eco Source
Maribel Marin, NRDC
Mike Miller, City of West Covina
Linda Novick, Bio Gro
Gary Petersen, Recycle America
Joan Satt, Culver City
Joe Sloan, Athens Disposal
April Smith, ReThink
Kari Steinberg/Shelli Graff, The Pick-Up Artists
Stacy Stephens, City of Long Beach
Lupe Vela, City of Los Angeles, Integrated Solid Waste

August 23, 1996

David M. Smith, P.E.
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Ave., Annex 3rd Floor
Alhambra, CA 91803

In response to my August 6, 1996 letter to you, I received a phone call on your behalf from Allan Weilborne. I very much appreciated the prompt reply from your office addressing the questions cited in my letter about the department's efforts to minimize waste and save taxpayer money.

I was surprised to learn from Allen that the Department of Public Works is "studying" many ideas for minimizing waste that are (and have been for some years) standard practice rather than implementing these ideas. Why? Frankly, neither the taxpayer nor our already stressed local environment can afford to wait for more studies.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works has repeatedly asked business and communities throughout the Southland to make sacrifices in an effort to reduce waste and convert some of our most beautiful canyons into landfills. The County is in a very poor position to be asking sacrifices of the community it serves until it demonstrates a sincere commitment to solve the County's solid waste problems by reducing the waste generated by its own organization first.

Before the Department of Public Works can ask sacrifices from the communities it serves, it must first demonstrate more leadership and integrity by making these very same sacrifices within its own bureaucracy. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works has yet to display the leadership and commitment to implement the types of changes needed to achieve significant reductions in the waste generated by the agency. Needed changes that will reduce the costs of running the department that is paid for and serves the residents of Los Angeles County.

The LA County Board of Supervisors must show the public their leadership to reduce the County's fiscal crisis by advocating the implementation of best practices throughout the Department of Public Works. The Board should demand that officials at the Department of Public Works take action with taxpayer funds rather than conducting perpetual studies for programs and products that have been proven by competitive businesses. Programs like those below should be supported from the top because they could easily save the County millions of dollars.

The following cost saving measures are excellent examples that have been common practice at many profitable and successful Southern California businesses:

P12.1 P12.1 Refer to Goals 7 and 8.

• **RECYCLED TONER CARTRIDGES:**

Thousands of printers, fax machines and copy machines throughout the department require toner cartridges. Remanufactured toner cartridges cost roughly 1/2 the price of equivalent quality new cartridges and further minimizes the company's impact on the environment. What percentage of all toner cartridges are remanufactured?

• **RECYCLING OF WASTE OIL:**

The Southern California Gas Company's has all its waste oil refined and then purchases it back for reuse, resulting in a closed loop system that virtually eliminates waste and disposal. 12,046 gallons of recycled oil were purchased in 1995, saving almost \$20,000.00! This figure doesn't even include the cost avoidance of eliminating waste oil disposal.

• **PAPER RECYCLING:**

Southern California Edison reduced its disposal costs at one facility by 80 percent in one year! Since most of the solid waste generated at their facility was paper, once they implemented a paper recycling program they also eliminated between 75 and 80 percent of waste generated at this facility. Correspondingly, their disposal costs dropped by \$80,000.

• **RETREAD TIRES:**

The Gas Company recently began turning its discarded tires into retread tires for its vehicle fleet. In addition, hundreds of companies, such as United Parcel Service, Federal Express and United Airlines have been successfully running their vehicle fleets on retread tires for years. The use of retreads cost 1/3 to 1/2 the price of a new tire and save roughly 15 gallons of oil for each retread tire! Another added benefit is the reduced volume of tires that end up in our local landfills. For each retread used, the disposal option was avoided!

The Department of Public Works must take action on these cost saving measures rather than conducting non-value-added expensive studies for products and processes already proven successful. The performance of those empowered to lead the Dept. of Public Works must be rated more on achieving results obtained from implementing best practices rather than on how many studies are conducted in any given year.

RESULTS, RESULTS, RESULTS - that's how the public will judge the performance of those managing the Dept. of Public Works. The public and all county taxpayers will accept nothing less!

As a follow up, I am very interested in knowing who is accountable for setting and meeting goals for waste reduction within the Department of Public Works. When this person is identified and his or her goals are clearly spelled out I would like to partner with this person to ensure, on behalf of the public it serves, that these goals are being pursued and that a best effort is being made to walk-the-talk of waste reduction and cost reduction.

My previous letter to Director Harry Stone criticizing the Department of Sanitation's County Summary Plan for waste management has yet to address the specific plans or details about how to create incentives that reduce the generation of waste for County residents. The bulk of the County's Summary Plan is biased towards managing end-of-pipe problems of waste rather than proactively creating solutions that focus on the origins of the problem at the point of generation. The letter from Mr. Stone mentioned that the County has the responsibility for implementing waste reduction programs throughout all unincorporated areas of LA County, yet is has not even begun to take action on many major areas of waste generation within its own organization!

It is the moral obligation of those empowered with the public trust to serve in a manner that utilizes all tax revenues received from its citizens in the most efficient and waste-free manner possible.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for taking the needed actions that will ensure a sustainable and beautiful Los Angeles. We, our children and all of Los Angeles will benefit by taking action on these items soon.

Sincerely,

Bryan Gordon
11822 Idaho Ave., #7
Los Angeles, CA 90025
310-826-8018

cc: Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
Harry W. Stone, Director of Public Works

P12.2 P12.2 Refer to Topical Responses No. 3 and No. 6.



Natural Resources
Defense Council

610 San Vicente Blvd., Sui
Los Angeles, CA 9006
213 994-6900
Fax: 213 994-1210

September 16, 1996

Donald L. Wolfe
Deputy Director
Los Angeles County Public Works
900 So. Fremont Ave.
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

Dear Mr. Wolfe,

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1996

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

On behalf of the working group members, I would like to thank you for the opportunity to meet and discuss with you and your staff some of our recommendations for how to develop a stronger and more useful planning tool for waste management in this region. This letter summarizes our discussions regarding how to enhance and improve the Los Angeles County Siting Element and the Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and our recommendations for their modification.

A key goal of the working group was to provide general feedback and examples regarding how to strengthen these two documents. However, we feel strongly that in order for staff to invest themselves in the implementation and success of the programs and policies selected for inclusion in the documents, they must develop the specific tasks themselves. With that in mind, we hope that our examples can guide staff in developing an effective and reasonable tool for achieving maximum diversion in the County.

A fundamental recommendation made by the working group members throughout the review process was with respect to the lack a significant connection between the Siting Element and the Summary Plan. We believe that in order to develop an integrated waste management process there must be linkage between disposal capacity need and the types of diversion programs and policies which can be selected. This link should be made by expanding on policy in the Siting Element and acknowledging in the Summary Plan, the role diversion activities can play in assuring disposal capacity. This is a request which asks you to go beyond the letter of the law but we think that it is a critical necessity to do so. Moreover, this is supported by the actions of counties such as Alameda, San Diego, and Ventura which have not only made the linkage between disposal capacity and diversion policies but have gone as far as stating in their Siting Element their goal of minimizing disposal need.

We understand that there will not be agreement in all areas but we hope that serious consideration will be given to each area of concern and each recommendation. At minimum, we would like to receive a written response identifying the areas where we do have agreement and a short explanation for those areas where we don't agree. Our summary is as follows:

- P13.1 Refer to a) Goals 1, 2, 5 and 6 and their respective Policies and Objectives; and,
- b) Section 1.5, "Fundamental Components of a Solid Waste Management System"

1000 Regional Plaza

40 West 20th Street
New York, New York 10011
212 727-2700
Fax: 212 727-1773

1350 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
202 783-7800
Fax: 202 783-5917

71 Stevenson Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
415 777-0220
Fax: 415 495-5996

COUNTY-WIDE SITING ELEMENT

1. Data Selection and Capacity Need Projections

The working group expressed concern over growth rates for waste generation provided in Chapter 4 which seemed counterintuitive. The data charted in Table 4-14 depict a relatively flat employment growth rate, a moderately increasing population rate and an exploding taxable sales growth rate. The sharp increase in taxable sales appears after the year 2000 and continues indefinitely. This trend is out of sync with the taxable sales charted for the period between 1990 and 2000. The activity which would account for such a phenomenal rise in taxable sales is not explained. Furthermore, this section of the growth curve is based on information provided by the State Department of Finance which has since pulled those projections from public circulation and as a matter of policy, will not stand by those projections any longer.

Also, while taxable sales figures bear an incredible amount of weight in the calculation of waste generation it is questionable to what degree such a figure accurately portrays waste generation activity. For example, if you take statewide taxable sales for 1995, auto sales account for over 17% of the total, second-hand merchandise accounts for 4.5%, and business and personal services accounts for another 4.9%. These activities are not generally considered to be big waste generators. In fact, cars don't go to landfills and second-hand sales are a waste reducing activity.

The working group believes that there are three key reasons why waste generation is being over-estimated. First, is that the data selected is from a hodgepodge of sources both statewide and regional that do not accurately reflect what is happening in Los Angeles County. Second, is that the calculation model itself is flawed because it is driven by sales tax figures which inaccurately reflect waste generation activity. Third, the waste generation projections are not taking into account waste generation trends of the past ten years and attempting to draw inferences regarding future trends. By the latter we mean that given the dramatic reduction in waste generation in the early 1990's, why are we so willing to accept similarly dramatic growth in the opposite direction after 1995? We should instead be questioning such projections given that our employment base is growing at a marginal rate with growth concentrated in the service sector (not recognized as a large waste producing sector - see the City of Los Angeles SRRE for survey results of waste generation in industrial/commercial sectors).

Our recommendation generally, regarding this issue is that Public Works staff make another attempt at locating Los Angeles County specific data and that they not take the results of the state provided calculation for granted. The calculation results should be evaluated for whether they make logical sense given what we know about waste generation activity and past trends. As we've discussed the state will accept other data for their methodology and will even accept an independent analysis of the county waste stream. The working group further cautioned against using state data in the calculation because County conditions are not necessarily comparable and in many instances, County data should be much lower than the state numbers.

2. Capacity Shortfall - Time to Crisis Definition

We expressed concern over the use and definition of the term "capacity shortfall" because the manner in which it is being used implies unpreventable and imminent crisis. For purposes of accuracy, the working group members suggested that this term be revised to reflect its meaning with respect to the specific scenario being discussed. It must be made clear that the "shortfall" period is determined by the waste management policy/program choices made in the region over time and is by no means fixed or static. The explanation of the "shortfall" must include discussion of how different choices or scenarios affect the "time-to-crisis" analysis. For example, it is misleading to say in the Executive Summary (page ES-6) that a "disposal capacity shortfall may occur as early as 1999" without an explanation that this is only the case under a scenario of no new or expanded landfills, and that additional scenarios show different outcomes.

The places in the Siting Element where revisions should be made to the explanation of "shortfall" include the Executive Summary (pages ES-6 and ES-10) to state that other scenarios show different outcomes, and to section 4.5.2.1 Understanding the Time-to-Crisis, section 4.5.2.2 Definition of Time-to-Crisis and Garbage Crisis and section 4.5.2.4 Time-to-Crisis Analysis to reflect the point that the period to "crisis" are determined by the specific waste management choices made by jurisdictions in the region. The explanation provided in the Siting Element must assist waste managers and decision-makers in weighing the value of their choices and facilitate regional cooperation in making program and policy decisions that aim at achieving the scenario best suited for the county as a whole. This objective can be accomplished by providing multiple scenarios depicting the wide variety of proven waste management options currently available and their different affects on the shortfall analysis.

3. Time to Crisis Scenarios

The working group recommended that more than two scenarios for determining the "shortfall" period be developed because the two currently provided only depict the most extreme cases. For example, to counterbalance the two existing scenarios which present the "all or nothing" perspectives, other scenarios reflecting the "middle ground" could be presented. These could include planning only for projected capacity need so that the scenarios would reflect fewer if any, expansions and/or no new sites, and no or significantly less, excess capacity.

The working group recommended specific examples of additional scenarios such as showing the impact of waste export opportunities, potential landfill saving techniques like baling and waste shredding, foam and tarp alternative daily covers, and certain waste diversion policies such as variable can fee programs, and sewage sludge, green waste and inert materials bans. To illustrate that these ideas are not beyond the scope of what County Public Works can provide quantifiable measures for, please refer to the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Plan, August 1985, Appendix 3F which quantifies the landfill savings from streetfills, balefills, waste exports, and an inert waste ban. Even though the 1985 plan was drafted at a time when potential diversion was thought to be minimal (2-3% maximum), the evaluation provided in this document was more comprehensive and had a more integrated approach.

For purposes of updating potential diversion estimates from various diversion policies and programs, I have provided Mike Mohajer with trade journal articles which provide study results regarding landfill capacity savings from using alternative daily cover such as foams and tarps and through the implementation of variable can fee systems. I am additionally enclosing a recent article from Resource Recycling that includes variable can fee information from local cities.

The working group suggested adding the new scenarios to chapter 4 of the Siting Element to provide the continuity in the existing framework. Since section 4.7 lists the conclusions to the time-to-crisis analysis, it must also include a review of the results of the additional scenarios developed by Public Works staff regardless of their ultimate location in the Siting Element.

4. Out-of-County Landfills

The working group recommended the addition of a chart to Chapter 8 for out-of-county landfills similar to Table 4-3 in Chapter 4 depicting permitted combined disposal capacity of existing solid waste disposal facilities. The working group recommended that this chart be added because waste does not follow jurisdictional boundaries and there is a very high potential for increased waste exportation as landfills such as BKK and Lopez Canyon close their operations. Such a chart illustrates that waste exportation is a viable option. It additionally, sets up the background for a shortfall scenario that includes increased waste exportation in its calculation.

5. Goals for Promoting Waste Diversion

Page 2-7 of the Siting Element provides a very limited scope of policies for how to promote waste diversion activities at disposal facilities. Even the 1985 County Solid Waste Management Plan which was premised on the outdated conclusion that only 3% diversion was possible, included a wider review of potential actions that could be considered for increasing diversion. Please see page 3-4 of this document for a discussion on how adjusting tip fees can impact waste received at landfills and Appendix 3-F of same for methods for extending the life of disposal sites. At minimum, the Siting Element should include a section providing an updated review and analysis of these approaches.

6. Reference to the 1988 Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan

The Siting Element replaces the 1988 Action Plan by establishing new goals and policies, and providing an updated review of landfill capacity status, reviewing current disposal rates, and assessing current capacity need. We believe that it is inappropriate and unnecessary to continue referencing the outdated Action Plan or its goal of obtaining 50 years of in-county capacity. This reference is a sticking point for members of the working group who strongly believe that AB 939 mandates a new direction for waste management and that rather than emphasize landfilling as the 1988 Action Plan does, our regional waste plans must focus and prioritize maximum diversion.

The working group members recommended that if it was absolutely impossible to omit reference to the 1988 Action Plan, then a better approach to including it would be to discuss it in

a section on "Historical Perspectives." This section could summarize all of the County's previous waste management plans and discuss how circumstances have changed since then and why it is now necessary to replace them.

SUMMARY PLAN

1. Executive Summary

The Executive Summary for the Summary Plan begins with an explanation of the purpose for the plan. The working group agreed that the Plan must comply with the requirements of AB 939 to describe the current system of solid waste management in the region; to summarize the types of programs planned in the individual jurisdictions; and to describe programs that could be consolidated or coordinated Countywide. However, the working group also recommended that in order for the Plan to be useful in helping waste managers and other decision-makers to select the most effective programs and to facilitate cooperation and implementation of regional diversion efforts, the Plan must go beyond the requirements of the State and link diversion policies and programs to disposal capacity need.

In particular, the Plan must provide more detailed information on what specific actions are necessary for realizing individual diversion goals and discuss the link to reduced landfill capacity need. The Executive Summary alludes to such information by stating that the policies included in the Plan provide specific actions and that its objectives provide specific and measurable milestones for measuring progress. However, Chapter 2 on Goals, Policies, and Objectives, falls short of what the Executive Summary promises to deliver.

2. Chapter 2: Goals, Policies, and Objectives

The working group expressed concern that Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan does not provide an effective strategy or any mechanisms for measuring progress to assist the region in achieving the State mandated diversion goals. In general, our comments were that the statements were incomplete and needed to be finished. Even the 1985 Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Plan which only targeted 3% diversion, provided more specific recommendations on what actions the region needed to take in order to maximize diversion and waste reduction. Please refer to pages 4-10 to 4-15 of this document for a description and listing of "possible activities to implement waste reduction" and "potential actions designed to increase recycling." See also pages 9-6 to 9-8 for "recommended plan for waste reduction" and recommended plan for resource recovery." In addition, Appendix 9A pages 4-5 of the 1985 Plan provide specific recommendations for short-term and medium-term planning. These references provide an example of the level of specificity that should be incorporated into the 1996 plan.

Even if Public Works staff do not agree with the specific policies, actions, and recommendations set forth in the 1985 document, they should consider a similar framework; one that provides clear and concise steps that any jurisdiction could understand and follow by simply opening up the plan and reading it. The goals, policies, and objectives should serve as the road

P13.2

P13.2

Refer to Topical Response No. 2.

map for the region on where the cooperative opportunities lie, where to go for assistance, and on how to divert and reduce waste effectively.

Additionally, the working group provided the following specific comments and examples regarding the six goals of the Summary Plan:

a) Goal 1's policies provide only general actions stating that jurisdictions "will support and contribute to the implementation" and "will continue and expand as necessary" various diversion programs and activities. In order to provide more specificity to these actions, the policies must provide some mechanics to the statements quoted. For example, the statements should be completed in the following manner: "will support and contribute to the implementation by doing X, Y, and Z" and "will continue to expand as necessary by doing X, Y, and Z."

The objectives for this goal must be completed similarly to the method described above. As currently written, there are no measurable milestones or reporting mechanisms provided by any of the objectives. For Goal 1, the objectives all begin with the general term "enhance." This term does not allow for an effective measure of progress since any action, no matter how small, can be considered to have enhanced a program even if the impact to diversion and waste reduction is minimal or even noticeable. The objectives need some form of quantitative measure. For example, to enhance the Christmas tree program "the program will be increased from X number of jurisdictions to Y number", and the Countywide Backyard Program will be enhanced by "increasing the program from 13 sites to X number of sites." In addition, by quantifying objectives it will become clear that in some cases, selected objectives may not be the best to achieve AB 939 goals.

b) The policy of Goal 2 needs to be strengthened by providing more detail regarding what will be included in the "integrated solid waste management system" which all of the cities will develop and implement. At minimum, some detail should be given regarding what specific actions this system will attempt to accomplish. For example, will it be a system that can hold jurisdictions accountable for fulfilling their responsibilities to meet diversion goals or for fulfilling any obligations to participate in regional efforts? Will it be a system that will have financial obligations?

As in Goal 1, the objectives of Goal 2 need measurable milestones and reporting mechanisms.

c) The policy of Goal 3 should describe the actions which the Task Force must take in order to accomplish the activities listed. For instance, will the Task Force develop a plan, schedule or agenda for accomplishing the listed activities, and by when will this happen? In its objectives, Goal 3 should provide a more specific timeline than the 5 to 10 years listed in the timeline at the end of the Summary Plan. How else can progress by the Task Force in meeting these objectives be measured? Additionally, the objectives need to be tied more specifically to the activities listed in the policy.

P13.3

Refer to Goal 1 as revised.

P13.3

P13.4

Refer to a)

P13.4

Topical Response No. 12;

b)

Goal 2 and its revised Policies and objectives; and,

c)

the revised implementation schedule (moved from Chapter 6 to 2).

P13.5

Refer to Goal 3, as revised, its policies and objectives, as well as Topical Response No. 10.

P13.5

For example, which interjurisdictional arrangements, agreements, and/or program integration are being referred to here, what is their purpose, and is there an order of priority?

d) The policy of Goal 4 states that the Task Force will establish standards for procurement but does not provide any information on how this will be done. For example, this policy statement could be completed by answering the following: Will a model procurement ordinance be developed? Will the County's existing procurement ordinance be reviewed for effectiveness? What strategy will be followed to promote the adoption of such a program in other jurisdictions?

The objective of Goal 4 only refers to the expansion of the recycled product and technical assistance program. Besides needing some measure of how much expansion is necessary, this objective doesn't correlate in any way with how to accomplish the policy to develop procurement standards. Additional objectives should be developed that provide a measure for market development progress.

e) The policy of Goal 5 must describe steps which the Task Force will take to assist cities in securing public education and promotional materials for diversion and waste reduction activities. For example, will they collect materials and serve as a clearinghouse or will they develop and distribute original material? Will they require financial contributions from each jurisdiction or what other mechanism will they use to secure participation and follow-up?

The objectives for Goal 5 need to be completed by providing a number, a percentage, a date, or some other quantitative measure and a reporting mechanism that will communicate the target of each objective and so that a determination can be made as to when or if, the target has been met.

f) The policy of Goal 6 unnecessarily and inappropriately refers to the 1988 Action Plan. The Action Plan is superseded and replaced by the 1996 Summary Plan and Siting Element and has no place under this particular Goal. The policy would be more accurate and appropriate if revised to read as follows:

"Support the development of new disposal facilities and expansion of existing facilities identified in the Countywide Siting Element as long as they are found to be technically and environmentally feasible."

A policy directing the Task Force to "actively seek and identify" technologies for saving capacity at disposal sites, should be added to this Goal. Objectives complementing this additional policy should include the task of investigating technologies such as baling, shredding, and foam and tarp alternative daily covers, and the task of conducting pilot projects to measure potential landfill savings.

P13.6

P13.6

Refer to the objective under Goal 4 which discusses the development of a model procurement preference policy for consideration and use by participating jurisdictions.

Details regarding quantifying needed market expansions and measuring market development progress are beyond the purpose and scope of the Summary Plan. These issues should be addressed to the RMDZ Administrators or the CIWMB which monitors the market for secondary materials.

P13.7

P13.7

Refer to Goal 5 as revised. Also refer to Goal 8 which has been added to the Summary Plan for jurisdictions to develop mechanisms for assessing and reporting the progress toward achievement of the Goals, Policies and Objectives within the jurisdiction.

P13.8

P13.8

Refer to Goal 6 and its revised Policies and Objectives.

3. Additional Goals

In addition to the comments regarding the six goals in the Summary Plan, the working group recommended that three more goals be added. These include the following:

- a) Goal 7 should be a goal in which the County commits to being a leader and model for diversion activities throughout the county. We recommend including at minimum, the following five objectives:
 - Maximize diversion in the County unincorporated areas.
 - Maximize diversion at County facilities and all County operations.
 - Require diversion in all County waste management contracts.
 - Increase the development of markets through County actions.
 - Establish regular reporting of progress in meeting diversion goals.
- b) Goal 8 should provide a timeline for reviewing the goals and assessing progress of Countywide diversion activities.
- c) Goal 9 should lay out a strategy for developing at a future date, mechanisms for measuring each goal and regular reporting to the Board of Supervisors. This is especially important in cases where it is not currently possible to provide measurable milestones for the objectives of Goals 1-8.

Because we believe that the County has an excellent record for meeting deadlines, we feel that a requirement to provide regular reporting requirements to the Board will insure that activities are implemented and timelines are observed. Such reporting will also allow the County to receive recognition for acting as a leader and provide publicity for model programs.

4. Chapter 5

The programs described in pages 5-31 to 5-57 of the Summary Plan, contain a section entitled "Reason for Selection" which implies that they have been targeted for enhancement or development. However, the working group was unclear as to where and how they fit into the overall strategy laid out in Chapter 2. For those programs in which it applies, there should be a clearer and more direct connection to the goals, policies, and objectives of Chapter 2.

If the programs in the referenced pages have been selected for either enhancement or development, then it would also be more effective to omit terms like "may be" or "can be" because it makes them weaker and less directive. Instead, if there are a number of options available, these should be provided as a list of items that should be reviewed and evaluated for appropriateness.

P13.9

Refer to Goals 7 and 8 which have been added to Chapter 2.

P13.10

Refer to Topical Response No. 2.

P13.11

Refer to Topical Response No. 2.

P13.12

Chapter 2 of the Summary Plan includes the goals, policies, and objectives of the County in coordinating countywide diversion programs, marketing, and disposal strategies for the medium-term (1996-2000) planning period. These goals, policies, and objectives provide overall direction for defining revised or new countywide programs. Based on these goals, policies, and objectives, a set of proposed countywide programs were developed which are included in Chapter 5. The criteria used to develop these programs are consistent with, and derived from the countywide goals, policies, and objectives in Chapter 2. The "Reason for Selection" pertains to the rationale for selecting the subject program.

P13.12

P13.13

To clarify the connections between proposed countywide programs in Chapter 5 and the goals, policies, and objectives in Chapter 2, each program heading in Chapter 5 lists an associated goal in parenthesis.

Revisions have been made throughout the document where appropriate.

P13.13

Page 9

Each program should also provide an additional section that calls out specifically what the role of the County will be in the unincorporated areas with respect to enhancement and/or development. This may help to clarify what the roles of each jurisdiction should be in contrast and should guide cooperative efforts by providing at least one reference point.

P13.14

Additional information has been provided in the goals, policies and objectives, where appropriate. Refer in particular to Goal 3, Objective 1 for additional information.

P13.14

5. County Leadership

The working group made various recommendations that could fall under any number of areas having to do with specific policies or programs and which should be reviewed and considered for inclusion in the summary plan. Most had to do with establishing the County as a leader in developing and implementing diversion and waste reduction efforts. These include the following:

- a) Establish reporting requirements and specific measurable goals for County contracts in garbage collection districts and develop a model contract that builds in incentives for private haulers to maximize diversion.
- b) Develop and implement a model reporting system for obtaining periodic reports from County departments on recycling activities, recycled product purchases, and money saved by avoided landfill costs.
- c) Incorporate status updates on Market Development Zone progress/accomplishments in the quarterly report to the Board of Supervisors.
- d) Develop and implement model ordinances for the unincorporated area to discourage the landfilling and encourage the diversion of materials such as yard trimmings, inert materials, and tires. Promote the adoption of such ordinances by other jurisdictions.

P13.15

Ongoing contracts with private haulers can not be changed unilaterally by the County without contractor concurrence. However, these changes will be considered when the contracts come up for rebid.

P13.15

P13.16

Refer to Goals 7 & 8 and their respective Policies and Objectives.

P13.17

P13.18

Refer to Topical Response No. 2.

P13.17

CONCLUSION

The working group members would like to encourage the County to continue exploring additional opportunities for increasing diversion and waste reduction efforts. We believe that to date, there has been a vacuum in leadership for waste diversion and reduction in the region. Our motivation to work with you in reviewing the Siting Element and Summary Plan is based in our belief that the development of these documents provides an excellent opportunity for the County Public Works Department to step forward and fill the leadership gap to some extent.

P13.18

We are encouraged by your willingness to meet and confer with us over these highly technical and somewhat controversial issues. We hope that we can continue our partnership into the future and that you will continue to rely on us, either individually or as a group, as a resource and planning aid. Our concerns and recommendations are supported by our collective experience and expertise in the field of waste management. We hope that they are considered seriously and that you will find it valuable and necessary to incorporate many if not most of them into the revised Siting Element and Summary Plan. We look forward to receiving your

Jurisdictions are aware that diverting green waste, tires, and other large contributors to the waste stream is a component of the strategy each jurisdiction needs to achieve the state-mandated diversion goals.

written response to our comments and to reviewing the revised documents. If you feel that it is appropriate, you may consider these comments as formal comments to the Summary Plan and the Siting Element.

Sincerely,



Maribel Marin
Working Group Coordinator

cc: Mike Mohajer, LA County Public Works
Shari F. Afshari, LA County Public Works
Carlos Ruiz, LA County Public Works
Dave Smith, LA County Public Works
Fred Rubin, LA County Public Works
Joan Edwards, J. Edwards and Associates
Mike Lewis, Alliance for Innovative Resource Management
Lynn Plambeck, LASER
Jane Hinshaw, City of Pasadena
Joseph Delaney, City of Santa Monica

The Alliance For Innovative Resource Management

October 17, 1996

Harry W. Stone, Director
LOS ANGELES COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803

RECEIVED
OCT 17 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM

Attn: Mike Mohajet
3rd Floor Annex

Re: Comments on Draft Countywide Siting Element & Summary Plan

Dear Mr. Stone:

Attached for your review are comments prepared by the Alliance for Innovative Resource Management (AFIRM) on the Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, January 1996.

AFIRM's mission is to improve our urban quality of life and regional economic competitiveness by promoting resource conservation and recycling, by making known the true cost of urban landfills, and by promoting the implementation of integrated solid waste policies that maximize diversion.

We have attempted to identify the major deficiencies which we feel warrant a substantial revision of the draft documents. In short, the draft plan relies solely on in-County landfill disposal as the principle waste management strategy. Not only is this not consistent with the intent of AB939, it may also be legally flawed in light of a recent Supreme Court decision on flow control in Clarkstown, New York.

The recommendations contained in this report are a serious attempt to work cooperatively with the County, the 88 cities, and other stakeholders in the waste management arena. If you'd like to discuss this report further, please contact our consultant, Mike Lewis, at (909) 612-5707.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments for inclusion in the administrative record. We look forward to the County's response to our comments and the appropriate modifications to the Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element, January 1996.

Sincerely,


William B. Hussey, Jr.
Regional Coordinator

"worthless waste -- but a valuable resource"
11377 West Olympic Blvd, Suite 1111
Los Angeles, CA 90064

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING
ELEMENT AND SUMMARY PLAN**

**A REPORT TO IDENTIFY THE
DEFICIENCIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS
RELATING TO SOLID WASTE POLICIES**

OCTOBER 17, 1996

A. Introduction

In 1989, California adopted Assembly Bill 939 (AB939), also known as the California Integrated Waste Management Act (CIWMA). The law changes state waste management policy to a more environmentally conscious and technologically efficient direction befitting of the 21st century. This act requires cities and counties to achieve waste reduction rates of 25% by 1995 and 50% by 2000, while maintaining at least 15 years of disposal capacity. Although waste reduction goals are feasible through advances in source reduction, rail haul, recycling and composting, meeting the State mandate alone is not the primary issue in evaluating County responsibilities.

Under the Act, California cities and counties are required to produce a series of solid waste planning and policy documents. These documents describe local waste diversion and disposal conditions while laying out realistic programs to achieve the waste diversion goals. Among these documents are two essential responsibilities of the County's Siting Element and the Summary Plan.

- The Siting Element describes landfill disposal needs and programs, particularly capacities of landfills and transformation sites.
- The Summary Plan brings together the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements (SRREs) for cities and unincorporated areas that detail local waste reduction programs. This plan outlines the various efforts each city is making to meet the AB 939 diversion goals. The Summary Plan must also show the goals, programs, and policies the County plans to implement in order to assist the cities in meeting their objectives.

After a careful analysis of both plans, it is apparent that neither the Siting Element nor the Summary Plan, as currently written, achieve the mandate of AB 939, or display an enthusiasm to pursue alternatives such as source reduction, rail haul, recycling, or composting. The plans dated by Los Angeles County focuses primarily on creating and expanding landfills inside County lines as the prime solution to addressing AB 939. Furthermore, these plans lack the progressive diversion programs needed to meet the 50% solid waste reduction requirement.

Although the AB 939 statute requires landfilling only for substances that cannot be reduced, recycled, or composted, the current documents clearly ignore this mandate and outline a plan to dispose 50% of County-produced trash within County landfills, and even recommend the creation of additional landfills.

This report attempts to document the deficiencies in the Los Angeles County Siting Element and Summary Plan. The purpose of this effort is to develop a consensus amongst regional policy makers to redraft these documents to be consistent with the requirements of AB 939 and to position the County as leader in establishing a new vision for solid waste management.

**B. Los Angeles County ISWM Draft Summary Plan
Deficiencies and Recommendations**

Summary Overview:

The Draft Countywide Integrated Waste Management Summary Plan fails to reflect the priorities and needs defined by the AB 939 statute regarding source reduction, recycling and composting. The plan also fails to present countywide programs of County coordination that reflect the priorities of waste management to the cities. The County does not lead the cities by example, and does not address waste diversion measures need to be achieved in the next decade.

The following is a breakdown of the Summary Plan deficiencies. The points of deficiency are cited followed by recommendations that could amend the particular area of inadequacy:

I. The Summary Plan Goals, Policies, and Objectives section is inadequate and does not reflect the hierarchy of AB 939 (Chapter 2)

Deficiency

The document does not reflect a commitment to the central principle of AB 939-- which is, alternatives should be exercised first and landfilling is the last option.

- The County's goals, policies and objectives do not reflect the spirit, intent, and means stated in AB 939. Emphasis should be placed on the hierarchy of waste management practices established by the bill, with landfilling as the last and least desirable method for waste diversion, and source reduction, recycling and composting as the favored methods.
- A lone mention of the hierarchy established in AB 939 is hidden away in a table discussing the role of the Solid Waste Task Force. The table mentions that in the task force's five-year review of the County Integrated Waste Management Plan (CIWMP), they "shall review CIWMP...to ensure that it remains consistent with the hierarchy of waste management practices defined in the Public Resources Code (PRC), Section 40051."

Deficiency

Excluding Goal #6 concerning disposal capacity, the language of the Goals, Policies and Objectives section is far too weak.

- The objective of Goal #6 is to "expedite, streamline and coordinate all permitting necessary to address disposal capacity need during the 15 year planning period." This applies only to landfilling. In contrast, the other goals addressing diversion and alternatives use weak action verbs such as "encourage," "assist," "develop," and "provide a forum for." Obviously, a stronger commitment needs to be made which outlines specific measurable and attainable goals and objectives.

P 14.1

P14.1 Refer to Topical Response No. 3.

Recommendation

All goals and policies for diversion strategies should contain measurable objectives designed to achieve maximum diversion, and a reporting mechanism for their objectives.

Deficiency

The Summary Plan still contains an objective to implement the 1988 Action Plan despite the fact that the Action Plan is inconsistent with AB 939. The new County Integrated Waste Management Plan is intended to replace all previous plans.¹

- The statement of policy for Goal #6 is that the County and cities of Los Angeles "will support the development of new disposal facilities and expansion of existing facilities identified in the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan adopted April 5, 1988." However, the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan is now obsolete.
- The goal of AB 939 is to only dispose of residual waste which cannot be reduced, recycled or composted, thus requiring only 15 years of capacity. The 1988 Action Plan was designed to provide 50 years of landfill capacity, which is not necessary under the newer mandate.
- The new documents required by AB 939, the Summary Plan and Siting Element, will completely replace prior policy and planning documents which include the 1988 Action Plan. The Summary Plan incorrectly notes that, "This [1988 Action Plan] policy may be amended by the adoption of the Countywide Siting Element."²

Recommendation

Revise Goal #6 to reflect that although the County and cities will support the establishment of County disposal capacity necessary for the 15 year planning period, the measures and strategies for siting new facilities are the last resort.

Deficiency

The Summary Plan does not cite specific targets for the alternative waste disposal plans or programs.

- The County needs to provide goals and clear plans to measure the annual progress of its programs. Alameda County's plan is very specific: "From source reduction and reuse, reduction of 4% by 1995 and 6% by year 2000. From recycling reduction of 34% by 1995 and 45% by 2000. From composting, reduction of 3% by 1995 and 9% by 2000." We need to learn from their example.

¹ Of which the Summary Plan is a part
² SP, 4-2

Recommendations

- Express the hierarchy of AB 939 on the first page of each document and thereafter where it assists in assessing priorities.
- Address source reduction, a priority of AB 939
- Revise objectives focusing on disposal.

Deficiency

There are no measurable targets or timeliness for the goals, policies and objectives mentioned in the document.

- Without measurable targets or timeliness, no means are provided to measure progress. No reporting requirements or monitoring procedures are established to promote achievement of the goals and objectives.

Recommendation

Every recommendation should be accompanied by a measurable goal-timeline and reporting mechanism.

II. The Summary Plan fails to provide an appropriate vision of solid waste policy for the future. The plan focuses on obstacles to meeting the mandate, not the benefits to the LA community in achieving the goals. The document also ignores the methods used, as well as the successes achieved, by other communities faced with these same goals.

Comment

Emphasis needs to be placed not only on meeting the 50% recycling goal, but on re-engineering the entire solid waste policy towards environmental consciousness. Programs should be aimed toward resource conservation, environmental protection and encouraging the economic benefits of recycled goods and services.

Recommendations

- Every recommendation in the Summary Plan should be accompanied by a measurable goal, timeline and reporting mechanism.
- Revise sections dealing with the challenge of recycling to accurately reflect the activities and desires of the cities. (see page 8)
- Incorporate a vision goal similar to San Diego County: "To help ensure sustainability of our communities for present and future generations, and to conserve natural resources and landfill capacity the cities and county shall create an integrated waste management system..."
- The County will play a leading role in coordinating and implementing an integrated plan.

P 14.1

P 14.2 Refer to Topical Response No. 9.

III. The 50% diversion goal of AB 939 is a minimum goal. County should plan to top that goal by adopting LA City's goal of 70% diversion goal by 2020.

P 14.3

Refer to Topical Response No. 4

Deficiency

The 50% diversion goal is a minimum for AB 939. The bar must be set higher to encourage change and advancement. The Summary Plan should adopt goals that encourage progress, like LA City's diversion goal of 70% by 2020. Furthermore, it is unrealistic to assume that diversion will suddenly stop at 50% and not continue to increase over time.

The County should adopt the 70% goal of Los Angeles City for its unincorporated areas, and recommend its adoption by all cities (Los Angeles City also has a goal of 36% by year 2000). This commitment would lend support to the bans and simple policy measures recommended below.

The 70% goal assumes that 80% of yard trimmings, inert materials, wood, corrugated containers, tires and construction and demolition debris currently going to county landfills. Particular focus should be placed on recycling commercial organics such as the Community Recycling programs Ralphs and Vons sponsor.

Improvement could be made in glass, paper, and plastics recycling plans. Even County government recycling efforts in County departments could be greatly improved above the poor 5% goal currently served.

Recommendation

- Adopt explicit goals and embrace programs to meet a 70% diversion goal by 2020.
- Establish reporting and enforcement methods for the County, the largest employer in the county, to dramatically increase its own diversion activities.

IV. The Summary Plan's Goals, Policies and Objectives section does not address the specific needs identified by city Source Reduction and Recycling Elements. The programs for Countywide coordination of city efforts are greatly inadequate.

P 14.4

Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

Deficiency

The goals, policies, objectives and programs for County coordination of the 88 cities do not reflect the priorities and needs of its critical cities (See SP 5-24).

- The Summary Plan merely summarizes the various Source Reduction and Recycling Elements (SRREs) of some major cities in Los Angeles County. The goals, policies and objectives do not address the priorities and needs identified by the cities, which include needs to site diversion Materials Recycling Facilities (MRFs), to develop rail haul facilities, and encourage the continued involvement and development of the private sector in waste management efforts.

- Chapter 2 merely lists the individual 88 cities' goals, policies and objectives for various diversion efforts in a table. The County claims it "consolidates those into a common list of goals, policies and objectives." It does not.
- These goals, policies and objectives of the Summary Plan must summarize the goals of the cities and provide critical policy guidance. The document must demonstrate clearly how the County plans to take a leadership role in ensuring full implementation of AB 939.

Deficiency

The cities of Los Angeles County have identified specific goals, policies and objectives that the County should focus on in order to advance diversion rates.

- For example, the County also refers to Christmas tree collection programs twice in the Goals, Policies and Objectives section when only 14 out of the 88 cities specify an interest in the program. This ratio remains virtually unchanged since 1992. While the program is a worthy project, it is insufficient in impacting diversion rates substantially and demonstrates the County's lack of focus and lack of substantial objectives.
- Although the following areas are identified by cities as priority needs, they are not addressed explicitly in the Goals, Policies and Objectives section or in programs of Countywide coordination.
 - **Regional Marketing** -- There are 64 cities who have a desire to do regional marketing efforts for recycled goods and materials. Chapter 5 only lacks some minor procurement efforts.
 - **Variable Collection rates** -- 65 of the 88 cities policies and objectives want it (Table 5-2). Los Angeles city has essentially introduced a version of a variable rate as part of the vote to close the Lopez Canyon landfill in November, 1995.
 - **Regional Recycling/Materials Recovery Facilities** -- 44 cities have this as a specific goal or objective.
 - **Regional Composting Facilities** - 44 cities have deemed Regional Composting Facilities as necessary implementations.
 - The County urgently needs to examine success stories from elsewhere. San Jose, a city with a population of 800,000 people, composts 96,000 tons of waste a year (25% of their waste stream). This contributes to their 47% recycling rate.

Municipal Compost Facilities -- 24 cities have or desire to have municipal size composting facilities.

- **Landfill capacity conservation** -- This refers to measures to extend the life of landfills by using different types of top cover or landfill mining. This should be the first place to address the so-called "disposal crisis." 23 cities have already noted a wish to set action in conserving capacity at existing landfills (see above).
- **Construction Demolition Debris** -- 49 cities would like to see efforts to change the current handling process of construction and demolition debris.

Recommendations

- Establish goals, policies and objectives that include both efforts for Countywide coordination and reflect the needs and priorities of the 88 cities in the following areas:
 - Variable collection rates
 - Regional recycling/materials recovery facilities (MRFs)
 - Regional and municipal composting facilities
 - Landfill capacity conservation measures at all County landfills
 - Regional market development
 - Source reduction measures
 - Countywide public education efforts
 - C&D disposal in inert landfills
- Establish target goals and specific objectives for diversion. For example, a specific goal would be to state that the County will assist jurisdictions to site three regional composting facilities within the next decade.

V. Although the cities have identified it as a significant goal, the development of regional policies and programs is not addressed in the Summary Plan document.

P14.5

P14.5 Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

Deficiency

Although the Summary Plan notes that many of the cities have "stated goals, policies or objectives that address working together cooperatively with other jurisdictions... to implement the programs developed in compliance with AB 939," the document itself does not reflect any plans of integrating regionally cooperative policies or programs. Already, 54 cities have policies of regional cooperation and 63 cities have policies addressing regional marketing efforts.

A regional approach to waste policy is essential. As regional policies have been the solution to other issues such as water, communications, transportation infrastructure, clean air and law enforcement, waste management should not be an exception.

However, throughout the Summary Plan and Siting Element, the County adopts an inside-the-County-line mentality as if other jurisdictions were insignificant to the success of AB 939. Although there is one goal dealing with the removal of barriers between jurisdictions, the objective is weak in merely stating that the County plans to "continue the existing periodic interjurisdictional solid waste meetings."

The example of the Southern California Association of Governments in developing regional solid waste policy is exemplary, as is the example of the San Gabriel Valley Association of Governments.

Jurisdictions can benefit from the advantages of other jurisdictions for different parts of the waste management system. A new forum is needed to broaden participation in the implementation process.

Recommendations

- Include a specific goal to pursue regional, multi-county solid waste policies and programs that strive to achieve the goals of AB 939. Identify barriers to regional cooperation and systematically remove them.
- Form a regional task force that will design a regional waste plan for the four County areas (San Bernardino, LA County, Orange County and Riverside) composed of representatives from the cities, counties, and independent and public experts.

VI. The recycling performance of the County unincorporated area and in County agencies is poor: the County must ensure that a 50% diversion is achieved within its own jurisdictions to lead by example.

Deficiency

The unincorporated area comprises only 12% of the County population, it produces 20% of the total waste stream. The diversion programs of the areas are directly under County control, so these percentages must be dramatically improved if the County is to reach the 50% reduction mandated by state law.

There are numerous facilities, programs, unincorporated areas and county departments through which the County has the ability to lead by example. Furthermore, individual jurisdictions can benefit by County leadership to ensure that state, federal and regional agencies also divert at 50%.

In Chapters 2 and 5, the County needs to delineate the specific actions where it has clear jurisdiction. The County also needs to establish real targets and implement regular reporting procedures.

Recommendations

- The County needs to take action within its own department and within programs of Countywide coordination to set an example in meeting the AB 939 mandate.

P 14.6

P 14.6

Refer to Goals 7 & 8.

- There should be a regular procedure of reporting the progress of real, measurable targets to Supervisors. These include, in order of ease of implementation:
 - Composting or land apply all sewage sludge
 - Source reduction efforts by County agencies
 - Increasing procurement by County agencies
 - Improving the County buy-recycled program
 - Increasing the profile of County drop-off centers
 - Recycling of all County tires and those brought to County landfills
 - Better use of County mechanisms to encourage diversion, e.g. mixed paper and yard waste need full implementation
 - Improving the Recycling Market Development Zone
 - Improving County mixed waste processing at landfills, including the planned MRF at Puente Hills
 - Ensure rail haul project at Puente Hills is brought to fruition
 - Conduct annual waste composition studies; produce quarterly reports, such as that produced for the 1988 Action Plan; assign quantifiable goals for reduction
 - The County portion of waste stream should be quantified and reduced accordingly, in order to lead by example
 - The County should reinstate the special program for the handling of earthquake debris at Calabashes landfill to shore up efforts to recycle C&D (construction and demolition)

VII. The Summary Plan fails to plan for necessary measures to increase diversion countywide, such as implementing Mandatory Recycling Ordinances (MROs).

Comment

The Summary Plan does not address the issue of Mandatory Recycling Ordinances, ordinances that would set mandatory recycling requirements within the county. The document also neglects data submitted by cities in support of these issues. MROs are critical in meeting the mandate of AB 939 as implementation has already been proven to be considerably successful throughout the country in reducing waste generation.

Deficiency

The California Integrated Waste Management Board reported in 1997 that 16 states had yard waste bans (Arkansas, Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Wisconsin and Wyoming). Even the San Diego County Siting Element and Summary Plan (page 5) have a specific yard waste ban component and a specific goal: San Diego aims to have 7% by 1995 and 14% by the year 2000 of its total diversion come from bans on yard wastes.

- The County claims in the Siting Element that "generally haulers dispose of inert material in unclassified landfills due to lower tipping fees." However, a ban can significantly increase Class III landfill capacities (Siting

Element, p. 1-7, Sec. 1.3.2.2). There are already 98 years of capacity at inert landfills.

- By banning disposal of certain materials such as cardboard, construction debris and yard waste in Class III landfills, an estimated 37.2% of the waste stream can be diverted.

Recommendations

- The County should seek cooperation from the cities to phase in the following Mandatory Recycling Ordinances over next five years:

- Inert material and C&D debris bans starting from the end of 1996 (in boom economic times, up to 30% of the waste stream can be from C&D debris)
- Tire disposal bans starting from the end of 1996
- Wood waste bans starting in 1998
- Corrugated paper bans starting in 1998
- Yard and green waste bans starting from the end of 1998

VIII. The Summary Plan fails to plan source reduction and waste prevention programs - the highest priorities of AB 939.

Deficiency

Source Reduction/Waste Prevention measures are the first priority under AB 939. However, they are under-emphasized in the Goals, Policies and Objectives of the Summary Plan, despite the cities' effort and desire to establish such measures and policies (such as variable rates).

According to the California Waste Management Board, given the low capital expenditure involved, waste prevention is "often the least expensive method per unit of diversion" [May 1995, Ensuring Adequate Disposal Capacity, CIWMB].

The California Integrated Waste Management Board has cited the cities of El Cerrito, Berkeley, and the counties of Sonoma and Alameda as good examples of source reduction, with their specific 6-10% diversion goals. Nearer to home, San Diego has a specific 5% countywide percentage goal for source reduction by the year 2000.

Currently, only one activity for Countywide coordination aimed at source reduction exists: the grasscycling effort, and there are no measurable diversion goals or reporting mechanisms noted for this strategy.

LA County, reportedly, is still only "studying" the introduction of variable rates for unincorporated areas, despite the fact that this strategy is identified in the County's 1985 Solid Waste Management Plan.

P 14.8

P 14.8

Refer to the variety of existing and proposed recycling and education efforts contained in the subject document, particularly the specific SRREs from each jurisdiction

Recommendations

- Outline the specific waste prevention and reduction goals of the Summary Plan
- Adopt specific source reduction/waste prevention objectives and reporting mechanisms for the Summary Plan

IX. The Summary Plan lacks clear goals, policies and objectives to stimulate markets for recyclable commodities collected in the County, despite the fact that markets are key to the success of diversion programs instituted by all localities within the County.

P 14.9

Refer to Topical Response No. 17.

Deficiency

The Summary Plan does not state how the County will coordinate data collection on local market opportunities and prices, so that each city does not have to collect the same information on its own.

Recommendation

County staff should assist cities in identifying markets for their collected materials and understanding price trends and issues, either through County data collection efforts or through facilitation of information sharing mechanisms with cities.

Deficiency

The Summary Plan does not provide specific information on procurement efforts that the County will undertake to stimulate demand for recycled products through its own purchasing practices, nor steps the County will take to measure progress and share information on procurement opportunities with cities.

Recommendation

The County should set measurable procurement goals and report regularly on achievement of recycled product purchasing practices, as well as detail steps it will take to disseminate information regarding recycled product specifications, prices, and availability with jurisdictions within the County.

Deficiency

The County's Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ) is undeveloped in comparison to other RMDZ's, lacks measurable goals and coordination with County solid waste policy, and, despite the opportunity to support AB939 diversion goals, is not sufficiently highlighted within the Summary Plan as a County program.

Recommendation

The Summary Plan should articulate the role of the RMDZ in stimulating markets for recyclable commodities collected within the County, indicate how measurable goals will be set for the zone, and clearly define the mechanisms by which RMDZ activities will be coordinated with County diversion efforts and reported on in solid waste status reports to the County Board of Supervisors.

X. The Summary Plan needs to restructure the ISWM Task Force and create a forum for the cities of the County.

P 14.10

Refer to Topical Response No. 10.

Deficiency
The current task force is not broadly representative enough of the stake holders in the waste management arena to develop a comprehensive vision for Los Angeles County.

Comment

- The current document displays obvious limitations of the current task force. The task force is not entirely representative of the community while lacking credible environmental organizations' participation and offering little leadership in reshaping the proposed plans.
- Reconstitute the existing Task Force to reflect the need for dramatic waste stream reductions in LA County by defining their roles to be active and progressive in implementing the mandate of AB 939.

Deficiency

Coordination between the cities and the ISWM task force is greatly lacking.

- One possible approach is to group all the cities into sub-regional groups to provide a local forum for input on local and regional needs. Also, some regions face common problems and can thus work collaboratively together. Other cities have transfer facilities, MRFs or composting facilities that can be shared with cities that lack them. Sub-regional groupings fashioned after the SCAG model would facilitate implementation of a truly integrated plan.
- In the preparation of a truly Integrated Waste Management Plan, it is essential that all 88 cities be able to participate, and that the County provide a meaningful leadership function. The current model merely collates the SRRs and implements selective programs in an ineffective system. A broader, more representative forum is needed to coordinate countywide efforts

Recommendation

It is imperative that the County meet with city waste management and recycling representatives in open meetings to establish how to best fund, publicize, and implement countywide programs that assist all parties in attaining recycling and source reduction goals.

XI. Funds collected by the County for pursuing AB 939 implementation are not well used. Access to the funds by the cities is also inadequate.

Deficiency

The plan provides no sense of where the money goes. Public Works should include a budget breakdown as Alameda County does to not only increase accountability and

P14.11

P14.11

Refer to Topical Responses No. 10 and No. 22.

confidence, but allow decision makers to redirect efforts to priority areas as they deem appropriate.

The County has a funding source that is not shared with the cities in a significant way. Funding should be apportioned according to priorities of AB 939, with minimal amounts going toward disposal.

Recommendation

Include a budget breakdown in the document and focus on the efforts concerning the different areas of the waste management hierarchy. Detail specific programs and budget items that reflect the hierarchy and create a forum in which funding uses for the planning period are discussed.

C. Los Angeles County ISWM Draft Siting Element Deficiencies and Recommendations

Summary Overview

The Draft Siting Element fails to meet the requirements of AB 939. It does not present a comprehensive assessment of disposal need for the County, it underestimates disposal capacity and the disposal strategies lack adequate diversion and other measures for the 15 year planning period. The report utilizes the outdated 1988 Action plan as its basis, and fails to consider regional disposal options beyond the county lines.

1. The Draft Siting Element neither fulfills the specific requirements as found in AB 939 and relevant regulations, nor the broader purpose of providing policy guidance to decision makers about waste disposal options.

Comment

The purpose of the Siting Element is to show how the County can safely dispose (or transform) of waste which *cannot* be source reduced, composted or recycled for the 15 year planning period of 1995-2010, and whether any new disposal sites or other strategies are required to meet this goal.⁴

In contrast, the Draft Siting Element merely proposes to landfill half of the waste produced by the County by building new landfills and expanding existing ones.

Deficiency

The Siting Element is fundamentally deficient in not properly addressing the issue of how much waste cannot be source reduced, composted or recycled

- In both the Siting Element and Summary Plan the County assumes LA County will stay at 50% diversion from the year 2000 as required by AB 939, and will not divert more waste after that date. The 50% is meant as a minimum goal under the Statute. Los Angeles City has adopted a goal of 70% diversion by 2020; this goal is feasible for the entire County.
- Both the Summary Plan and Siting Element should address the issue of what waste cannot be source reduced, composted or recycled or transformed. The Summary Plan should address missing goals for source reduction in reducing the waste stream, while the Element should address transformation technologies which are entirely missing.
- In addition, assumptions about how much waste will be generated is key to assessing disposal needs, and it is likely that the County has exaggerated the growth of waste generation in the future.

⁴ CAR, Title 14, Sec 18755.3

- An independent analysis prepared by Gershman, Brickner and Bratton, Inc. (GBB) of Falls Church, Virginia suggests that LA County does not need additional disposal capacity in the County for the 15 year planning period (See Summary Plan Deficiencies above) or through the second planning period of 2010-2025, given a mix of enhanced diversion activities and revised calculations of waste generation and waste export, landfill conservation measures etc.

Deficiency

The County incorrectly interprets AB 939 to require 15 years of disposal capacity which must be built exclusively inside the County line. The Siting Element is misdirected at building capacity rather than pursuing integrated strategies to provide for disposal capacity.

- A second fundamental deficiency is the County's faulty interpretation that 15 years of disposal capacity must be found exclusively inside the County line. The County claims "As indicated in Subsection 4.6.1, the CSE's Primary goal is to secure in-County disposal capacity" p.4-23, Sec. 4.6.3). This is a false statement inconsistent with the Statute or implementing regulations.
- Throughout the report the County ignores or downplays disposal already taking place in adjacent counties, or the potential of remote rail haul sites. This artificial limitation distorts the analysis and findings of the report.
- Indeed, under CCR Sections 18755 (c) and 18756.5 the Siting Element may explain why there are no suitable new sites for disposal because of economic, environmental, fiscal, legal physical, political, or other factors (see below).
- If no new or expanded facilities can be identified because of various obstacles, then the Siting Element is allowed to show how a County or region intends to dispose of wastes and may present strategies for how 15 years of disposal capacity will be assured.⁵ The Siting Element only admits in one place the role of other strategies in addition to the to the in-County strategy alone.

Deficiency

The Siting Element (and Summary Plan) fail to provide disposal strategies consistent with the hierarchy of AB 939, where building new landfills is a least preferred option for providing for disposal capacity.

⁵ The Siting element does not expand on the single statement "the planning process should include a variety of alternatives...this may include increased recycling and other diversion efforts, as well as infrastructure for out of County landfill disposal" (p. 4-26, Sec 4.7) "the Purpose of CSE...is to provide a planning mechanism to address solid waste disposal capacity need ...for each year of the 15 year planning period, through a combination of existing facilities, expansion of existing facilities, planned facilities, and other arrangements" emphasis added Siting Element, 1-2, Sec. 1.2)

- A third key deficiency is the County's implicit interpretation of the Siting Element as a landfill building program detached from the demands of the hierarchy of AB 939.
- A more valid interpretation of Statute and regulations would be that new landfills should be identified for development in the planning period only if there is insufficient capacity available for the County (inside or outside the County) after every effort has been made to source reduce, compost and recycle.
- The CIWMB has created a set of priorities for meeting disposal needs and ensuring landfill capacity that stresses the hierarchy of AB 939 and takes a more integrated regional rather than County approach.⁷
 - maximize waste diversion (source reduction, composting, recycling)
 - Landfill cover measures (to conserve space)
 - Landfill mining (recovery of materials from landfills, reduction of landfill volume through baling, compacting, etc.)
 - Bans on inert materials reaching Class III landfills
 - Bans on additional materials (Mandatory Recycling Ordinances)
 - Transformation (e.g. wood waste, tires)
 - Expansion of existing landfills before new construction
 - Examine feasible new landfill construction in the County
 - Waste exports (identified as a short term solution to meet needs or long term) to nearby and distant Counties via road and rail haul.
- This hierarchy would produce a very different Siting Element, since it recognizes the imperative codified in the Statute that the building of a new landfill is the least preferred waste management method that should be avoided.

Recommendations

- Approach the Siting Element from the perspective of providing strategies and options to meet disposal needs, instead of a rigid 15 years of landfilling capacity in the County.
- Establish a strategy for disposal in the Siting Element and Summary Plan that reflects the hierarchy of AB 939 in line with the CIWMB hierarchy. Under this approach expanding sites or building new capacity in the County are only the latter steps of the strategy if all other options are exhausted such as increased diversion.
- Remove the emphasis on disposal capacity solely inside the County line:

⁷ Ensuring Adequate Disposal, May 20, 1994

- A language in the goals, policies and objectives section similar to the San Diego Plan:
- "The Countywide Siting Element provides a description of the facilities and strategies that will provide adequate disposal capacity for 15 years for all jurisdictions within the county when other alternatives such as additional waste diversions programs and waste export are included." (p.1) [emphasis added]

II. The new landfill shortage of 1999 is based on a new higher waste generation estimate that does not use the most accurate data for L.A. County, and the assumption that the daily permitted capacity at each landfill is fully used.

Deficiency

The high waste generation projection found in the Siting Element is excessive and not based on the best available data for L.A. County.

- The Siting Element makes the case for a shortage of landfill capacity in the County, and on this basis advocated a huge expansion and building program for landfills inside the County line (see below). However, predictions by L.A. County Public Works of coming landfill shortages have been consistently wrong for the last 18 years. The 1988 Action Plan, for example, foresaw landfill shortages by 1992 that never occurred nor has any shortage occurred since.
- The Siting Element estimates that permitted Class III landfill cannot meet projected waste disposal need by 1999 and therefore a "garbage crisis" currently exists in Los Angeles County.⁹ According to the table provided, there could be a shortage of 4,600 tons a day of daily (landfill) capacity.¹⁰ Also another date is provided called the "time to crisis", projected for the year 2007 when disposal needs exceed the remaining permitted landfill capacity.¹¹
- The County uses the CIWMB adjustment methodology for estimating waste generation. The methodology is very sensitive to the data used for population, employment, taxable sales and consumer price index and the overall economic growth projections. Public works seems to have modeled using some less accurate data provided by CIWMB as default when other data does not exist which has inflated waste generation.
- In contrast to the County assumptions, per person (per capita) waste generation is decreasing based on EPA studies and a CIWMB Disposal Capacity Study have demonstrated. New state Finance Department figures

⁹ SE, ibid; p.4-18, Sec.4.5.2.2

¹⁰ SE, ibid; Table 4-6, p.4-21.

¹¹ SE, ibid; p.4-17, Sec.4.5.2.2; p.4-22 Sec.4.5.2.4

show less than expected population growth due to a migration out of the County compensating for immigration into the County. Also the effect of taxable sales of autos, personal services and second-hand merchandise in the County inflates waste generation numbers without in fact generating any waste; and need not be included in the model according to CJWMB.

- When such L.A. County specific data is used in the State methodology a lower waste generation curve is created that adds several years to the "time to crisis" and greatly reduces the size of any subsequent disposal shortfall.
- Waste generation estimates must be treated with caution because major resource allocations and key decisions about disposal capacity will be determined by them. A range of possible waste generation curves which are based on the best data for Los Angeles should be provided to decision makers. The plan only presents two options -- massive landfill shortages and massive excess capacity.

Deficiency

The County time-to-crisis date is artificially shortened by assuming each landfill is filled to maximum daily permitted capacity, which is rarely the case.

- The crisis date of 1990 also rests on another key assumption concerning the rate at which existing permitted landfill space will be used up. The County's "time to crisis" calculations assume each landfill is filled at its maximum daily permitted (allowed) rate, everyday.
- However, actual disposal measured at landfill sites shows they are rarely filled at the maximum daily rate and most landfills receive less than the daily permitted capacity. Therefore the use-up rate of existing landfills will be less, delaying the date of any landfill shortage.

Recommendations

- Revise the waste generation projections using modeling with L.A. County specific, financial, population, employment, taxable sales data.
- Based on the best L.A. specific data, provide a range of waste generation curves to provide a more accurate picture to decision makers.
- Base "time to crisis" calculations on the actual disposal rate at each site, not the theoretical maximum (daily permitted capacity).

III. The County presents only two, extreme "time to crisis" scenarios which does not provide a useful analysis of whether, how much or where additional disposal capacity should be sought.

Comment

The Siting Element provides only two "time to crisis" scenarios (A&B) as guidance for assessing disposal capacity needs and policies for the County for the 15 year planning period. They both rest on the new higher waste generation curve provided by the County as discussed above.

Scenario A, assumes no new landfills or expansions of existing landfills and indicates shortages in the 1999-2007 time frame. However, Scenario A is based on the hidden assumptions including no net exports of waste to other counties or to remote sites and no further waste diversion than the 50% mandated by law. Under these circumstances Scenario A shows a landfill shortage as early as 1999. Scenario A is highly unlikely to occur.

Scenario B, assumes four new landfills are built and six landfill expansions completed in LA County. Scenario B shows a huge surplus of landfill space that varies from 11,500 tons a day to 67,000 tons a day -- the latter twice as much as the current amount of trash generated each day in Los Angeles. Scenario B is also highly unlikely to occur and represents a polar extreme of Scenario A.

These "all or nothing" scenarios have little value as guidance for policy makers. There is a need to elaborate numerous scenarios that either fall between the two extremes or are based on more comprehensive assumptions.

Recommendations

- Present additional time to crisis scenarios based on additional diversion and disposal assumptions, to determine the minimum, if any, new disposal capacity needed in or outside the County. Increased diversion strategies should also be in the ISWM Summary Plan
- Scenarios could be based on the following waste management strategies (see Summary Plan Deficiencies above):
 - expanded diversion goals e.g. 70% by 2020 for the County unincorporated area and the eighty-eight cities.
 - impact of current and expanded waste export to Orange County
 - moderate rail-haul capacity becoming available in the planning period
 - inert materials ban (redirect inert materials to proper landfills)
 - mandatory recycling ordinances (MROs)
 - increased landfill conservation measures - alternatives daily top cover
 - diversion of sewage sludge from Puente Hills
 - waste prevention/source reduction (e.g. packaging bans) impact on waste stream

IV. The Siting Element advocates an unprecedented expansion of disposal capacity inside LA County, but does not show credible strategies for achieving it, since all proposed new landfills face insurmountable obstacles.

Comment

Even if it were assumed that there is a need for new and expanded landfills inside the County line as the County claims, the County has not presented a credible strategy for creating the capacity.

Indeed, under CCR Sections 18755 (c) and 18756.5 the Siting Element may explain why even if there is a need for disposal capacity, there are no suitable new sites for disposal because of economic, environmental, fiscal, legal physical, political, or other factors (see below).

The sites identified for new landfills by the Siting Element certainly face significant land use, political obstacles and environmental and public health impacts which the County does not recognize.

The road access problems to the proposed Blind and Towsley Canyons are recognized only in the Element.¹² The document fails to note that the EIRs for both sites are not as yet certified.

The obstacles to new in County disposal/landfill sites advocated in the report include:

- Towsley Canyon - Adjacent jurisdictions oppose, access denied by land purchase by SMMC. Opposed by Supervisor, LA City.
- Mission-Rustic-Sullivan - Hostile jurisdictions including Los Angeles, opposed, opposed by supervisor, LA City.
- Elsmere Canyon - Sustained opposition since 1972? and "no-project" advocated by US Forest Service which is responsible for land exchange to allow landfill in Elsmere Canyon. Hostile jurisdictions. Opposed by Supervisor. Federal law now prohibits use of this canyon as a landfill.
- Blind Canyon - Local opposition, access denied by land swap by Bob Hope. Opposed by Supervisor. Hostile jurisdictions.

Moreover there are significant obstacles to most of the proposed landfill expansions at Sunshine Canyon, Chiquita Canyon and Puente Hills.

In June 1996, the LA City Council voted a resolution to remove three sites from the Siting Element; Blind Canyon, Mission-Rustic-Sullivan and Towsley Canyon (and Lopez Canyon, scheduled to close in 1996).

Given the potentially insurmountable obstacles to building new landfills in LA County it is prudent to examine other options and strategies for LA County into the future.

Recommendations

¹² Siting Element, p1-14, Sec. 1.4.2.4

- Make a determination of a range of likely additional landfills for the County in the planning period and beyond, based on different scenarios.
- Each potential disposal site inside or outside the County should be ranked and compared by factors such as the degree of completion, obstacles, environmental impacts, and health impacts and assessed for feasibility, cost, likely date of permitted capacity and other considerations.
- A prudent planning assumption should be made that new landfills in the County are unlikely and plan accordingly. As such it should explore alternatives strategies, including out of County and advanced diversion options to meet any excess disposal need in the planning period.

V. The County describes rail haul to remote landfills negatively, and as only a "supplement" to extend the life of in-County landfills, instead of evaluating rail haul as an equal partner in a waste management strategy for the future

Comment

The County includes a chapter on rail haul to remote sites, but only includes one goal saying rail haul will be promoted but only "in order to *supplement and extend* the life of in-County disposal capacity".¹³ This is inconsistent with AB 939 and the implementing regulations, since exports may be a key component of any disposal strategy and can *replace* it.

The Siting Element, as do most observers, does recognize that rail haul is an "essential element in the long-term solid waste disposal strategies for Los Angeles County" but this theme is not elaborated.¹⁴

In contrast, Chapter 8 on rail haul recounts numerous disadvantages and obstacles to rail haul options and provides mainly a negative assessment. Yet the Siting Element does not equally address the significant obstacles to new in-County disposal (see above). Indeed rail haul sites are in most cases more feasible and nearer completion than new landfills inside the County line.

However, neither time to crisis scenario provided (see above) allows for the impact of any range of waste export or rail haul capacity coming on line in the planning period to 2010.

The documents must make export and rail haul an equal partner in ISWM system and use it to generate further disposal scenarios, and the document must also address methods to make rail haul accessible, affordable and successful.

Recommendations

¹³ SE 2.4.6, p.2-6.
¹⁴ SE p.1-10, Sec.3.5

- Evaluate rail haul as an equal partner in the waste management system and not subordinate it to development of in County disposal capability.
- The section on the disadvantages of rail haul section should be fairly weighted against disadvantages of developing further in County sites.

VI. The contribution of current out of County exports and future rail haul of waste to remote sites are not included in the assessment of disposal need, and this exaggerates the "time to crisis"

Comment

There are currently five major rail haul projects being developed for implementation beginning in the year 1997, and the planned capacity of all projects is enough to handle LA County's waste stream. There is currently a permitted rail haul capacity from L.A. to Utah. Even a single project at a low initial capacity in the next 15 years would make any new capacity in the County probably unnecessary for the 15 year planning period and beyond.

However, no rail haul capacity or net export is assumed by the County to be available in the planning period to 2015, and none is included in the two time to crisis scenarios provided. The artificial exclusion of out of County disposal in the time to crisis scenarios exaggerates the time to crisis, and helps the Element make the case for a huge in County landfill building program.

The Siting Element also ignores the existing waste being exported to Orange County. Contracts for export to permitted capacity in Orange County began in early 1996, in addition, waste is exported to other locations, such as El Sobrante in Riverside County. Orange County has at least 30 years of landfill capacity and will be a significant factor in any coherent disposal strategy for LA County.

Under the hierarchy of AB 939 it would be more preferable to access existing landfill sites in adjacent counties rather than site new sites in the County. Similarly most rail haul sites are less environmentally sensitive than proposed new in County sites and are therefore a better alternative; this was recognized by EPA in recent comments on the DEIR for Elsmere. This supports the view that implementation of AB 939 and provision of disposal capacity must be seen in a regional context and not purely inside the County line.

The Siting Element does suggest that rail haul will be a necessity for the County in the future *even if all* proposed sites are developed in County. But the document fails to draw the conclusion that it is prudent to act to test and acquire that capacity as soon as possible and avoid building further landfills in the County.

Recommendations

- Provide an analysis of the implications of current and future out of County disposal options in the planning period, including both road and rail haul and waste exports to adjacent counties

- Evaluate rail haul as an equal partner in the waste management system and not subordinate it to development of in County disposal capability.
- The section on the disadvantages of rail haul section should be fairly weighed against disadvantages of developing further in County sites.

VI. The contribution of current out of County exports and future rail haul of wastes to remote sites are not included in the assessment of disposal need, and this exaggerates the "time to crisis"

Comment

There are currently five major rail haul projects being developed for implementation beginning in the year 1997, and the planned capacity of all projects is enough to handle LA County's waste stream. There is currently a permitted rail haul capacity from LA to Utah. Even a single project at a low initial capacity in the next 15 years would make any new capacity in the County probably unnecessary for the 15 year planning period and beyond.

However, no rail haul capacity or net export is assumed by the County to be available in the planning period to 2015, and none is included in the two time to crisis scenarios provided. The artificial exclusion of out of County disposal in the time to crisis scenarios exaggerates the time to crisis, and helps the Element make the case for a huge in County landfill building program.

The Siting Element also ignores the existing waste being exported to Orange County. Contracts for export to permitted capacity in Orange County began in early 1996, in addition, waste is exported to other locations, such as El Sobrante in Riverside County. Orange County has at least 30 years of landfill capacity and will be a significant factor in any coherent disposal strategy for LA County.

Under the hierarchy of AB 939 it would be more preferable to access existing landfill sites in adjacent counties rather than site new sites in the County. Similarly most rail haul sites are less environmentally sensitive than proposed new in County sites and are therefore a better alternative; this was recognized by EPA in recent comments on the DEIR for Elsmere. This supports the view that implementation of AB 939 and provision of disposal capacity must be seen in a regional context and not purely inside the County line.

The Siting Element does suggest that rail haul will be a necessity for the County in the future *even if all* proposed sites are developed in County. But the document fails to draw the conclusion that it is prudent to act to test and acquire that capacity as soon as possible and avoid building further landfills in the County.

Recommendations

- Provide an analysis of the implications of current and future out of County disposal options in the planning period, including both road and rail haul and waste exports to adjacent counties

Recommendations

- Remove all references to 1988 Solid Waste Action Plan as any other than historical footnote. The Siting Element and Summary Plan documents required by AB 939 supersede the old waste planning documents and policies.
- Acknowledge there is no requirement to provide 50 years of in-County landfill by AB 939, only 15 years of disposal capacity or strategies for the County inside or out.
- Recognize that pursuit of this 50 year goal as a primary policy goal contradicts and is incompatible with the priority emphasis given to waste prevention, recycling and composting by State Law.

VIII. The Siting Element contains no policies or plans to assist the development of new transformation technologies or other landfill disposal alternatives such as composting, etc.

Comment

The Siting Element has no assessment of the new transformation technologies under development in L.A. County. The Siting Element is meant to show how the County will dispose and transform wastes that cannot be diverted.

The Summary Plan recognizes that "Although transformation technologies has proven to be commercially, technically, and environmentally feasible while at the same time meeting stringent air quality standards, the development of additional... facilities in Los Angeles County during the 1996-2010 planning period is unlikely."¹⁷

However the reasons given are not convincing, focusing on current conditions and prices in the energy markets. The plan is therefore unjustifiably pessimistic about transformation capability and the County must make an effort with the cities to support it.

One of the most promising transformation technologies being developed by Southern California Edison (SCE), the AIR gasification Project which turns organic wastes into useable gas for energy. However, L.A. County Public Works has shown little interest in the AIR Project.

Recommendation

- During the Planning period it is prudent to assess and assist the development of feasible mid-to long term transformation technologies to ensure that decision makers have a range of options in the next century for handling solid waste.
- The County should assist SCE toward the goal of a demonstration project starting at 50 tons a day by 1999 and then to 300 tons a day by 2003.

¹⁷ Summary Plan, 4-2

IX. The Siting Element fails to emphasize the importance of measures to preserve existing disposal capacity.

Comment

Methods for the preservation of landfill capacity are entirely neglected by the County in the Siting Element. These methods include landfill mining, baling and shredding of waste and use of alternative daily foam covers that save space in the landfill.

These are considered a legitimate method in any strategy to ensure landfill capacity by a County according to CIWMB, and are higher up the hierarchy of strategies than expanding landfills or building new landfills (see above). These represent immediate measures that can be pursued by the County to ensure landfill capacity.

For example, Antelope Valley landfill will introduce foam top cover as a test of the technology. This is a welcome start to a program that should be expanded across the County, in line with a phase-out of greenhouse as alternative daily cover.

Recommendation

- The County can implement these measures in its landfills and require them at private landfills. These measures include:
 - Chemical Foam Cover and Tarp Intermediate cover, instead of grass
 - Waste Compaction (reduces volume by 25%)
 - Shredding and Bio-stabilization (reduces volume by 50%)
 - Baling (reduces volume 40%)
- Landfill mining, the extraction of materials from landfills, is also recommended for the future

X. Excessive Landfill Need Scenario

Deficiency

The Siting Element implicitly advocates four new landfills and expansion of all Class III landfills in L.A. County as a result of its reliance on only two scenarios as a specific goal despite their own calculations that show a large excess of disposal capacity results

Goal 2.4.1. concerns the development of disposal facilities, and under the policies list says the County will "expedite, streamline and coordinate" the building of four new landfills and expansion of nine others Class III. This goal is written without reference to actual disposal needs identified for the County.

The building program leads to a huge excess of disposal capacity over the planning period according to Scenario B. Moreover, the landfill building program implicit in this goal will have detrimental effects on diversion, since it

would take away County resources which should be focused on the priority under AB 939 of maximizing waste diversion Countywide.

Recommendations

- Revise to articulate a goal of securing only disposal capacity that is absolutely necessary after maximizing diversion, conserving landfill space and using existing sites outside the county and other available strategies.
- Move up goal 2.4.4 which states as a purpose of the Element "To reduce the volume (tonnage) of solid waste requiring disposal/transformation through source reduction, recycling, composting, and public education."



Santa Clarita Valley
Canyons Preservation Committee, Inc.

P.O. Box 220748 • Santa Clarita, CA 91322-0748 • (805) 255-2694

October 15, 1996

RECEIVED
OCT 17 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

Mr. Harry W. Stone, Director
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Re: Comments concerning the Los Angeles County Draft Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan

Dear Mr. Stone:

After reviewing the draft Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, it has become evident that too much emphasis has been placed on urban landfilling and not enough emphasis has been placed on research and development of more viable methods of waste management for the next fifteen years.

Elanere Canyon is obviously not an option any longer. It is imperative, therefore, that any mention of Elanere Canyon as a potential landfill be eliminated from the draft Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan.

It is evident that urban landfilling is an out-dated, unpopular, dangerous method for waste management. It is incumbent upon your department, therefore, to update the Plan to characterize true and meaningful methods of waste management and disposal for future years.

I request, on behalf of my organization, a full and complete copy of the final Plan.

Sincerely,

Marsha A. McLean

Marsha A. McLean, President
S.C.V. Canyons Preservation Committee

P15.1

P15.1 Refer to Goals 1 & 6 and their respective Policies and Objectives.

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX J

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING SCHEDULE

[This page intentionally left blank]

Appendix J
Public Information Meeting Schedule

<u>Date/Time/Location</u>	<u>Presenter</u>
April 1, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) Roosevelt Jr. High School 1017 S. Glendale Avenue Glendale, CA	David Smith
April 2, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) Palmdale Cultural Center 704 E. Palmdale Boulevard Palmdale, CA	David Smith
April 3, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) Duarte Performing Arts Center 1401 Highland Avenue Duarte, CA	David Smith
April 4, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) Valencia High School 27801 N. Dickason Drive Valencia, CA	David Smith
April 8, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) Lennox Sheriff's Community Center 11911 S. Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, CA	Jose Quevedo
April 9, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) Senior Citizen Center of West Covina 2501 E. Cortez Street West Covina, CA	David Smith
April 10, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County 1955 Workman Mill Road Whittier, CA	David Smith
April 11, 1996 (7:00 p.m.) University Synagogue 11960 Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA	David Smith

Date/Time/Location

Presenter

April 15, 1996 (7:00 p.m.)
Torrance Cultural Arts Center
3330 Civic Center Drive
Torrance, CA

Jose Quevedo

April 16, 1996 (7:00 p.m.)
Monroe High School
9229 Haskell Avenue
Los Angeles, CA

David Smith

April 17, 1996 (7:00 p.m.)
Bancroft Middle School
5301 Centralia
Long Beach, CA

Jose Quevedo

April 18, 1996 (7:00 p.m.)
Taft High School
5461 Winnetka Avenue
Los Angeles, CA

David Smith

April 22, 1996 (7:00 p.m.)
Valencia High School
27801 N. Dickason Drive
Valencia, CA

David Smith

APPENDIX K

OFFICIAL NOTICES AND PROOFS OF PUBLICATION

[This page intentionally left blank]

NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
and

MAR 19 1996

AVAILABILITY OF

the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its
Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan
and its Proposed Negative Declaration

COUNTY CLERK

BY A. SHELL

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended; and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048)
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation/circulation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Roosevelt Jr. High School, 1017 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duarte Performing Arts Center, 1401 Highland Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickason Drive, Valencia
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lennox Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizen Center of West Covina, 2501 E. Cortez Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County 1955 Workman Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monroe High School, 9229 Haskell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours thru May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

**NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING**

and

AVAILABILITY OF

**the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its
Draft Environmental Impact Report**

**the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan
and its Proposed Negative Declaration**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended; and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation/circulation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a public information meeting to receive comments on the above documents at the location listed below. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickason Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIME: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours thru May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meeting who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

APR 30 1996

Plan

**NOTICE OF EXTENSION
OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
AND
AVAILABILITY OF**

COUNTY CLERK
BY *[Signature]* DEPUTY
F. GAMBOA

**the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its
Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste
Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submittal of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1996.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended; and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation/circulation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 22, 1996 to receive comments on the above documents within the official 45-day review period ending May 1, 1996. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those organizations and agencies that need additional time in preparation of their comments. The public is also invited to present written comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

REC'D FOR THE ASST. CLERK
4/30/96
5/30/96
SEAL-BUD ORDER/COM/...

98052543

California Newspaper Service Bureau
A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notices Advertising Since 1914
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

L.A. WASTE TIMES

on the following dates:

March 21, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

27th day of March, 1996

Erwin Quijano
 Signature

CNS 1360569

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SILING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission, is preparing the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siling Element (Siling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siling Element, dated January 1996.
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the IR cities in Los Angeles County and the County, and proposes goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of a Countywide Siling Element. The Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process. The Siling Element includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Element of the IR cities in Los Angeles County and the County, and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transforms them into facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water quality, air quality, energy, land use, and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and services of public services. If any specific project identified in the Siling Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Document and their accompanying draft environmental document during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Roosevelt Jr. High School, 1017 S. Glenoaks Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Paindable Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duarte Performing Arts Center, 1565 E. Central Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickason Drive, Valencia
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lennox Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Children Center of West Covina, 2501 E. Carter Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1955 Markoon Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Terrace Cultural Arts Center, 1310 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monroe High School, 9229 Haskell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or 100 (818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

This space for filing stamp only

(When received)
RECORDING REQUESTED BY AIR MAIL TO:

DAILY COMMERCE

...Since 1917...

918 East First Street P.O. Box 84026
Los Angeles, California 90064-0026
Telephone (213) 229-5300
Fax (213) 680-3682

JAN SMITH
L.A. CO ED OF SUP./PROCEEDINGS
GIVE TO SHANT GHAREMAN

Proof of Publication

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California)
County of Los Angeles) SS


EXTENTION COUNTYNWIDE INTEGRATE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the DAILY COMMERCE, a daily newspaper printed and published in the English language in the City of Los Angeles, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of County of Los Angeles, State of California, under date of June 17, 1952, Case No. 595,780. That the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

07/25/96

EXECUTED ON : 07/25/96
AT LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.


.....
Signature

001399206

NOTICE OF EXTENSION
OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
AND

AVAILABILITY OF

the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Billing Element and its
Small Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Statement of Public Needs for the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste
Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is conducting a 120-day extension of the public review period on the above
mentioned documents. The new deadline for submission of comments to the Department of Public Works is Thursday, October 17, 1996.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
and the Los Angeles County Board of Public Works, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California
Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (IWM Act), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:
1. The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Billing Element (PBSE) dated January 1996.
2. The Preliminary Draft Statement of Public Needs for the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan,
dated January 1996.
3. The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Billing Element, dated January 1996 (EIR No. 1996-1049).

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Billing Element, dated January 1996 (EIR No. 1996-1049),
describes the existing and proposed billing systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates their likely economic
impacts, and identifies the impacts of the proposed systems. The EIR also identifies the impacts of the proposed systems on the
Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CWIWM Plan) and the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CWIWM Plan).
The EIR also identifies the impacts of the proposed systems on the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CWIWM Plan) and
the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CWIWM Plan). The EIR also identifies the impacts of the proposed systems on
the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CWIWM Plan) and the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (CWIWM Plan).

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is currently accepting comments on the above mentioned documents
and will be holding public hearings on the documents on the following dates: **Monday, July 29, 1996, 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.**
at the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, 100 South Main Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The public hearing
will be held at the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, 100 South Main Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

Comments on the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Thursday, October 17, 1996
at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 100 South Main Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Comments should be
submitted to the Department of Public Works, 100 South Main Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: Director, 15, 1996 to David H.
Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1442, Alhambra, CA 91801-1442.
Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information by telephone regarding the above mentioned
documents to people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information, call (213) 229-5300, ext. 2130. For
more information, call (213) 229-5300, ext. 2130. For more information, call (213) 229-5300, ext. 2130.

DSC1999206 4/15

California Newspaper Service Bureau, Inc.
 Established 1934
 1-800-788-7840
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

HERNALL SIGNAL & SAUSAGE ENTERPRISE

on the following dates:

MARCH 19, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

23rd day of MARCH, 19 96

Erin O'Quinn
 Signature

CNS 1360561

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SLING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN, AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee (Integrated Waste Management Plan), has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWM 1989), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element (Sling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sling Element, dated January 1996 (SHE-95-011048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs at the six sites in Los Angeles County, and identifies areas and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the County. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Draft Sling Element and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Facilities, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposed goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transshipment facilities. These include the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs at the six sites in Los Angeles County, and identifies areas and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the County. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Draft Sling Element and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Facilities, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposed goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transshipment facilities. These include the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs at the six sites in Los Angeles County, and identifies areas and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the County. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Draft Sling Element and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Facilities, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposed goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transshipment facilities. These include the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs at the six sites in Los Angeles County, and identifies areas and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the County. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Draft Sling Element and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Facilities, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposed goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosevelt Jr. High School, 1017 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmdale Blvd., Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duane Performing Arts Center, 1665 E. Central Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27001 N. Dickason Drive, Van Nuys
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lennox Sherill's Community Center, 1911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Children Center of West Covina, 2901 E. Center Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1055 Westman Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11900 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Inverness Cultural Arts Center, 3130 Civic Center Drive, Inverness
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Blomrose High School, 9229 Haskell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnsboro Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours, from May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 500 South Farmington Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: Erin O'Quinn, Director, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 500 South Farmington Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: Erin O'Quinn, Director, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 500 South Farmington Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: Erin O'Quinn, Director, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 500 South Farmington Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-captioned matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of *La Opinión*, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the city of Los Angeles, county of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of July 28, 1969. Case Number: 950176, that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

7/5

all in the year 19 96

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

21 day of March, 19 96

Thomas A. A.

La Opinión

411 West 5th Street
Los Angeles, California 90013
(213) 836-2272 • Fax # (213) 836-2238

AVISO DE REUNION DE LA INFORMACION PUBLICA Y DISPONIBILIDAD DE
El Borrador Preliminar del Plan de los Angeles sobre el Elemento del Sitio y su Borrador del Reporte Inspectivo Medioambiental
El Plan Preliminar del Borrador de la Administración Integrada de Derechos del Condado de Los Angeles y su Propuesta Declaración Negativa

El Condado de Los Angeles Departamento de Obras Públicas, en coordinación con el Comité de la Administración de Derechos Sólidos (CDS) y el Departamento de Derechos Humanos, en cumplimiento con el Acta de la Administración Integrada de Derechos del Condado de Los Angeles (AB 939), ya conculcada, y la Ley de California Ambiental Quality Act, ya conculcada.

- Borrador Preliminar del Elemento del Sitio (Elemento del Sitio) del Condado de Los Angeles con la fecha de enero de 1996.
- El Plan del Borrador Preliminar del Plan de la Administración Integrada de Derechos del Condado de Los Angeles con la fecha de enero de 1996.
- El Borrador del Reporte Medioambiental (DEIR) para el Elemento del Sitio, con la fecha de enero de 1996 (DCH No. 95010101).
- La Declaración Negativa propuesta para el Plan del Recurso, con la fecha de enero de 1996.

El Borrador del Elemento del Sitio describe el sistema de derechos de derechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y propone el sistema de derechos sólidos del futuro de los 88 ciudades en el Condado de Los Angeles y el área no incorporada del Condado, y propone las acciones, políticas, y objetivos para cubrir las necesidades de derechos sólidos por todo el Condado. El Borrador del Plan del Recurso propone un sistema de todos los Elementos en el proceso de planear la Administración de Derechos Sólidos de el Condado que incluye el Elemento de Reciclaje y Reciclar, el Elemento de Derechos Dominicales y el Elemento de Servicio No Disponible en los 88 ciudades en el Condado de Los Angeles y la área no incorporada del Condado y propone metas, políticas, y objetivos por todo el Condado. El DEIR para el Elemento del Sitio describe las acciones significativas asociadas con lugares y servicios de vertederos en el futuro. Estos incluyen: describir los impactos posibles si se que hay, al agua, calidad de aire, geología, el uso y planear de terreno, transportation, y otros impactos biológicos, sociales, culturales, y sistemas del servicio, y servicios públicos. Si algún proyecto específico individualizado en el Elemento del Sitio es propuesto para desarrollar, entonces se impacto medioambiental será discutido en el documento medioambiental propuesto para ese proyecto. La Declaración Negativa propuesta para el Recurso indica que no hay impactos significativos en el resultado del proyecto.

El Departamento de Obras Públicas del Condado de Los Angeles contiene una serie de reuniones de la información pública recibida comentarios sobre los documentos. Los interesados al público a presentarse personalmente o al escrito sobre los documentos de Borrador y un documento de Borrador adjuntos durante cualquiera de los fechas de reuniones a listadas abajo:

Fecha	Horario	Sitio
Abril 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Koonceville Jr. High School, 1017 S. Olivebale Avenue, Olivebale
Abril 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
Abril 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Deane Performing Arts Center, 1955 E. Central Avenue, Duarte
Abril 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Venencia High School, 27801 N. Dickson Drive, Van Nuys
Abril 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Leona Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
Abril 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2501 E. Cramer Street, West Covina
Abril 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1955 Workman Hill Road, Whittier
Abril 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
Abril 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
Abril 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Menlo High School, 9229 Hankoff Avenue, Los Angeles
Abril 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Comella, Long Beach
Abril 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tah High School, 5461 Wenden Avenue, Los Angeles

REUNIONES EMPEZARAN A LAS 7:00 P.M. EN PUNTO

Copias de los documentos que han sido mencionados son disponibles por respuesta pública durante el primer de Mayo de 1996, en las horas de oficina registrada en la oficina de la Ciudad y Condado. Asimismo, todos los documentos y cualquier información adicional se puede obtener en la oficina de la Ciudad y Condado. Los interesados al público a presentarse personalmente o al escrito sobre los documentos de Borrador y un documento de Borrador adjuntos durante cualquiera de los fechas de reuniones a listadas abajo:

Por favor llamar de antes a las reuniones públicas que quieren hacer comentarios escritos sobre los documentos, por lo menos el 1 de mayo de 1996 a: David M. Smith, División de los Programas Medioambiental, Departamento de Obras Públicas del Condado de Los Angeles, P.O. Box 1660, Alhambra, CA 91802-1660. En el Condado de Los Angeles Departamento de Obras Públicas puede proporcionar información en forma alternativa o dar otras acomodaciones por personas con discapacidades. Para pedir acomodaciones o para más información sobre la Acta de Américanos con discapacidades, favor de comunicarse con el coordinador departamental al (818) 282-7875 de lunes a jueves entre las 7:00 a.m. hasta las 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1934
 Offices in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

ANTHOPE VALLEY PRESS

on the following dates:

MARCH 19, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

27th day of MARCH, 19 96

[Signature]
 Signature

CNS 1360483

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SLIDING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element (Sliding Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sliding Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 9501048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sliding Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the Countywide bank. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide solid waste management plan, including the Sliding Element, the Summary Plan, the Hazardous Waste Management Plan, the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposed goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sliding Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, noise, biological resources, aesthetics, historic resources, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sliding Element is proposed for development, then its impacts, and their mitigation measures, will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony at the DEIR Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosewood Jr. High School, 1017 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duane Performing Arts Center, 1506 E. Cuyam Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 2700 N. Dickinson Blvd., Valencia
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lennox Sheriff's Community Center, 10111 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Sealer Citizens Center of West Covina, 298 E. Carter Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Division of Los Angeles County, 1933 Workman Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11900 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3339 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Moore High School, 9229 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Comstock, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	7th High School, 5461 Wilshire Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91807-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 262-7029. Monthly through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau, Inc.
 Established 1934
 1-800-788-7840
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

FREE TELEGRAM

on the following dates: MARCH 18, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

20th day of MARCH, 19 96

 Signature

CNS 1360473

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Comprehensive Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element, dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCR No. 92011018).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the identified disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, including the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and incineration facilities. These include the potential impacts, if any, on water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, aesthetics, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosenbark Jr. High School, 1817 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 700 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duarte Performing Arts Center, 1965 B. Central Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27894 N. Dickman Drive, Valencia
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Leanna Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2301 B. Center Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1555 Westmore Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11900 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 13320 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosecroft Middle School, 5301 Coronado, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Waverda Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Incubator, Annex Building, Environmental Program Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to David M. Smith, Environmental Program Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 287-7625, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1934
 Offices in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.


The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

SAN GABRIEL VALLEY DAILY TRIBUNE

on the following dates: MARCH 10, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

1st day of APRIL, 19 96

 Signature

CNS 1360458

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report and the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWMIA), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element (Sliding Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sliding Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 9901044).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sliding Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County incorporated areas and sets forth goals, policies and objectives for the solid waste disposal system in a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements subject to the solid waste management planning process which includes the Sliding Element, the Draft Environmental Impact Report, the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County incorporated areas and proposed goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sliding Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transfer stations facilities. These include: dimensions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sliding Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in more detail in the DEIR for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental declarations during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosenwald Jr. High School, 1817 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 701 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Deans Performing Arts Center, 1565 E. Central Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Veneta High School, 27801 N. Dickason Drive, Van Nuys
April 5, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lowell Sheriff's Community Center, 10111 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Scotch Children Center of West Covina, 2091 E. Covina Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Solicitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1955 Washburn 1088 Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11908 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Thornwood Cultural Arts Center, 3338 Civic Center Drive, Thornwood
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosecroft Middle School, 5301 Comstock, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tek High School, 5461 Whittier Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91801-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information on the availability of the documents, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 262-1830, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5.C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
County of Los Angeles.

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid. I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Daily News newspaper of general circulation, printed and published 7 times weekly in the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank & San Fernando, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 26, 1983, Case Number Adjudication #C349217; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

March 18

all in the year 19 *96*
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Woodland Hills, *March 18* 19*96*
California, this *18* day *March* 19*96*
Marianne Kerner
Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

**NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF
THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE
SLING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 1397), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element (Sling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sling Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas, and sets forth policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides a framework for the development of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transfer stations. These include discussion of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, aesthetics, biological resources, wetlands, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sling Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the following dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosewell J. High School, 9877 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 701 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Diverse Performing Arts Center, 1545 E. Central Avenue, Downey
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Victoria High School, 27801 N. Dickman Drive, Whittier
April 5, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lanette Sheriff's Community Center, 19111 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Seneca Citizens Center of West Covina, 2391 E. Chert Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Stationing Division of Los Angeles County, 1955 Washburn BMR Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11949 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3130 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Marston High School, 9229 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Wilmore Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996, at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comment by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91801-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please call

PROOF OF PUBLICATION Los Angeles Times

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Los Angeles

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years; I am not a party to or interested in the notice published. I am the Chief Legal Advertising Clerk of the Publisher of the LOS ANGELES TIMES, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles. The LOS ANGELES TIMES has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 21, 1952, case Number 598,599. The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

MARCH 19

all in the year 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

3rd day of **APRIL, 1996**

Shelby Caldwell
Signature

CNS: 1360436

California Newspaper Service Bureau, Inc.
1-800-788-7840
Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County-wide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County County-wide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWM 1989), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County-wide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County-wide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SI 16, 93011616)
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives for the solid waste disposal system on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the House-hold Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with siting, siting of landfills, and transportation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Russell F. High School, 1017 S. Hemlock Avenue, Hemlock
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmade Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmade Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duarte Performing Arts Center, 1965 E. Central Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Vanessa High School, 27801 N. Jackson Drive, Vanuola
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lowman Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2501 E. Carter Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1935 Workman Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11940 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 13, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monroe High School, 9229 Hancock Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Baruch Mikki School, 3301 Comella, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETINGS TO BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 7:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996, at City and County Offices. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 901 South Vermont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the projects may do so by addressing them in comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other arrangements for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 782-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1924
 Offices in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.


The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in this:

NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

on the following dates: MARCH 29, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

28th day of APRIL, 1996

 Signature

CNS 1363088

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SLING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 (the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report)
 (the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration)

- The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Board, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWM Act), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:
- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element (Sling Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sling Element, dated January 1996
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future waste disposal capacity needs of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the Countywide Sling Element, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of a Countywide Sling Element. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process, including the Sling Element, the Summary Plan, the Negative Declaration, the Integrated Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Use Element of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the Countywide Sling Element, and the Non-Disposal Use Element of the Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfill and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential for air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, public safety and services systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sling Element is proposed for development, the DEIR will discuss the environmental impacts of that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies any significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present comments and testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Riverside Jr. High School, 1017 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Pasadena Cultural Center, 704 E. Pasadena Boulevard, Pasadena
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duarte Performing Arts Center, 1401 Highland Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 2700 N. Dickman Drive, Valencia
April 5, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lorenz Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 6, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Division, 2501 E. Center Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1955 Workman NWH Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Hedden Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Beverly Hills Middle School, 5301 Cromwell, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5481 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Program Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Program Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 451-4811 or (714) 818-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau

A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation

Public Notice Advertising Since 1914

Offices in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

ANTELOPE VALLEY PRESS

on the following dates:

MARCH 22, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

22nd day of APRIL, 19 96

Erin Griffin

Signatory

CNS 1363087

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declarations

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (S/E 11 No. 9/81/10/88).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet and manage the waste disposal needs in a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Households in the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan Element, the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Siting Element documents those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include: destruction of the aesthetic resources, if any, in water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for this project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosevelt Jr. High School, 10175 Glenblair Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Palmdale Cultural Center, 704 E. Palmdale Boulevard, Palmdale
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Imperial Performing Arts Center, 1491 Highland Avenue, Imperial
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickstein Drive, Valencia
April 5, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lewiston Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Sevier Citizens Center of West Covina, 2501 E. Carter Street, West Covina
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1955 Westman Mill Road, Whittier
April 13, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3320 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Humboldt Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Harvard Middle School, 5191 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Waverly Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons are able to attend the public meetings with which to make written comments on the reports, and to address their comments. By May 1, 1996 to David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1440, Alhambra, CA 91802-1440.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate format or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7825, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau

A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of
eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter
noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy
appeared in the:

SAN GABRIEL VALLEY DAILY TRIBUNE

on the following dates:
MARCH 29, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this
28th day of NOV 11, 1996
Quinn Dwyer
Signature

CNS 1363083

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable
from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest,
is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION

THE PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION will be held in the City of Los Angeles at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California, on the following dates and times:

- April 9, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 10, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 11, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 12, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 13, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 15, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 16, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 17, 1996 7:00 p.m.
- April 18, 1996 7:00 p.m.

The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California, on the following dates and times:

- April 9, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 10, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 11, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 12, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 13, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 15, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 16, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 17, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.
- April 18, 1996 7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. - The Public Information Meeting will be held at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 960 South Fremont Avenue, Los Angeles, California.

On the above dates, the following documents will be available for public review and copying:

- 1. The Study Report (SR) for the proposed integrated water management plan, dated January 1996.
- 2. The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan (PDSMP) for the proposed integrated water management plan, dated January 1996.
- 3. The Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the proposed integrated water management plan, dated January 1996.
- 4. The Proposed Negative Declaration (PND) for the proposed integrated water management plan, dated January 1996.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to attend these meetings and to provide comments on the documents. The meetings will be held at the following locations:

- 1. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 2. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 3. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 4. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 5. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 6. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 7. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 8. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 9. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 10. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 11. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 12. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 13. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 14. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 15. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

The public is invited to attend these meetings and to provide comments on the documents. The meetings will be held at the following locations:

- 1. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 2. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 3. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 4. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 5. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 6. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 7. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 8. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 9. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 10. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 11. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 12. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 13. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 14. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 15. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

The public is invited to attend these meetings and to provide comments on the documents. The meetings will be held at the following locations:

- 1. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 2. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 3. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 4. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 5. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 6. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 7. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 8. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 9. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 10. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 11. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 12. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 13. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 14. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 15. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

The public is invited to attend these meetings and to provide comments on the documents. The meetings will be held at the following locations:

- 1. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 2. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 3. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 4. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 5. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 6. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 7. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 8. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 9. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 10. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 11. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 12. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 13. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 14. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 15. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

The public is invited to attend these meetings and to provide comments on the documents. The meetings will be held at the following locations:

- 1. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 2. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 3. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 4. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 5. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 6. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 7. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 8. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 9. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 10. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 11. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 12. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 13. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 14. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.
- 15. The High School, 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
County of Los Angeles,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the

Daily News

a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published 7 times weekly in the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank & San Fernando, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 28, 1983, Case Number Adjudication #C349217; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

March 30

all in the year 19 96.
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Woodland Hills,
California, this 30 day of March 19 96.

Susan Hearse
Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF
THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE
SIBLING ELEMENT AND THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Department, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1969 (CEQA), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sibling Element (Sibling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sibling Element, dated January 1996.
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The DEIR for the Sibling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 98 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas, and sets forth goals, objectives and objectives for the Countywide solid waste disposal system. The Summary Plan provides an overview of the Countywide solid waste disposal system, including the Countywide Solid Waste Management Plan, the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and the Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan.

The DEIR for the Sibling Element describes those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and incinerators. These include direct impacts of the solid waste disposal system, and indirect impacts, such as air quality, traffic, noise, and other impacts. The DEIR also describes the mitigation measures that will be implemented to avoid, minimize, or compensate for the impacts of the project.

The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present its views, oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meetings as listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 1, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosemead Jr. High School, 1617 S. Glendale Avenue, Glendale
April 2, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Pasadena Cultural Center, 704 B. Palmdale Boulevard, Pasadena
April 3, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Duarte Performing Arts Center, 1401 Highland Avenue, Duarte
April 4, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Van Nuys High School, 7700 N. Delwood Drive, Van Nuys
April 5, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Leventis Sherill's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 6, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2201 B. Current Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Recreation Division of Los Angeles County, 1923 Wilshire Mill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11940 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Woodhill Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Rosemead Middle School, 5391 Chatsworth, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tah High School, 2461 Whittier Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.
Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and information referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Vermont Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91802-1668.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to the County Clerk, David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1640, Alhambra, CA 91802-1640.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information to enhance access to electronic records for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information, call the County Clerk's Office at (818) 438-4881 or TDD (818) 382-7625. Meetings through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1934
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter notified.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

THE DAILY BREEZE

on the following date:

APRIL 03, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

11th day of APRIL, 1996

Wendy J. [Signature]
 Signature

CNS 1364705

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

PAID ADVERTISMENT
NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF
the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide
Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan
and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission and the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (A.I.W.M.A.), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January, 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (R.H.No. 9501048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides a review of all the elements of the Countywide integrated waste management planning process which includes the Siting Element and Recycling Element, the Hazardous Waste Element, and the New Disposal Facility Element of the DEIR, cities in Los Angeles County, and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills, and waste-to-energy facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use, and planning, transportation, social, biological resources, archeology, utilities and service systems, and public services. The DEIR also discusses the potential impacts of the Siting Element on the environment, and the potential impacts of the Siting Element on the environment. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Los Angeles Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2901 E. Center Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1935 Washburn Hill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Clark Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Montrose High School, 9229 Haskell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bankcroft Middle School, 5301 Centinela, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 3461 Winchella Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Environmental Programs Division, 400 South Fountain Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 140 West 146th, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. For more information, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Department of Public Works at (818) 488-4801 or (818) 488-2829. Meetings through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

SAN GABRIEL VALLEY TRIBUNE

on the following dates:

APRIL 05, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

12th day of APRIL, 1996

Wm. J. ...
 Signature

CNS 1364668

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SLING ELEMENT AND THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission (Integrated Waste Management Task Force), has prepared the following documents in compliance with the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1990 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element (Sling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sling Element, dated January 1996 (SCR No. 9301048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Sling Element and Recycling Element, the Hazardous Materials Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with those siting of landfills and incineration facilities. These include deterioration of the ground surface, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sling Element is proposed for development, then the environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental assessment prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony at the Draft Document and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lowest Sheriff's Community Center, 1911 E. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Southern Christian Church of West Covina, 2591 E. Cortez Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Substation District of Los Angeles County, 1955 McKinley Hill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Symposium, 11949 Inland Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 13, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Thomas Cultural Arts Center, 3339 Clark Center Drive, Torrance
April 14, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 5229 Redwood Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 3381 Chambliss, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	The High School, 5481 Whittier Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Although all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Avenue Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Seash, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91803-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate format or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations or for more information on the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 408-4811 or TDD (818) 252-7029, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation

Public Notice Advertising Since 1934
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.


The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

L.A. DAILY NEWS

on the following dates:
APRIL 04, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

10th day of APRIL, 19 96

 Signature

CNS 1364602

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWM Act), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element (Sliding Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sliding Element, dated January 1996 (S/E H No. 950110181)
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sliding Element identifies the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the City of Los Angeles, County and the County unincorporated areas, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives for the Countywide integrated waste management planning process which includes the Sliding Element, the Summary Plan, the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the RRC in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sliding Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and incineration facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sliding Element is proposed for development, its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lexus Sheriff's Community Center, 11911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2201 E. Covina Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, 1935 Workman Hill Road, Whittier
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 11960 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Terrace Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Norwalk High School, 9229 Norwalk Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Beaumont Middle School, 5301 Comstock Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 3461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any notices referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, PO Box 14611, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information on the AWM Act (AWMA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 358-4081 or TDD (818) 202-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
County of Los Angeles,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the

Daily News
a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published 7 times weekly in the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank & San Fernando, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 26, 1983, Case Number Adjudication #C349217; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

April 5, 1996

all in the year 1996
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Woodland Hills,

California, this 5 day of April, 1996

Maura H. Harte
Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

**NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF
THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE
SITING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission and the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AIWMA), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SHEI No. 05010115).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, including the Siting Element, the Summary Plan, the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and the Best Interest of Facility Element of the AIWMA, as well as the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan.

The EIR for the Siting Element discusses, among other things, potential impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transportation facilities. These include discussion of potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, and other resources. The EIR also discusses potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, and other resources. The EIR also discusses potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, and other resources. The EIR also discusses potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, and other resources.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written comments on the Siting Element, the Summary Plan, the EIR, and the Negative Declaration. The public is invited to present written comments on the Siting Element, the Summary Plan, the EIR, and the Negative Declaration.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 8, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lorenz Sheriff's Community Center, 1911 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles
April 9, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Senior Citizens Center of West Covina, 2901 F. Cermak Street, West Covina
April 10, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Compton Senior Citizens Center, 1955 West Main Street, Compton
April 11, 1996	7:00 p.m.	University Synagogue, 19900 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3100 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Alhambra High School, 9229 Lindell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bimonthly Middle School, 5301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County Offices. A list of the offices where the documents are available for public review is attached to this notice. Any references referred to in this notice may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Erwin Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the report may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Erwin Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91803-1460. Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, please call the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works at (818) 408-1000 or (818) 408-1000, Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)SS

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the assistant principal clerk of the printer of the Acton/Agua Dulce News, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published weekly in the Community of Acton county of Los Angeles and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under date of February 12, 1988, case Number NOC9391; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

April 15, 96

All in the year 1996

Spice

M. GAYLE JOYCE
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report, the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission, Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 9501048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the Countywide area. The Countywide Siting Element provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts of the project on air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, the environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written comments on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3339 Chic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Moore High School, 9239 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5381 Comstock, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27881 N. Dickman Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County Offices. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Program Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by July 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Program Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1468, Alhambra, CA 91802-1468.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-6881 or 1DD(818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1954
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Silver Lake

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

SAN FERNANDO SUN

on the following dates:

APRIL 17, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

13th day of April, 1996

Jim Quincy
 Signature

CNS 1367865

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents, pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWM 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft for Appex's County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Siting Element, dated January, 1996 (SUN 9601085).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal needs of the 40 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives for the Countywide solid waste disposal system. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Integrated Waste Management Element. It also sets forth the goals of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The EIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transfer stations. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, of water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, noise/vibration, aesthetics, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Terrance Cultural Arts Center, 3381 Clark Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monroe High School, 9320 Haskell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Lath High School, 5401 Wilmetta Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 37801 N. Dickason Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information, call the ADA Information phone, on the County Department ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4881 or (818) 922-7839, Monday through Thursday, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid, I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of La Opinion a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the city of Los Angeles, county of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of July 28, 1969, Case Number: 950176; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

4/12

all in the year 19 96

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 17 day of Apr, 19 96

La Opinion
411 West 5th Street
Los Angeles, California 90013
+213) 896-2272 + Fax#(213) 896-2238

This space is for the County Clerk's filing Stamp

AVISO DE REUNION DE LA INFORMACION PUBLICA Y DISPONIBILIDAD DE:
El Boquejo Previsor del Condado de Los Angeles sobre el Elemento del Sitio y el Plan de Desarrollo del Condado de Los Angeles y su Propuesta Declarativa Negativa del Condado de Los Angeles.

El Condado de Los Angeles Departamento de Obras Publicas, en coordinacion con el Comité de la Administración de Desechos Sólidos (El Grupo del Manejo de Desechos) ha propuesto de acuerdo con los documentos adjuntos a los requisitos de la California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AW 1939), ya mencionada, y la Ley de Calidad Ambiental Quality Act, ya mencionada.

- El Plan del Boquejo Preliminar del Sitio (Elemento del Sitio) del Condado de Los Angeles con la fecha de enero de 1996.
- El Plan del Boquejo Preliminar del Plan de la Administración Integrada de Desechos del Condado de Los Angeles con la fecha de enero de 1996.
- La Declaración Negativa Mediambiental (DENR) para el Elemento del Sitio, con la fecha de enero de 1996 (SCTI No. 9301048).
- El Boquejo del Reporte Mediambiental para el Plan de Revisión, con la fecha de enero de 1996.

El Boquejo del Elemento del Sitio describe el estatus actual de los derechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, proyectos de desarrollo de derechos sólidos del futuro de los 22 ciudades en el Condado de Los Angeles y el área no incorporada del Condado, y propone metas, políticas, y objetivos para cubrir las necesidades de desarrollar derechos sólidos por todo el Condado. El Boquejo del Plan de Revisión propone un resumen de todos los Elementos en el proceso de planes de la Administración de Desechos Sólidos de el Condado que incluye el Elemento de Revisión y Reclamar, el Elemento de Desechos Tóxicos Domésticos y el Elemento de Servicio No Disponible en las 22 ciudades en el Condado de Los Angeles y la lista no incorporada del Condado y propone metas, políticas, y objetivos por todo el Condado.

El DENR para el Elemento del Sitio describe los impactos significativos asociados con legados y servicios de veranos en el futuro. Estos impactos discutir los impactos posibles al agua, luz, el agua, calidad de aire, geología, el ruido, y el potencial de terreno, transferencia de riesgo, recursos biológicos, crecidas, sismos, y cambios del paisaje, y servicios de parques, y servicios de agua. El DENR describe los impactos de los servicios de parques, y servicios de agua, y servicios de parques, y servicios de agua, y servicios de parques, y servicios de agua.

El Departamento de Obras Publicas del Condado de Los Angeles solicita una copia de revisiones de la información publica recibida comunicaciones sobre los documentos. Limitaciones al publico a proporcionar testimonio oral o escrito sobre los documentos de Boquejo y sus documentos de boquejos adjuntos durante cualquiera de las fechas de reuniones siguientes abajo:

Fecha	Horario	Sitio
Abril 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3338 Chic Center Drive, Torrance
Abril 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Maroon High School, 9239 N. Hollywood Avenue, Los Angeles
Abril 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Comstock, Long Beach
Abril 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tah High School, 5461 Wilshire Avenue, Los Angeles
Abril 21, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickens Drive, Valencia

HORARIOS DE REUNION: 7:00 P.M. HASTA 9:00 P.M.

Copias de los documentos que han sido seleccionados son disponibles por requesta publica durante el primer de Mayo de 1996, en las horas de negocio regular en bibliotecas de la Ciudad y Condado. Adicionalmente, copias de los documentos y declaraciones de los interesados en el Condado de Los Angeles Departamento de Obras Publicas, División de los Programas Mediambiental, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91803-1600.

En el Condado de Los Angeles, los servicios publicos que solicitan hacer comentarios escritos sobre los documentos, podra hasta el 1 de mayo de 1996. El Condado de Los Angeles Departamento de Obras Publicas puede proporcionar informacion en forma alternativa o dar otra informacion para personas con discapacidades. Para pedir acomodaciones o para más informacion sobre la Activa de Americanos con Incapacidades, favor de comunicarse con el coordinador departamental al (818) 287-7929, de lunes a jueves entre las 7:00 a.m. hasta las 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation

Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter notified.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

HERALD SIGNAL & GAZETTE NEWSPAPER

on the following dates: APRIL 12, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

23rd day of April, 19 96

Public Notice
 Signature

CNS 1367859

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SLING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AWM 95), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element (Sling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sling Element, dated January 1996 (SF71 No. 9501048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Households Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, noise/vibration, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sling Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Element and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Clark Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 3301 Comrade, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27001 N. Dutton Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein, are available in the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, 16-departments, Alameda Building, Environmental Programs Division, 800 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, as provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 782-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1934
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

ANTHOPE VALLEY PRESS

on the following dates:

APRIL 12, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

12th day of April, 1996


 Signature

CNS 136787

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and the Draft Environmental Impact Report the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95010481).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Households Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County's unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discloses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills, and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, impacts on identification, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below:

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monterey High School, 9229 Harbor Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 3301 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Taft High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickson Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 881 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-6881 or TDD (818) 282-7875, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Long Beach Press-Telegram, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published 7 times each week in the City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a legal newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of March 21, 1934.

Case Number 370512; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates,

Apr 12,
all in the year 1996.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Long Beach, California, this 12th day of April, 1996.

Barbara Callison
Signature

Press-Telegram Legal
Advertising Department
604 Pine Ave., Long Beach, Ca. 90844
(310) 499-1238

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
OFFICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

NOTICE OF
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF
the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide
Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County
Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan
and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management and Hazardous Waste Management Division, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (CCH No. 9901048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the Countywide area. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management program, which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Domestic Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and program goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, and environmental impacts which will be discussed in the environmental documents prepared for the Siting Element. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Chiles Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Moscone High School, 9229 Hubbard Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Beaumont Middle School, 5391 Comstock, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tell High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickerson Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above-referenced documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Avenue Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by May 1, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information, please contact our Department's ADA Coordinator at (818) 499-4681 or TDD (818) 282-7828, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and New York

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

THE DAILY BREEZE


on the following dates:

APRIL 12, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

21st day of April, 1996.


 Signature

CNS 1367231

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

Public Information Statement

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING AND AVAILABILITY OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTY-WIDE Siting Element and Its Draft Environmental Impact Report, the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and Its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission, is preparing a Siting Element, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and its Proposed Negative Declaration for the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan. The Siting Element, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and its Proposed Negative Declaration are available for public review and comment. The Siting Element, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and its Proposed Negative Declaration are available for public review and comment. The Siting Element, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and its Proposed Negative Declaration are available for public review and comment.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element) dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Siting Element dated January 1996 (EIR No. 96010101).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Siting Element dated January 1996.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future disposal capacity needs of the IR sites in Los Angeles County and the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and identifies potential sites to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide integrated waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Program, the Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the IR sites in Los Angeles County and the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The EIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transfer stations. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use, and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental documents prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents, and their accompanying draft environmental documents, during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 pm	Lawrence Cultural Arts Center, 3130 Civic Center Drive, Los Angeles
April 16, 1996	7:00 pm	Monroe High School, 9221 Hilda Road, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 pm	Harwood Middle School, 3401 Centralia, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 pm	Taft High School, 5461 Waverly Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 pm	Valencia High School, 21601 N. Delkwood Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 PM TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above environmental documents are available for public review during the hours shown above from May 1, 1996, at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Room 4000, 4000 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90010. The documents are also available at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: Ray T. 1996 (c) David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, PO Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works may provide information on alternative means of public comment, for more Americans with disabilities. For more Americans with disabilities, call (213) 485-4800. Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:00 pm. (213) 485-4800. For more information call (213) 485-4800 or (213) 485-4800.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

DAILY NEWS LOS ANGELES

on the following dates:
APRIL 12, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

17th day of April, 1996


 Signature

CNS 1367351

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING and AVAILABILITY OF the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission, has prepared a preliminary Siting Element, and the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January, 1996 (SCE/11 No. 45111481)
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 18 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transformation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities and services systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental documents prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is holding a series of public information meetings to receive comments on the above documents. The public is invited to present written or oral testimony on the Draft Documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during any of the meeting dates listed below.

DATE	TIME	PLACE
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 3330 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Monroe High School, 9229 Haskell Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 3301 Comstock, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tah High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27801 N. Dickason Drive, Valencia

MEETING TIMES: 7:00 P.M. TO 9:00 P.M.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours from May 1, 1996 at City and County Offices. Additionally, all of the above documents are available for review and reference at the County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons unable to attend the public meetings who wish to make comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments to: May 1, 1996 to David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, P.O. Box 1440, Alhambra, CA 91803-1440

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats of mail, other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodation, or for more information with Disabilities, Act (ADA) information, please contact our Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 938-4801 or TDD (818) 938-7825. Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1931
 Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

VEGIVOS DEL VALLE

on the following dates:

April 11, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

17th day of April, 1996

Erin Giffney
 Signature

CNS 1367351

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

AVISO DE REUNION DE LA INFORMACION PUBLICA Y DISPONIBILIDAD DE

El Boquete Preliminar del Condado de Los Angeles sobre el Elemento del Sitio y el Boquete del Reporte de Evaluación Ambiental del Condado de Los Angeles y su Propuesta de Declaración Negativa

El Condado de Los Angeles Departamento de Obras Públicas, en coordinación con el Comité de la Administración de Desechos Sólidos/El Grupo del Manejo de Desechos ha preparado los documentos siguientes para el cumplimiento de la Ley de Información Pública y el Acta de Gestión Ambiental (Management Act) of 1989 (AB 680), ya enmendada, y la ley de California para el Control de Calidad del Aire, ya enmendada.

El Boquete Preliminar del Elemento del Sitio (Elemento del Sitio) del Condado de Los Angeles con la fecha de enero de 1996.

El Plan del Boquete Preliminar del Elemento del Sitio de la Administración Integrada de Desechos del Condado de Los Angeles con la fecha de enero de 1996.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental (RRA) para el Elemento del Sitio, con la fecha de enero de 1996 (S 11 No. 9501004).

La Declaración Negativa propuesta para el Plan de Recreación, con la fecha de enero de 1996.

El Boquete del Elemento del Sitio describe el sistema de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, proyecta el desarrollo futuro del Condado de Los Angeles y el área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las necesidades de desechos sólidos para toda el Condado de Los Angeles.

El Boquete del Elemento del Sitio describe el proceso de planear la Administración de Desechos Sólidos del Condado de Los Angeles que incluye el Elemento de Recibir y Reciclar, el Elemento de Desechos Dificiles Desechados, merca, pilizas, y objetivos por todo el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado y propuestas y acciones de verificación en el futuro.

El RRA para el Elemento del Sitio describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Plan del Boquete Preliminar del Elemento del Sitio describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

El Boquete del Reporte Ambiental describe los impactos significativos asociados con la implementación del plan de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, y la área no incorporada del Condado de Los Angeles, y describe las acciones de mitigación que se tomarán para reducir los impactos significativos.

Fecha	Horario	Sitio
April 15, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Torrance Cultural Arts Center, 1130 Civic Center Drive, Torrance
April 16, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Mane High School, 9229 Hualtil Avenue, Los Angeles
April 17, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Bancroft Middle School, 5301 Compton, Long Beach
April 18, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Tall High School, 5461 Winnetka Avenue, Los Angeles
April 22, 1996	7:00 p.m.	Valencia High School, 27001 N. Dickerson Drive, Valencia

HORARIOS DE REUNION: 7:00 P.M. HASTA 9:00 P.M.

Copias de los documentos que han sido mencionados son disponibles por requesta pública durante el período de Mayo de 1996, en las horas de negocio regular en bibliotecas de la Ciudad y Condado. Asimismo, se han establecido los horarios y lugares de reuniones y disponibilidad en forma de folletos en el Condado de Los Angeles, Departamento de Obras Públicas, División de los Programas Medioambientales, 988 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Personas interesadas en recibir a las reuniones públicas que se celebrarán en los sitios mencionados, pueden llamar al (213) 473-2000, ext. 2000, o llamar al (213) 473-2000, ext. 2000, o llamar al (213) 473-2000, ext. 2000.

En demanda, el Condado de Los Angeles, Departamento de Obras Públicas puede proporcionar información sobre los documentos mencionados por personas con discapacidades para más información sobre la Ley de Acceso a la Información Pública, llame al (213) 222-7879, de lunes a jueves entre las 7:00 a.m. hasta las 5:30 p.m.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5.C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
County of Los Angeles,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the

Daily News
a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published 7 times weekly in the Cities of Los Angeles, Burbank & San Fernando, County of Los Angeles, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 26, 1983, Case Number Adjudication #C349217; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

MAY 3 1983

all in the year 19 83
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Woodland Hills,

California, this 30 day of MAY, 19 83

[Signature]

Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

Proof of Publication of

NOTICE OF EXTENSION
OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
AND

AVAILABILITY OF
the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element and its
Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste
Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

- The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submission of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1986.
- The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents:
- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element (Sliding Element), dated January 1986.
 - The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated May 1986.
 - The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sliding Element, dated January 1986 (SCEH No. 95011048).
 - The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1986.

The Draft Sliding Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 86 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Policy Element of the 86 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sliding Element assesses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of Landfills and waste-to-energy facilities. These include dimensions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, noise, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and services systems, and public services. If any special impacts are identified in the Sliding Element or the DEIR, the project is subject to further review. The project is subject to further review if project impacts are identified in the project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 23, 1986 to receive comments on the above documents within the official 45-day review period ending May 1, 1986. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those organizations and agencies that need additional time in preparation of their comments. The public is also invited to present written comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1986 at City and County Offices. Additionally, all of the above documents and any releases referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Pioneer Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1986 to: David B. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information on the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
Los Angeles Times

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Los Angeles

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years; I am not a party to or interested in the notice published. I am the Chief Legal Advertising Clerk of the Publisher of the LOS ANGELES TIMES, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles. The LOS ANGELES TIMES has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, under the date of May 21, 1952, case Number 598,599. The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

MAY 3,

all in the year 19 96.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

24th day of JUNE, 19 96.

Caroline

Signature

CNS: 1374437

California Newspaper Service Bureau, Inc.
1-800-788-7840

Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

**NOTICE OF EXTENSION
OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD**

**AND
AVAILABILITY OF**

**the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its
Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste
Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submission of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1996. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management, Draft Environmental Impact Report. This Project has received the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended; and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCEI No. 95011048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and transportation facilities. These include the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, and other resources, such as parks, recreation, aesthetics, utilities, and services systems, and public services. If any specific impacts are identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental documents prepared for this project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 21, 1996 to receive comments on the above documents within the original 45-day review period. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those citizens who wish to submit comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David H. Hines, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7879, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

1/3 7/1/96

California Newspaper Service Bureau

A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

SAN GABRIEL VALLEY DAILY TRIBUNE

on the following dates:

MAY 22, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

22nd day of MAY, 1996

David Quint
Signature

CNS 1374447

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

NOTICE OF EXTENSION OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD

AVAILABILITY OF

the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submission of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1996.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management and Recycling Department, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element (Sliding Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sliding Element, dated January 1996 (CCH No. 9501046).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The draft Sliding Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste, and identifies needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas, and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan establishes the structure of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management program including the Sliding Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Hazardous Waste Element. The Countywide solid waste management program includes the County unincorporated areas and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management.

The DEIR for the Sliding Element documents those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and incineration facilities. Many have been identified as the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, energy, noise, biological resources, aesthetics, cultural resources, and public services. If any potential impacts are identified in the Sliding Element, they will be described in the proposed Negative Declaration. The proposed Negative Declaration is prepared for the project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies the significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 22, 1996 to receive comments on the above documents within the 45-day review period ending May 1, 1996. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those organizations and individuals who need additional time in preparation of their comments. The public is also invited to present written comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above-mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1996 at the City and County Hearings, Administration, Planning, and Public Services, Environmental Program Division, 500 South Treatment Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to submit written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for persons with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1914
 Offices in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

GENERAL SIGNAL & SAUSAGE ENTERPRISE

on the following dates:

MAY 03, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

13th day of MAY, 1996


 Signature

CNS 1374466

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

**NOTICE OF EXTENSION
 OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
 AND
 AVAILABILITY OF
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SILING ELEMENT AND ITS
 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submittal of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siling Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siling Element (Siling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siling Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 9501048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The draft Siling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth the policies and objectives to meet the solid waste disposal needs of the County on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Siling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and waste-to-energy facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, on water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, noise, biological resources, cultural resources, public safety, and public services. The draft Summary Plan includes a list of project alternatives for development, their environmental impacts which will be avoided in the project, and a proposed Negative Declaration for the project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 21, 1996 to receive comments on the above documents within the official 45-day review period ending May 1, 1996. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those organizations and agencies that need additional time in preparation of their comments. The public is also invited to present written comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above-referenced documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1996 at City and County libraries. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references needed to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91801-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. The request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Department ADA Coordinator at (818) 451-4081 or TDD (818) 282-7839. Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau

A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation

Public Notice Advertising Since 1954

Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

PRESS TELEGRAM

on the following dates: MAY 03, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

13th Day of May, 1996


Signature

CNS 1374556

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

**NOTICE OF EXTENSION
OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
AND**

AVAILABILITY OF

the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submission of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1996. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element, dated January 1994.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1994.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (CER No. 93011048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1994.

The Draft Siting Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 28 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth policies and objectives to meet the solid waste needs of the County on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the State/Local Recycling and Recycling Elements, the Solid Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Hazardous Facility Elements of the 28 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area and proposes goals, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DEIR for the Siting Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and waste-to-energy facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts on water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation/circulation, biological resources, noise, aesthetics, and services systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Siting Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental document prepared for that project. The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 22, 1996 to receive comments on the above documents within the critical 45-day review period ending May 1, 1996. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those organizations and individuals who need additional time in preparation of their comments. The public is also invited to present written comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1996 at City Hall, Los Angeles, California. Additionally, all of the above documents and any references referred to therein may be reviewed at the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make a written comment on the reports may also be addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1469, Alhambra, CA 91802-1469.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate format or make other accommodations for persons with disabilities. In request accommodations, or for more Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-4081 or TDD (818) 262-7829. Monday through Thursday, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau

A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation

Public Notice Advertising Since 1914

Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

LA OPINION

on the following dates:

MAY 13, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

31st day of MAY, 1996

Signature

CNS 1374616

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of free economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

AVISO DE EXTENSION DEL PERIODO DE REPASO PUBLICO Y DISPONIBILIDAD DE EL BOSQUEJO PRELIMINARIO DEL ELEMENTO SITUADO POR TODO EL CONDADO DEL CONDADO

DE LOS ANGELES Y SU BOSQUEJO DEL REPORTE DE IMPACTO AL AMBIENTE DEL PLAN DE RESUMEN BOSQUEJO PRELIMINARIO DEL PLAN DE LA ADMINISTRACION DE DESCHOS INTEGRADO DEL CONDADO DE LOS ANGELES Y SU DECLARACION NEGATIVA PROPUESTA

El Departamento de Obras Publicas del Condado de Los Angeles está anunciando una extensión de 45 días del período de repaso y comentario sobre los documentos del sujeto. La nueva fecha fijada de cierre para entregar comentarios al Departamento de Obras Publicas es el lunes, 17 de junio de 1996.

El Departamento de Obras Publicas, en coordinación con el Grupo de Tareas de la Administración de Desechos Integrado/Comité de la Administración de Desechos Sólidos del Condado de Los Angeles, ha preparado los siguientes documentos de acuerdo con los requisitos del Acto de 1989 de la Administración de Desechos Integrado de California, como enmendado; y el Acto de Calidad Ambiental de California, como enmendado.

- El Elemento Situado del Condado de Los Angeles Bosquejo Preliminar con la fecha de enero de 1996.
- El Plan de Resumen Bosquejo Preliminar del Plan de la Administración de Desechos Integrado por todo el condado del Condado de Los Angeles.
- El Bosquejo del Reporte de Impacto al Ambiente (DEIR) para el Elemento Situado, con la fecha de enero de 1996 (SCH No. 9501 048).
- La Declaración Negativa Propuesta para el Plan de Resumen con la fecha de enero 1996.

El bosquejo del Elemento Situado describe el sistema existente de depósitos de desechos sólidos en el Condado de Los Angeles, cubre las necesidades futuras de la capacidad de desechos sólidos de las 88 ciudades en el Condado de Los Angeles y el área no incorporada del Condado, y propone metas, políticas, y objetivos para abordar los problemas de desechos sólidos por todo el condado. El bosquejo del Plan de Resumen provee una visión general de todos los Elementos del proceso de planeación de la administración de desechos sólidos del condado que incluye el Elemento de Reciclar y Reducir al Origen, el Elemento de Desechos Tóxicos Comunes, y el Elemento de la Localización de No-Dispositivos de las 88 ciudades en el Condado de Los Angeles y el Área del Condado no incorporada y propone metas, políticas, y objetivos para los programas de la administración por todo el condado de desechos sólidos.

El DEIR para el Elemento Situado examina sus impactos significativos potenciales asociados con otros temas de vecindarios y de transformación. Estos incluyen discusiones de impactos potenciales, el hábitat, el agua, calidad del aire, geología, uso y plan de terreno, transporte/infraestructura, recursos hídricos, estéticos, utilities, y sistemas de servicios, y servicios públicos. Si cualquier aspecto específico identificado en el Elemento Situado está propuesto para su desarrollo, entonces sus impactos ambientales serán discutidos en el documento ambiental preparado para ese proyecto. La Declaración Negativa propuesta para el Plan de Resumen identifica ningún impacto significativo como resultado del proyecto.

El Departamento de Obras Publicas del Condado de Los Angeles tuvo una serie de reuniones de información del primer trimestre de abril hasta el 22 de abril de 1996 para recibir comentarios sobre los documentos arriba dentro del período oficial de 45 días terminado el primer de mayo de 1996. El período adicional de 45 días de repaso acordado entre organizaciones y agencias que necesitan más tiempo para preparar sus comentarios. El público también está invitado a presentar comentarios escritos sobre los documentos de bosquejo y sus documentos del ambiente adjuntos durante este período.

Copias de los documentos mencionados arriba están disponibles para el repaso público durante las horas de negocio regulares hasta el lunes, 17 de junio de 1996 en las bibliotecas de las Ciudades y del Condado. Adicionalmente, todos los documentos arriba y cualquier referencia a ellos pueden ser repasados en el Departamento de Obras Publicas, Jeffery, Edificio Anexo, División de Programas Ambientales, 940 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Personas que desean hacer comentarios escritos en los reportes pueden hacerlo mediante sus comentarios no más tarde que el 17 de junio de 1996 a: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91802-1460.

Ya solicitado, el Departamento de Obras Publicas puede proveer información en categorías alternativas, o puede hacer otras consultas para las personas con discapacidades. Para solicitar comodidades, o para más información sobre el Acto de Americanos con Discapacidades (ADA), por favor comuníquese con el Coordinador ADA del Departamento al (818) 458-4081 o TDD (818) 282-7829, lunes a jueves, desde las 7:00 a.m. hasta las 5:30 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau

A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation

Public Notice Advertising Since 1914

Office in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Santa Ana

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.


The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

ANTHOPE VALLEY PRESS

on the following dates: MAY 03, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

03 day of MAY, 1996

Signature

CNS 1374611

The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it.

NOTICE OF EXTENSION OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD AND AVAILABILITY OF

**the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report
the Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan and its Proposed Negative Declaration**

- The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 45-day extension of the review and comment period on the subject documents. The new deadline for submittal of comments to the Department of Public Works is Monday, June 17, 1996.
- The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission/Integrated Waste Management Task Force, has prepared the following documents pursuant to the requirements of the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended:
- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sliding Element (Sliding Element), dated January 1996.
 - The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
 - The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sliding Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 9501048).
 - The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The draft Sliding Element describes the existing solid waste disposal system in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal capacity needs of the 81 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area, and sets forth goals, policies, and objectives to meet solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the elements of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, which includes the Sliding Element and Recycling Element, the Hazardous Waste Element, and the Solid Waste Element. The Sliding Element and the Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan set forth policies, objectives, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management programs.

The DEIR for the Sliding Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with landfills, and transportation facilities. These include discussions of the potential impacts, if any, to water, air quality, geology, land use and planning, transportation, biological resources, aesthetics, utilities, and service systems, and public services. If any specific project identified in the Sliding Element is proposed for development, then its environmental impacts will be discussed in the environmental documents prepared for that project. The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies no significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 22, 1996 to receive comments on the above documents within the official 45-day review period ending May 1, 1996. The additional 45-day review period will accommodate those organizations and agencies that need additional time in preparation of their comments. The public is also invited to present written comments on the draft documents and their accompanying draft environmental documents during this period.

Copies of the above mentioned documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, June 17, 1996 at the City of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, Division of Public Works, Headquarters, Environmental Programs, Division, 800 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1400, Alhambra, CA 91802-1400.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. For more information on the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Department ADA Coordinator at (611) 718-4481 or TDD (611) 327-7829. Monday through Thursday, from 1:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

California Newspaper Service Bureau
 A Division of the Daily Journal Corporation
 Public Notice Advertising Since 1924
 Offices in Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, and San Jose

DECLARATION

I am a resident of Los Angeles County, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the matter noticed.

The notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy appeared in the:

LA. WATTS JOURNAL


on the following dates:

MAY 22, 1996

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this

22nd day of MAY, 1996


 Signature

CNS 1374623

"The only Public Notice which is justifiable from the standpoint of true economy and the public interest, is that which reaches those who are affected by it."

**NOTICE OF EXTENSION
 OF PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
 AND**

**AVAILABILITY OF
 THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SLING ELEMENT AND ITS
 DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE
 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is announcing a 65-day extension of the review and comment period on the above-named documents. The new deadline for submittal of comments to the Department of Public Works is February 17, 1996.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, in consultation with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Commission and the Countywide Waste Management Task Force, has prepared a preliminary draft of the Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element and its Draft Environmental Impact Report in response to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1989 (CEQA), as amended, and the California Environmental Quality Act, as amended.

- The Preliminary Draft Los Angeles County Countywide Sling Element (Sling Element), dated January 1996.
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, dated January 1996.
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Sling Element, dated January 1996 (SCJ No. 92-1048).
- The Proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996.

The Draft Sling Element describes the existing solid waste disposal systems in the County of Los Angeles, estimates future solid waste disposal needs, and identifies and describes the solid waste disposal needs on a Countywide basis. The Draft Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, the Household Hazardous Waste Element, and the Non-Disposal Facility Element of the CEQA claim in Los Angeles County and the Countywide integrated waste management plan, policies, and objectives for Countywide solid waste management program.

The DIRM for the Sling Element discusses those potentially significant impacts associated with future siting of landfills and incineration facilities. These include: air quality impacts, if any, to water, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, noise, and vibration; impacts on the environment, including impacts on agriculture, forest lands, and public services. If any agriculture or forest lands are identified as being potentially affected by the project, the proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan identifies any significant impacts as a result of the project.

The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works held a series of public information meetings from April 1 through April 21, 1996 to receive comments on the draft documents. The public information meetings were held at the following locations: Los Angeles County Administration Center, 1000 North Main Street, Los Angeles, California 90012; Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Vermont Avenue, Alhambra.

Copies of the above-named documents are available for public review during regular business hours through Monday, May 17, 1996 at City and County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, Headquarters, Annex Building, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Vermont Avenue, Alhambra.

Persons who wish to make written comments on the reports may do so by addressing their comments by June 17, 1996 to: David M. Smith, Environmental Programs Division, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 1460, Alhambra, CA 91801-1460.

Upon request, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works can provide information in alternate formats or other accommodations for people with disabilities. To request accommodations, or for more information with Disabilities Act (ADA) information, please contact the Departmental ADA Coordinator at (818) 458-6081 or TDD (818) 257-7829. Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX L

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING SIGN-IN SHEETS

[This page intentionally left blank]

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
ROOSEVELT JR. HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 1, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
1. Ann A.C.	Poinsett Blvd Torrance CA 90501	310-325-3350	LACWA Member
GINGER BREMBERG	3232 CORNWALL DR Whittier, CA 90607	518-243-5774	LACWA, etc.
DONALD NELSON	1955 Westview Mill Rd Whittier, CA 90607	310 699 7411	LACD
Mike Lewis	1330 Valley Vista Dr Oxnard CA 91325	909 612-5707	Leasys Company INC
F. Johnson	11317 Can Pl LA	310-265- (274)	
Darwin Dammund	1560 Sautain Av #211 Los Angeles CA	820-7664	
Caroline Subeloshin	1131 Alta Loma Rd LA CA 90069	310 657-7622	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
ROOSEVELT JR. HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 1, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
DICK SEELEY	3924 EL CAMINITO ST LA CRESCENTA CA 91214	(818) 248-1743	LNU Graduate BURBANK LNU/LAC
Betsey Landis			LACSUMC

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
ROOSEVELT JR. HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 1, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Tina A Hinshaw Public Works - City of Pasadena	P.O. Box 7115 Pasadena, CA 91109-7215	818/405-4592	City of Pasadena
Lew A. Lorenz	1230 - 21st St Santa Monica CA 90401	310.502.1103	USC
MARIAE - RAJESH	28920 VALENTIA Blvd SANTA CLARITA CA 91355	805-259-2489	CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
Frank Kortum	12810 Moorpark Ave Granada Hills CA 91334	818 831-0280	LASEK

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
PALMDALE CULTURAL CENTER
APRIL 2, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Cristine Young	1131 ALICIA LORRAE BL LA CA 90069	910-227-7612	
Josue Contreras	4352 51st West Quartz Hill 93536	805/9432462	LASER & SELF
Zona Myers	1640 E Ave Q-6 Palmdale, CA 93550	805 947 5318	citizen
Tom Chubb	1007 W Ave M-14 MA Palmdale, CA 93551	805-265-0969	citizen
Dwight Stewart	4136 W. F-4 Antelope Acres, CA		Antelope Acres Town Council

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
DUARTE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER
APRIL 3, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ALAN S B	1031 S. CATALINA ST	(213) 733-0300	USC Student
	L.A. CAQUOUB	same above	
	same above		
	same above		
Park, Teekook	same above		
HAN, Eungmy	same above		
ALLEN, JULIA	920 BELPASSI DR.	204 523-7750	L.A. County
	MINNEAPOLIS CA		
Betsy ...			L.A. County ...
Giancarlo Vassarotto	1026 So. Valinda Av	818 919 5618	Waste Management Committee, L.A. County
	W. Coville - 691770		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
DUARTE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER
APRIL 3, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Robert Assgjan	Sandston Districts 1955 Workman Mill Rd Whittier CA 90607	310 619 7411	San Dist.
June Hurdman	P.O. Box 1115 Pasadena, CA 91109-7211	(818) 405-4592	City of Pasadena
Deborah W. Lee	4023 W. 75th St CA Perpet	(213) 385-5167	U.S.C.
Keum-sik, Choi	3333 Woodland CA 90004	(213) 380-4562	U.S.C.
Kim Jong Won	18601 Ardmore St Torrance CA 90506	(213) 380-5020	U.S.C.
Kim J. Park	3333 Woodland CA 90004	(213) 380-5827	U.S.C.
RK Brown	2153 Aroma Dr West Covina	818 9185327	U.S.C.

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jack Ainger	24941 Green Mill Ave Newhall Ca 91321-3409	805-255-9025	AKND Board member
Cathy Costen	24719 Chobe Cherry Newhall CA 91321	818 954 0138	
Jack Mitchell	920 BEL PASSI DR MORISTON, CO 24944 Green Mill Ave	209 523-7750	
Rebecca Berger	Newhall CA 91321		
Jan & Ed Stumm	15414 Rhododendron Canyon Country, CA 91351	251-9729	
Mary + Jack Mallard	21612 Jaramine Ct. Fountain, CA 91350	217-2557	Home- Owner
Doreen + June Heger	195th 2 ST - W W 99 Canyon City	251 3371	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Sharon Kelly Boyd	33050 Alamosa Rd Santa Clarita, CA 91350	377-3808	
Lucia Inawek	24524 Apple St. Newhall, Ca. 91321	805-259-5989	
Megan Flono	2591 Old Course Way Valencia, CA 91355	805-259-7028	
Don Williams	23920 Valencia Blvd, #30 S.C. CA 91355	805-4343	C. V. of South Church
Cal Erickson	30861 Benz Rd. Sanger	396-2292	
Stemmy Crosby	Pauley News 24800 Ave Rockefeller	805-257-5254	
Dinah Sargeant	23725 Via Lupena Valencia Ca. 91355		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Joseph Costa	28940 Lilygarden Drive Santa Clarita	818 785-2150	
TIM AIDUKAS	14747 SAN FERNANDO SYLMAR CA. 91342	(818) 362-1567	
MARY BRADWAY	27616 F Susan Beck Way JANUILL CA 91256	818 396-1026	
Keisuke Mori	11940 Wilshire Blvd. #A-2007 Los Angeles, CA 90025	310-499-8041	
J.N. Edmonson	25539 Via Paladar Valencia, Ca. 91355	805-259-6745	
John Ebra	24053 Via Lanzada Valencia, CA 91355	255-8506	
John Steffen	22714 Espuelita Dr Santa Clarita, Ca	296-2419	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ROY RISSBY	24601 KAMARAE NEWHALL 91321	253 2646	
JANE FULBRIGHT	24204 COLWYN AVE NEWHALL, CA. 91321	259-7546	
HAROLD C. FULBRIGHT	24204 COLWYN AVE NEWHALL, CA 91321	250-7546	
KATHLEEN DOUMI	20510 W. RUE CLAUER P.O. 91351	251-3557	
MICHELLE HOFFMAN	25603 Y SAUCENT VALENCIA 91355	255-7415	
PREIN LEROY GOULD	19114-B AVE O F THE OAKS NEWHALL	252-8782	
J. Anne Nancy	23920-755 Valencia St Santa Monica 91353	253 7230	City & County

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Victoria Fricke	23432 Via Amado Valencia	255-5486	
Judith Iosue	23432 Via Amado Valencia	251-2478	
Peggy Edwards	25437 Via Escovar Valencia	253-2273	
Jeanne Citron-Gonzalez	23438 Ranney House Ct Valencia CA 91355	259-7608	
DAVID NOLT EM EYER	18619 Delight St Canyon County CA 91351	251-9256	
Deanne Davis	28907 DUNE LANE Canyon City CA 91351	298-1198	
Patrick Lee	23774 Via France Valencia CA 91355	253-0506	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
LISA PINKERTON	25039 PERCHAND AVE #202 Newhall Ca. 91321	(805) 387-9557	
MKE Skilsky	24826 BUESKY LN CANTON COUNCIL, CA	805 252 7753	
Diane Skilsky Deborah Skilsky	27824 Blue Sky Cir Canyon country, ca 91351	805/ 252-7753	
Roxana Bombalier	3149 BAUNTON SP Acton, CA 91350	805 269-2749	
ERNIE SPENK (P)	26162 - RAYMOND GLEN NEWHALL, CA 91321	(805) 252-7756	
RICH OJEB	23239 8TH ST NEWHALL, CA 91324	805 255-9119	
Ray - Di-	27520 Serrano Hwy H204 C.C.	805 222-4790	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Barbara Farmer	231238 SERRANO Valencia Ca		
Barbara Heil	19963 Ave of the Oaks Needham 91321		
Nail Herl	14463 Ave of the Oaks Newhall 91321		
GARY Kowitz	27519 PATRICK DR VALENCIA 91354		
Norma Harris	22931 8th ST. Newhall, CA 91321		
DAE FAY	28185 MET SPRINGS AVE CANTON CENTER 91331		
Regina Walter	29201 Henry Mayo Dr Valencia Ca 91355		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Alicia Reich	28701 W. SLOAN ST CAST AIC 91384	805 257 2191	SCOFF
Silvia Loth	20332 Sandcyn RD Cyn Ctry 91357	257-5384	Sherrin Club
MATTHEW BRUCE SMITH	27572 CLEARY LAKE DR CITY OF SANTA CLARITA 91351	(805) 252-9864	B F AND U.S.A. INDUSTRIES 04/22/96
Clare Jerry Noltemeyer	25936 Sardina Ct Valencia, CA 91355	805 259-7112	
KRISTEN DORON	23157 Tupero Road VALENCIA 91354	(915) 296-7573	
Ken Stripplin	21311 Boulderline #103 Santa Clarita 91321	(805) 286-2524	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jenny Stripplin	#103 21311 Buttletree Ln. Santa Clarita CA 91351	815 388- 2536	
Rubrah COEN	27606 Wrenlight Castaic, CA 91350	805 294 0807	
MIMI SHUMMA	23509 Estrella VALENCIA, CA 91355	805- 4602	
Kristy Shulman	23509 ESTRELA VAL. 91355	255- 4600	
Rick Wilson	27940 Soliman Rd Orange County	2484437	
VINCENT MONTEIRO	18734 CECILIA VALLEY RD Newhall, CA 91324	252-6320	
JONATHAN WIND	25055 LAFFERTY AVE. CANYON, CALIFORNIA CA 91351		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jason Stigne	27514 Walnut Springs Ave. Conyon Country, CA.		
AURORA CUENA	24370 Newhall Ave #17 Newhall, CA 91321	(805)255-8722	Boys & Girls Clubs NE CARE FOR YOUTH

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jeff Kohin	23920 Valenciana Blvd Santa Clarita, CA 91380	805 286-4022	City of Santa Clarita
Ruth Griffin	28646 N. Lincoln Ave Val Verde CA 91384	805- 257-0163	Val Verde Civic Assoc
Ginger Bremberg	5232 Cornwall Glendale 91206	818 243-5910	
Allan Cameron AFA	2612 Enniskore Ave Santa Clarita CA 91351	805 754 2789	H.A.S.E.R.
Clow Arnold	2421 Ave Ciresana Valencia CA 91315		
Anne Marie Whalley	1872 4 Nathan Hill Road Santa C. CA 91351	805 9501441	
Kay McConin	PO Box 1528 Castro 91310	805 2578156	SCCPC

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Mabe Lombardo	23725 Via Lupona 91355	805-259-8660	
Richard Coma	27113 Wilburton Covina 91714	805-295-8262	
Frankie Albino	27813 Wilburton Covina 91714	909-991-5361	
Jan Erickson	20860 Beng Rd Langue CA 91350	296-2292	
Clara Pongso	93507 Waverock Ct. Valencia CA 91354	263-0315	
Colleen Park	27105 N. Teton Trail #145 Valencia 91354	2911209	
Deli Cota	28910 Lilly Glen Dr Canyon Country 91351	250-7663	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Mary Lambert	2755 M. Cullum Ave Valencia, CA 91355	(805) 296-2960	Citizen
Jan Hadick	244 R-R A BRIGHTON VALENCIA, CA 91355	805-255-5348	Citizen
KARLA EDWARDS	23515 LYONS #171 VALENCIA, CA	805-254-0393	CITIZEN
Jan Neel	26534 Jewel Rd Santa Clarita 91357	252-6787	SANTA CLARITA CITY COUNCIL
E. S. Taylor	23634 Valencia Valencia, CA 91355	253-4358	Citizen
Ron Khrusko	28040 Croco Canyon Valencia, CA 91355	252-0742	CITIZEN
Mary Perham	25643 Camino De Valencia		"

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Adeline Moya	27436 Cherry Creek Dr. Valencia Ca 91355	805 296-5307	Parental Group
Betty Tucek	27288 REYNOLDS LN VALENCIA, CA 91355	805 (477) 2554	
Marian Kubisch	23634 Via Reina Valencia CA 91355	805 2540516	CITIZEN
Michelle L. Ricca	23146 BARBARA DR SANITA CALIFORNIA	805 297-3943	
LWONA HISPANSKI	25322 VIA RAMON VALENCIA CA 91355	805 255 3372	
GIL GONZALEZ	23738 RANNEY HSE CT VALENCIA CA 91355	805 259-7608	
Betsy Landis			LACSWMC/WMTF

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Tom Payne	27525 N Pamplico Rd Valencia	2963653	
Laurie Payne	27525 N Pamplico Rd Valencia 91354	2963653	
John Nuzzi	22638 Pamplico		
Mary Frances Newson	51615 91350 21820 Puente Newhall CA 91321		
John Jones (Staffiler)	35019 San Gabriel Dart Verde Pkwy		
JAN NELSON	1955 Workman Mill Rd St. Hill CA 90601	310 699 7411	LACSD
Melissa N Sunday	26213 Edge Vale Dr Newhall, CA 90321		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Herald Fano	23638 BATAVA	805 254 2492	
Frank McKeene	VALENCIA CA 91355 7700 Cassin St.	805 271 1008	
Cynthia Hernandez	411 Overland Ave. #384	254 20145	S.C. Pak Consejería -
Araceli Mejia	22931 8th St. Newhall		
Carol Scott	2519 Manrico Dr. Lathropia		
Allyson Jones	25010 8th St. Newhall	209-57955	
Alicia Smith	Allyson Jones 28033 W. Tupelo Ring	294-2500	
	22427 Georgia Ln Sausis	2973201	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Edi Hodges	26826 Circle of the Earth Newport Calif		
Rudo Sharp	8432 Orange St Aurand Ca 91040		
Ann K. Sweet	28079 Croco Place Orange County		
Karen F. Maggi	22638 Templewood Dr Saugus Ca 91350		
Tom C. Ball	22421 Bonita Dr So. G. Calif (7) 91720		
Janey E. Chappi	27123 N. Isis Saugus Cal 91350		
Edward H. Chappi	27123 N. Isis Saugus City 91350		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
GURIA MARSHALL	26712 OAK GARDEW. ST. SANTA CLARITA		SCORE
WESLEY SWIFT	32741 WILSONWOOD RD DONT OULU, CA 91350		SCORE
Lynne Sneed Lynchhead	25589 Palma Alta Dr. Valencia, CA 91355	254-7922	
Mary Jane Frystek Mary Jane Frystek	26012 Lucerne Ct Valencia, CA 91355	254-2316	
Richard Frystek Richard Frystek	26017 Luverne Ct. Valencia, CA 91355	254-2316	
Dominic Durval Durval	1500 Seltzer Av, LA 9008	310 820-7664	
EDMUND J. BAILEY	24402-A HAMPTON DRIVE VALENCIA, CA, 91351	(818) 259-3260	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Alvin G. Vande	35377 VAN VALENCIA VALENCIA, CA 91314	559 5774	SELF
Lisa Kaminsky	16501 Sierra Hill St Canyon Country CA 91351	253-1380	self
Yvonne Thompson	20409 Jersey Lane Dr Newhall 91321	298-2881	Self
Blene Thomas	PO Box 220207 Newhall Ca 91322	255-2967	Scripturist
DEAN LATER	23657 MARICIO		CITIZEN CDF
MICHAEL JAMES McFARLANE	VALENCIA CA 91355 VALENCIA 91355	254-4318	SANTA CLARITA
		287-9681	SELF
Shirley HANZMANN JORDAN CRIMSON	NEW HALL CA 91321	298-7922	SELF

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
John P. Sincich Shuffler	23221 8TH ST. MIRALOMA	255-0887	Alemeny Basketball
Nicole Klosterman	25535 Via Impresu Valencia	255-6762	
SUDHAI LOVENMILLER	23921 VIA ROSALINDA VALENCIA, CA 91355	254-1161	
DR. MAS. WM. FARBER	30622 COLTRON CASIATIC CA 91384	295-8476	
DON & RAY FLEMING	23630 NEARGATE DR Petaluma, CA 94921	255-9650	
LAVAUGHAN ANE	27939 PINEBANK DR	(805) 296-8455	
CHARLYN LIN KATHY KATTA	25233 VIA VALENTINO VALENCIA, CA 91355	(805) 255-5371	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Fredrick M. Quirin	23360 Maple St. Noblet, CA 91321-3521	2592-2698	
Diane Troutman	P.O. Box 801084 Santa Clarita, 91380	277-1131	
Linda J. Kriete Michael & Danielle	27270 Norwood Place Valencia, CA 91354	296-7125	
Charly & Glavin Ferguson	23602 VIA CORSA VALENCIA CA 90004	279 7879	
Sara Koenig	30665 Gilmour Rd CUSTAR CA 91381	294-9207	
Juaret Hernandez	27345 ALTA MERE AVE CANYON CITY 91351		
Jarred Cunningham Francis J. Cunningham	26691 Mill Millan Ranch Rd Cyn City 91351	251-5225	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MARIA CANCHOLA	18760 W. MANDARIN ST. W 1401 CANYON COUNTY CA. 91351	251-91088	
KIM DICKENS	24760 ONE CREEK AVE NEWCASTLE, CA 91521	259-8567	
INDIANA EFFINGER	25314 RUNNING HORSE RD NEWCASTLE, CA 91321	300-7661	
BARBARA WAMPSTE	28006 SUNMATTING GRANDE RD SALINAS, CA 91384-2306	217 3036	Friends of the Santa Clara River
RAY CORNE	28125 CARAMAY LN SANTA CLARITA 91350	396-0246	Snow Club
CHRISTINE JAMES	17952 RIVER CIRCLE #2 CANYON COUNTY, 91351	252-4473	Snow Club Sagehen SCV Canyon Preservation CAGGLE
TOM GALLANT	27716 SANTA CLARITA RD SANTA CLARITA 91350	(818) 569-3195	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Teresa Sinner	25029 Wheeler Rd Newhall CA 91321	222-9318	
SEAN MICHAEL HOOPER	20854 LUMBER CRO VALENCIA, CA 91351	227-5312	
Loren Pearson	26617 Gouilan Dr Santa Clarita	296-4438	
JACK CARENTON	19425-B Soledad Gyn #11 SOU 91351	252-1600	
Trinidad Aguirre MIGUEL A. PARRA	27835 PARRALE DR SAUGUS, CA 91350	2963617-	
George Turner	1506 W. L-8 Lanester, CA 91331	(805) 942-0885	
Sham Runner	" "	" "	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MICHAEL BRUSH	14833 Carter Valley Canyon (City)	584-0106	JCV CITIZENS
DAVID KIRK	20000 Park St Chatsworth CA. 91311	1800 LATINA X2352 805-257-8028	Los Angeles Times
Cecilia Xome	28125 Caraway Santa Monica CA 90405	296-0246	
MICHAEL BRUSH	24609 SKYRIMB S. C. 91211	253-0993	DASH & SMITHS

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Chip NEWHALL	25913 CASILLO DR VALENCIA, CA 91355-2141	(805) 259-9999	SELF
CARL BYRER MAYOR	CITY OF SANTA CLARITA 23730 VALENCIA BLVD SANTA CLARITA, CA 91351	(805) 259-2489	CITY
Marsha M. Lyon, Pres. Cypress Preserve Comm.	P.O. Box 220748 Santa Anita, CA 91322		
MICHAEL MURPHY	25900 VALENCIA BLVD SANTA CLARITA 91355		CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
KARE ANDERSON & DION ULRICH	2550 S. MOUNTAIN RD FILLMORE, CA. 93015	(805) 257-6355	WILDLIFE EDUCATORS OF AMERICA, INC
John Anzures	25212 OAKMAN DR SAVANA, CA 91550	296-0569	SELF
JEANNE URPHER	1500 S. MOUNTAIN RD L.A., 91025	(310) 821-7464	SELF

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
<i>Judy Farmer</i> <i>26322 Longwood</i> <i>Northridge, Calif.</i>			
<i>Lily Van Dyke</i>	<i>26320 Greenway Cir</i>	<i>818-616-1</i>	
<i>Robin Edmunds</i>	<i>27916 N Arline Dr.</i>	<i>(805) 296-3035</i>	
<i>CAROL ROBEK</i>	<i>25026 WHEELER DR</i> <i>NEWHALL</i>	<i>805-255-2206</i>	
<i>CAMERON SMYTH</i> <i>Assemblyman Knight</i>	<i>25209 Rye Canyon Rd #105</i> <i>SANTA CLARITA 91353</i>	<i>805-294-8184</i>	
<i>E. Cannon M. Smith</i>	<i>212453 Curro Knoll Ct</i> <i>Newhall, CA 91321</i>	<i>805-251-2313</i>	
<i>Kathy M. Papp</i>	<i>19044 W Lincoln Rd</i> <i>Northridge, CA 91321</i>	<i>818-298-2813</i>	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Bob Golonka	22532 Festival Dr Santa Clarita CA	291-5146	None
Sharon Chang	26332 C.N. OAK HIGHWAY DR	253-3337	Ø
Linda Townsley	Santa Clarita CA P.O. Box 800381	253-2171	Ø
Chris Townsley	Santa Clarita, CA 91380 P.O. Box 800381	253-0171	SCV MARC
Wanda Brown	Santa Clarita 91380 25636 N. ESTORIL ST	255-0410	NONE
Joe Striffler	23638 Via Primera Valencia 91355	253-4358	NONE
Brian Campbell	24465 VALLE DEL ORO Santa Clarita CA 91321	253-7524	ERMETRE COALITION

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Laurie Finkelstein	21714 Cheryl Court Carpenter, CA 91350	(805) 277-5160	
Robert K. Von Triebe	23667 Via Paloma Valencia, CA 91355	(805) 255-7335	
Kent Powell KENT POWELL	27258 Rosemont Ln. Valencia, CA 91354	805-277-2537	
Brian Powell	5071 La Brea Way La Brea, CA		
JAN MARIE O'BRIEN	25157 Quilla Rd VALENCIA, CA	(805) 254-6065	
STEPHEN S. WINKLER	20401 SOLEDAD CYN. RD. #447 CYN. CTRY, 91351	(805) 251-7357	ANDY MARTIN FOR CITY COUNCIL
Don Wolfe	22914 Sarsen Ct. Sanger, Calif	805-246-7109	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ROBERT ARMACHER	19536 BRENDEL WAY	805 251-1210	FRIENDLY VALLEY
RONALD SEIBERT	2809 CROCO PL SANTA CLARITA 91351	505 251-9514	SANTA CLARITA
DONNA DICON	22426 BARCUTTA DR SANTA CLARITA 91350	805 363-8409	
DONNA NUZZI	20568 FENWALL DR SANTA CLARITA 91350	516-7947	
Shelley Grayson	15922 ALE ST. Santa Clarita 91351	251-5322	
ROBERT FISCHEIC	26712 Oak Harbor CT Newhall CA 91321	805-250-4149	SCOPE
DAVE MURPHY	10415 ESTERLE AVE VANDERBILT HIGHWAY 71234	818-764-3040	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
SANDRA GALLANT	27716 SANTA CLARITA RD SANTA CLARITA, CA 91350	(805) 297-1270	
ROBERT LINDNER	27537 CANTONINGHAM VALENCIA CA 91354		
RIK CHILDS	18700 W. Mendocino St #142 Canyon Country CA 91351	(805) 298-2578	
LAYZLO SZIKLAK	27225 W. 11th St. GARDENA, CA 91330	(805) 296-0988	
Irma Parra	Saugus CA 91350 27835 Parkvale Dr.	(805) 296-5617	
PAM SHANNON	25307 AVE ROMA VALENCIA 91355	(805) 291-1065	
CHRIS MELMOTH	26903 CUATRO MILPAS VALENCIA	(805) 296-5214	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Sheldon T. ALLEN	15654 Poppyseed Lane	805 252-2206	
Maudie Bedwell	SANTA CLARITA, CA 91351	805 857-8775	
John J Curley	24180 Creese St Newhall, CA 91321 25274 Via Van VALENCIA OR 91355	257-7136	
Kathleen P. Raydon	24469 Stonecroft Ct	805 953-0543	
STEPHEN M RAYSON LARRY LOUSHMILLER	VALENCIA CA 91355 23921 VIA ROMANINA VALENCIA CA 91355	805 254-1161	
Raymond J Fonseca Carmen Fonseca	25112-D - Steinbeck Ave Stephenson Ranch, CA 91351	(805) 254 6023	
Helen MacFarlane	25583 Chimney Rock Rd VALENCIA CA 91355	805-287 9588	Self.
MIKE HALQUIST	25583 Chimney Rock Rd VALENCIA, CA 91355	(805) 254 5778	CECF

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jessica Ballantyne	25130 Muckel Dr. Newhall, CA 91321	805-253-0327	
DAVID SEARL	25130 Muckel Dr. Newhall Ca 91321	(805) 253-0327	
WALLACE HAGSTON	23022 REDBANK PL.	805-286 1998	
NANCY HAGSTON	VALENCIA CA 91354	805 259-5716	
Andy & Kelly Glover 25955 Via Catalina Valencia, CA 91355			
PETRA BRANDEIS	12320 LITHUANIA DR. GRANADA HILLS 91349	818 860-0153	
SCOTT PIGGINS 24760 OAK CREEK NEWHALL	24760 OAK CREEK	805- 259-8567	
Sue, Mike, & Matt McCabe	25624 Dorado Dr. Valencia	259-5213	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
CRAIG BAKER 14456 FOOTHILL 58 SYLMAR CA 91342	←	518-362-5684	LOCAL RESIDENT
Lizanne McClelland 2516 RAINBOW HILLS Sylmar		319-3615	
Donna Landress 25039 CHESTNUT NEWARK, CA 91321			
DARIA LANDRESS 25038 CHESTNUT NEWARK 91301			
Melanie Montgomery 24747 HIRVILLE AVE Newhall CA 91324		239-5027	Full College of the Cascades Biology Club
LOU SUAREZ "		↓	↓

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Curt Kendall	23916 Santa Ana Rd. Valencia 91327	805-255-6265	Concerned Citizen
Don H. Hubick	24023 Nottingham Ct Valencia 91355	(805) 254 5889	SAC YAC Los Angeles Foundation
Wito F. Foster	23437 Via Rama Valencia Ca 91355	(805) 555 1198	
Jack Boyer	27412 Dalton Santa Clarita	252-0665	SCUAC
Vickie Brunson	27106 Hildaway Ave #4 Canyon Country, CA	951-8003	
Lynne Flanbock	FASE 23712 Lyons/103-325 Newhall 91327	818 845-7651	
Robert Rose	21151 Salsada Valencia Ca 91385	(818) 441-8245	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
BRAD BOESKIN	8941 QUAKER RD. A1	800 9451-0959	City of Santa Clarita
Larry Buel officer Buel	5405 GABRIEL, CA 91775		
	24877 Walnut Canyon		
Trudy Hanemann	Newhall, Ca 91321	(805) 298-7922	
	26213 North Crimson Court		
Alan Kelly	Newhall CA 91321		
	19216 E. 107th St. Redondo Beach		
MARK McCall	Newhall, 91321		
	25200 Running Horse Rd. Newhall CA 91321		
STEVE + MARCIA THORNTON	26229 Park View Rd.	805-155-8338	
	Valencia, CA 91351		
Mr. + Mrs. Charles A. Hambar, Sr.	27319 Chestnut	815-259-7628	
	Valencia		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Colleen Smyth	83259 Mapk	255-7117	City of SC
Chris Quintana	Santa Clarita		
	27220 Cherry Creek		
Landon Lung	Santa Clarita		
	2708 Rio Prado	296-0090	
Delia Bautista	Prime Santaclarita		
	24880 Newhall ave #4	259-7908	
Patricia Effinger	Newhall ca 91321		
	25200 Running Horse Rd (805)	222-9350	
Robert Mahan	Newhall, CA 91321		
	17109 Canvas St (805)	251-2563	
Pauline Harrison	Santa Clarita CA 91351		
	2401 Newhall Ave #103 (805)	251-1410	
Patricia Harrison	Union City, CA 91371		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Joan Davidson	25727 Velan Dr. Valencia 91355	254-8155	homeowner and parent
Virginia Soohw - Bixter	26106 Aljando Jr. Valencia 91355	254-2129	sculpt, design preserv. (A.D.M.C. artist)
Margery Wade Richard	24103 Aljando Dr. Valencia 91355	254-2370	Librarian & Counselor
Penny Upton	28154 Velleda Ave. Canyon Country	251-2840	
Dee Dee Bleitz	29084 Poppy Meadow Canyon Country	251-6360	
Charmaine Posten	16442 Lost Can. Rd. Canyon Country	252-1841	
Don Dolan	23157 Tupelo Ridge Dr. Valencia CA	251-7573	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
CELIA HALLQUIST	25583 AD COURSE WAY VALENCIA CA 91355	805-251-5778	
F. KORAM	12810 Mendenhall Ave Gran Hills CA	818-831-0280	
GREG POTVIN	14625 CFEAR VALLEY WY	252-4475	
John Davidson	25809 Rama Dr Valencia CA 91355	254-0991	
KAREN NELSON	27907 Walnut Springs C.C. CA 91357	251-7991	
John Bada	26441 Rigdon Newhall CA	251-2009	
Scott Nelson	23933 W. Del Monte Dr.	254-3849	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Nick James	26255 Rainbow Glen		
Greg Dart	2008 Zimmerman Pl 296-2748		We Care for Youth
Deanna Hanashiro	25802 Via Candice Ct Valencia, CA	(805) 287-9355	
Alexander Williams	25715 Airside Ct Valencia, CA 91355	955-1057 (805)	Los Angeles County Parks & Recreation Attorney
Merry Farmer	23843 Pyramidal Lane Valencia, 91354	805 596-5046	California Against the Ciguatera (Ciguatera) Expansion EXPANSION
John Symas	21257 Acton JAN 605	296-8976	
Keefe Ferrandini	16925 Tropicana Trail Newhall, CA 91321	253-3065	Pres Save The Angeles Foundation

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jonnie Wesson	24151 Del Norte #335 Valencia 91355	290-2912	Democratic Club Santa Clara
BARBARA DORE	28150 28106 W. TECHNOLOGY VALENCIA CA	257-2557	VALENCIA INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION
Joseph Wheeler	5005 Buena Vista St. Burbank CA 91524-6276	818/840-1931	The Walt Disney Co
Mamuel Grace	" " " "	818/840-1910	The Walt Disney Co
Mark Suedde	1213 Diamond Blvd.	909 6125107	
William J. Ribell	25026 Wheeler Rd	255 2206	
Jo S. Silva	4722 (207) Rd Valencia 91355	256 5646	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 4, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MARISE IVE'S CHARLES IVE'S	8007V AVE OF TRECKS 91324 SANTA CLARITA, CA 91351	818-251-6402	S.P. CIVIC ASSN. S.P. SR. CENTER
ROJ MOREHOUSE	23625 VIA ANDRUCILA VALENCIA 91355	(805) 254-1173	
Charles & Mary Paterno	27619 N. Sy Camacho Oak Valencia, Ca	277-7119	
Judiana R Bering James S. Bering	22505 Esplanada Saugus, Ca 91350	246 5271	
Steve Paterno Shawn Buber	25730 LUPITANE VALENCIA CA 91355 23430 8th St Newhall Ca 91321	255-0653 259-3344	
Ed & Josephine Schubert	23576 Heather Knoll Huntington Ca 91321	277-2126	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 4, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
JOSEPH J. HOFFMAN	25634 Luleeka Rd. Valencia, CA 91355-2215	(818) 255-7413	
G. A. White	23008 Weymouth Valencia, CA 91354	2471388	SACPL
Gregory & Melissa Gray	27955 Sarabande Lane Unit 220 Canyon Country CA 91357	(805) 251-4727	
Andrew Mark	23900 Parkview Dr. Burbank 91321	259-4333	ref
SANDY NORMAN	24411 TREKING #2.6 VALENCIA 91355		"
Pat Salinas			
Roxana Hodges	26826 Circle of the Oaks Newhall, Ca 91321		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
LENNOX SHERIFF'S COMMUNITY CENTER
APRIL 8, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Detsay Landis	3908 Mandeville Cyn.		LACSWMC/INMTF
AL AQUANA	907 Van Ness Ave Torr. CA. 90501		LACSWMC/INMTF

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 SENIOR CITIZEN CENTER OF WEST COVINA
 APRIL 9, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jack Yoshino	21201 La Puente Rd Walnut, CA 91789	595 7543 909	City of Walnut
MIKE MILLER	ESD. CITY OF W. COVINA P.O. BOX 1446 W. L. 71793	(607) 911-8111	CITY OF W. COVINA
Carolyn Besnyel	1337 S. Magnolia West Covina 91791	(818) 966-7835	League of Women Voters
Stephanie Chappell	1337 S. Glenn Ave West Covina, CA 91791	(88) 919-3250	W. C. Beautiful
Laura Brandy	506 N. Elizabeth Way Covina CA 91722	818-966-3978	League of Women Voters Covina Women's Club
Jay Wilcoxon	768 Casado St Covina CA 91723	818 259 6655	City
Rebecca J. Jodberg	10515 E. Shendale West Covina 91790	(818) 331-0297	W. C. Beautiful

(5)

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
SENIOR CITIZEN CENTER OF WEST COVINA
APRIL 9, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
AL AVARA	907 SAN NESS AVE TOLUOKE, CA. 90101	310-328-3350	L.A. COUNCIL ON WASTE
RIC BROWN	2153 Aroma Dr West Covina	818 919 8327	Coalition of West Covina Homeowners
DIANA KELLY	2915 E. MEZA W. COVINA 91791	(818) 339-8073	LWU/ESGV
RENÉE SUTELL	336 So. BARE AVE W. COVINA 91791	818-332-6537	CIVIC UNION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMISSION
Mary C Johnson	2411 N Cameron AV Covina Ca 91724	818-332-6124	LWU-ESGV ENACT
Jaqueline Peña	604 N. Fifth St Covina CA 91724	818 814-8417	LWU-ESGV
Stephen Millord	270 W. Dexter St Covina CA 91723	(818) 332-3858	Citizen

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
SENIOR CITIZEN CENTER OF WEST COVINA
APRIL 9, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Norman Goldberg	1055 E Garfield W. Covina	909-397-5551	
Wm George	376 N. Prospect Diamond Bar	909-861-0825	
Bette C. Muller	1714 W. Theburn St W. Covina, Ca. 91790	818-3377163	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APRIL 10, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
<i>Ann Barnes</i>	<i>14510 Diamond Ave H H</i>	<i>818 330-6976</i>	
<i>Harvey Merjimes</i>	<i>1604 Ameluxea Ave H H</i>	<i>818 330-1824</i>	
<i>JAMES DAVIS</i>	<i>14444 ORANGE GROVE AVE H H</i>	<i>(818) 961-7048</i>	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APRIL 10, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Bob Marguerite Solley	1306 Amaluxey Hts. Hts.	818-336-8007	
DAVE + SUE TRIEINEN	1731 Beech Hill Dr HAC. Hts	818-968-3580	HHIA
SAM + SYLVIA AMADOR	14429 Crystal/Lantern R. HAC. Hts	818 333-3973	
JIM AIDUKAS	14747 San Fernando Sycamore	818 362-1567	
MARK CLUNKER	14747 San Fernando Sycamore	818 362-1567	
JOHN SHUBIN	1439 Foxkstone HAC Hts	818 336 0833	
JAN KIDWELL	6546 Dewitt Ave N. Hollywood	818 506 8731	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APRIL 10, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Betsy Landis			LAC SWMC / IUTF
LISA SMOCK			HHIA
John M. No.	14415 Langhill Dr.	(818) 333-9977	
RL Burke	Norwalk, CA 91745		
Fred Guizzo	2755 Poney Train Rd	(909) 861-9574	
	Diamond Pine, CA	(909) 860-7777	UCLA Extension
Heather Callies			
MIKE MILLER	P.O. BOX 1440	(818) 714-8411	CITY OF WEST COVINA
	W. COVINA 91709		
Grace Chan	PO Box 11918		LACSD
	Van Nuys 91407		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APRIL 10, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Tony A. Wells		818-302-5904	
Pilar J. Franco	1461 Conchita, Gardena	818-330-7201	
Lourdes Fontana	1447 Folkstone Ave H.A	(818) 330-8487	
Erika Sedley	13969 Mulgrave St Gardena Marandel Key 90292	310/306-4442	
B. Stoeven	2257 Cowler Los Angeles 90068	213 467-4374	
T. Byrnes	14523 Langhill Dr. Hawarden Mt. Ca.	818 330 9627	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APRIL 19, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Judy Anderson			
Stephanie Carter			
Tom Kelleher			
Lynn Hanh			
Bob Isaacson			
AL Acorn		310-328-3750	Spartan F.F.
Nancy Albert		818-332-5754	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
APRIL 10, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Damian Durrant			
Jeff Yahn			
Mike Lewis			
Jeanne Lewis			
Dave Johns			
Candine Sobelich			
Barbara Fish			

**PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**
**PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN**
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Margie M. Leon 500 Canyon Summit Mendocino	P.O. Box 220715 Mendocino		
Julia Weinstein	3110 Mendocino		
GARY RUMAH	3276 Mendocino Canyon Rd	310-472-4389	
Dominic L. Bugler	2532 BANYAN DRIVE	310-472-7650	
L.A. PASCUAL D'ARANDA	2084 RIDGE DR. 90279		
Barbara Borsch	2930 Corda Lane 90049		
GRACE NEVILL	3026 CEDAR LANE L.A. 90049		

**PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
 APRIL 11, 1996
 ATTENDEES**

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ALVARO		310-328-3322	Cosum P/SumTF
DURRANT	1560 SALTIRE AV #211 LOS ANGELES, CA, 90025	(610) 820-7614	
Schrekman	1131 ALICIA LOMER BL CAJON GROBES	210-657-7612	B. Hill Associates
DITLOW, LORETTA	13686 BAYLISS RD L. A. 90049	310-472-2415	
Ralph Banks	13766 Bayliss Rd. La. Ca. 90049	472-5865	
RO SALTER	22610 Lilee Ct Saugus Ca 91350	(805) 286-2577	
JEFF SEPPER	253 Wilshire Pl Los Angeles	" " 810-411-1111	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Stuart Miller	1044 Reservoir Lane LA CA	310 471 7474	MCA
Freda Barbara Oberin	2294 Westridge Rd LA CA	(610) 472-5017	
Phyllis & Nancy Cochran	13770 Regency Dr LA CA	310-207 6638	
Supriya Zev Yaroslavsky	500 W Temple St. #4821 LA. Ca. 90012	213-974-3333	L.A. County.
Mark Schlundt	2560 Cordelia Rd. L.A., Ca 90049	(310) 472-3874	
Elise Schlundt	2560 Cordelia Rd. L.A., Ca 90049	(310) 472-3874	
Bonnie BASKIN	8418 Fay Ave Culver City 90232	310 202 0210	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY WIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY WIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MARTIN A. PASETTA	2098 Deer Run Lane L A 90049	310 471 8611 1 (310)	FROM ESTATE MOUNTAINGATE The Ridge
Jean May Speck	2170 Ridge Drive 19333 Sparta St.	472-0150 818 844-7766	at Mountain Gate Sargona-Property Dickens (L.A.)
Elysebeth Taylor Lew Nelson	Tayona CA 91352 2176 Ridge Dr LA-CA 90049	310 472-6191	Mountain Gate (Ridge)
Ima Zimmelman	2153 Bidge Dr L A 90049	310-472-8971	The Ridge
George V. Steverson	206 S. Saltair Ave L.A., CA 90049	310-472-5467	Calif. Native Plant Society
Carol Steverson Norman Steverson	16611 PARK LANE Cir L.A. R.A.	(310) 476 6065	Bel Air Knolls

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Nancy Kezarian	13156 Foxciss Rd LA 90049	310-471-2848	
Larry Simpson	3255 Mulholland Blvd LA 90049	310-472-2227	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
PAUL WENTZKE	12678 BRASS RD L.A. CA 90049	310 472-1621	PRIVATE CITIZEN
CAROL BERNSTEIN	3110 COUNTRY HILL LN LA 90019 (KINGTE)	310 476 6241	
SIMON & PAUL IRVING REINHOLD PILLICK	930 OAKMONT DR LA CA 90049 3289 Mandeville Canyon Rd LA SUITE 90049	310 476-5531	Private citizen
Katsuko Mori	11790 Wilshire Blvd. RA-207 Los Angeles, CA 90025	310-479-8041	
Jeanne Field	4084 Mandeville Pl LA 90049	310/471-6317	Upper Mandeville Dungen Ksson
FRANK J. LOGRIPPO	2076 STONEY HILL RD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90049	310-471-8834	Private

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Michael	1301 E. Beverly Blvd	472-1524	Private to 310s
Michael	Los Angeles		Home Office
Larry	310 Country Hills	476 6241	Promodrom
BERNSTEIN	Los Angeles CA 90049		ESTATES
DOMINIC (Jim)	2201 WESTCOTE RD	(310) 476 6337	Private Citizen
CLAUSE	LOS ANGELES CA		
June (HARWICK)	16434 Sleau	310 471-7881	Private home owners
Peter (ALABRASKA)	LA 90049		
JOHN (HILL)	3400 HANZEVILLE	310 476-7002	Private "
ED			
Laura Becker	3100 Corda Dr		L.A. 90049
Lisa Becker	"		"

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jane M. Pallester	3556 W. ... L.A. ...	471-5867	AR. ... M.I.C. ... S.O.I.I.
Brian Davidoff	3508 Mandeville Cyn L.A. 90077	471-5867	Upper Mandeville Cyn.
Karen's Pollock	3089 Mandeville Cyn. Los Angeles CA 90049	(310) 471-5828	UMCA (Upper Mandeville Cyn)
Carole Schaffee	11830 Foxstone Ln LA 90077	310 471-2007	Bel Air CREST
Eric Feinstein	1316 N. Formosa Ave L.A. 90046	213 969-0778	PS Enterprises
Don Zukshi	2005 N Synagogue Ave LA 90068	213 851-5017	UCIA Environmental Class
Dr. Mrs Ethel Chermiak	11724 Guymore Ln Bel Air CREST	310 476-5377	Bel Air CREST The owner

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ERIC ROJO	3611 LA Colman Way Los Alamitos CA 90730	(310) 834-5931	Emerson M.S. 7th Gr. Teacher
Cilenn Bailey	5926 Hesperia Ave. Encino 91316	818/344-1992	Foundation for Resource Conservation
NAT & BEA GOLDSTEIN	2121 RIDGE DR	(310) 476-4349	THE RIDGE MTNGTE
HARRY & JENNA SHRAGG	2115 RIDGE DR		THE RIDGE MTNGTE
FRANK WILLIE HILKIN	12325 KILGIL (HILL)	410-0101	PTO
SUE CICKHANS	13778 Raywood Dr LA CA 90049-1227	471-6591	Self
Amy Curran	same as above	471-6597	Self

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Michael Lotman	13778 RAYWOOD DR. L A 90049	(310) 471-6597	Print City
Orl B. Fager	11823 FOLKSTONE CANY BE-AR 90077	(310) 471 1095	BEAR AND BONDO MEMBERS
John Conroy	538 Montecito Ave SM CA 90402	310-455-6509	Self
Charles AJ CAROTHEES	2333 CAMPUS BLVD L. A. 90075	(310) 472 8175	Urban Homeowner Resident
Ann M. ...	2175 1/2 Mendocino	476-8087	
Harvey Floyd	L.A. 90049		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
CAROLINA GORDON	1851 Maryland Ave LA 90049	472-2671	
Peter N. Hoffman	" "	" "	
Elvira Hoffman	2116 Country Hill Lane	472-1712	Mountain Gate
Mark Gutterbo	L.A. 90079	" "	" "
Robert August	" "	" "	" "
Wolfe	13674 Bayliss	472-7931	Brentwood Home Owners Ass.
Anne Falk	2410 Pesquera Dr L.A., CA 90049	472-1661	Brentwood Hill Homeowners
RON BARZILY LUNING ANTONIS JULIAN BARZILY	13041 SKY VALLEY L.A., CA 90049	476-9498	UYCA
David Gordin	1103 LI IMPLE Santa Monica	(805) 514-2558	City of Santa Monica

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
DA. PETER	13561. Baykoo Rd La 90049		Prattville Hills Homeowners
Cherry Hill	1230 W. 21st St #1600 Los Angeles CA 90007		USC
Regina McCain	2334 Westridge Rd. L.A. 90049		Brentwood Hills
Lebrine Dushush	2339 Cheryl Dr LA 90049		Brentwood Hills
Snobelen Thomas	4144 Duquesne Court #3 Culver City Ca 90232		UCLA Exchange Solid Waste & Temp
ALAN CAMEFON	77617 ENKIS MCKE AVE. SANTA CLAYIA, CA. 91371	951-257-7789	A.A.S.E.R. S.O.O.P.E.
Nancy H. Carter	3420 Mandeville Cyn Rd CA CA 90049	310 4760783	Upper Mandeville Canyon Assoc

PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
 APRIL 11, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ELSON RAKHIBER	3045 E. V. I. 20 Wk L.A. CA. 90049	310-472-2391	Home Owner
HAMID SAMI RAHIBAR	3046 LOS. ANGELES. 90049	(310) 472-8432	
CAYA KAUFMAN	3093 RIDGE DR LA 90049		
VIRGINIA PARSELL	3474 MANDEVILLE GYN. RD. LA 90049		
Louis H. Nevell	3026 Corda Dr LA 90049		
ELISE PASETH	2098 Miller Lane LA 90049	310 471 8611	Promontory Estates
Penny you Kati. nowski	12380 Ridge Circle LA 90049	310-472-1971	The Ridge at Montebello

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Judith von Kelnowski	17326 Ridge Circle Van Nuys, CA 91411	310 472-1977	The Ridge at Mt. Hollywood
DEBETHY HARKLEY	10824 LINDOROCK DR #117 LOS ANGELES CA 90024	(310) 475-4169	
John Jammelman	2153 Ridge Dr. 90049	(310) 472-8971	
Bill Segal	2234 Compadre Rd L.A. 90049	(310) 472-4165	De Croix at Mt. Hollywood
MARGARET JOSE	1508 SUNSET Blvd L.A. CA 90027	454 2709	TEHERAN CIV. ASSOC.
Nue Byars	2238 The Terrace Los Angeles. 90049	310 476-4134	The Terrace Mountaintop
Edward P. Foxworth	2317 Wilshire Rd L.A. 90049	310 476-2123	BHHA

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
 APRIL 11, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MAURICE M. CHARLW M/M	12525 HOLLYGATE DR 2200 THE TORRECE LA, CA 90049	(310) 471-2624	THE TORRECE N.O. ASSOCIATION -
ANDY YOUNG	550 LATIMER RD. SANTA MONICA 90402	310-454-5037	PACIFIC PACISBMS COMMUNITY COUNCIL
ESTHER KRISMAN	2571 ARMARCOSE AVE L.A CA 90066	(310) 478-3608	
TED ROGERS	200 N. SPRING ST. LA	(313) 431-3988	CITY OF LA
Marianne Perls	1744 Reedvale LN Los Angeles, Calif	310. 471.7474	Somi and Residents of Canyon
Dinshi Eghlund	13127 Barce de Canon Hwy L.A. Ca 90049	310 476.0619	
STEVEN KAPLAN	2496 Devonport Ln. LA 90077	310 274-2259	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Betsy Landis			LACSJMC AWMTF
ERIC EDMUNDS	2576 CORDELLA RD LA 90049	310/444-6400	① SOMI ② Brentwood Hills HOA
Foster Winter	1553 S Mark U. Cito Malibu (A)		
Mrs. Mrs. JOHN F. WEGNE	2629 Mandeville Cyn La 90049		
Arcynus Whittaker	3387 Mandeville Cyn LA 90049	310 2038400	
Joe C. JONES	2511 La Concha Dr L.A. (in 90049)	(310) 472-5605	BHHA
Frank Kortum	12810 Mandeville Ave Grande Hills CA 91344	818 831-0280	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Margaret Taylor	6102 Saturn Street Los Angeles, CA 90035	(213) 934-6507	
John T. Franklin	12623 Promontory Rd Los Angeles, CA	310/471-3102	MISSION CANYON CORPORATION
John Richardson	Los Angeles, CA 9189 De Garmo Ave San Valley, CA 91352	818-767-6600	Community Recycling F Resource Recovery, Inc
Alanna Sherman	2034 Elmer Dr., Toluca CA 90249	310/472-4216	BE/AIR SKYCREST
Randy Sherman	2460 Pisuerga Dr LA 90049	(310) 471-3989	Brentwood Hills Homeowners Assoc (BA member)
Shirley Witt	12441 Promontory Rd L.A. 90049	310 476 8486	Promontory Estates (Title State)
HELEN NORMAN	17068 SANTA RITA ST. TARZANA 91356	(818) 996-0117	TARZANA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSN

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
UNIVERSITY SYNAGOGUE
APRIL 11, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Anna H. Doblyn	19601 Valley Dr. Targona, CA. 91356	818-342-7634	Targona Property Arbena Almad. S.
Naomi Friedli Greenfield	City Hall D. P.		
Janice Rawale	13848 Sunset Bl. PA	459-1278	Riviera
SONIKA SAMUELSON	12321 RIDGE CIRCLE		MOUNTAINGATE
Evy LUTIN MARTY LUTIN	12473 Remontory Rd LA 90049	(310) 476-6771	MT. GATE
Shari Afshari	11750 Sunset	(310) 472-7476	
WALTER BRESLOW	2496 LAMARCT LN LA 90077		Bel Air Crest MOA

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
TORRANCE CULTURAL ARTS CENTER
APRIL 15, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
BETSEY LANDIS			LACSWMC / JWMTF
CLARENCE GIECK			LACSWMTF /
ARLENG BLOCH	2525 CORPORATE PL MONTEREY PARK		LAC / DHS / SWMP
AL AVIZIAN	4524 GARNET ST TORRANCE CA		LACSWMTF
KAY WHITE, Moderator	6415 Via Las Miradas Redondo Beach		IWW - Torrance

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
MONROE HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 16, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
CARENCE GIECK			CACSEM TX
KATHLEEN SIMMONS			North Valley Coalition
MARGARET WILKINSON	17510 DERIC ST G.H. 91344	818/366 1895	N.L.C. SACRAMENTO DIST. 2

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
MONROE HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 16, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Charles J. O'Connell	13991 Cranston Ave Sylmar CA 91342	818-367-0602	Olive View Neighborhood Watch
Elaine O'Connell	" "	" "	" "
Betsy Landis			LACSWMC / INWMTF
AL AVORAN	James B. Ford		LACSWMTF / RF
F. Koffen	12810 Mansour Ave Grand Hills CA	818 831 0280	North Valley Council
Eric Albert	3101 Thistlewood Street, Thousand Oaks, CA 91320	805-472-1077	Individual /

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
BANCROFT MIDDLE SCHOOL
APRIL 17, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
E. A. ANDERSON	2515 PIEDMONT AVE LONG BEACH, CA 90815	30431-2200	LWV
John F. Bergquist	3241 Wilman Ave Long Beach, CA 90808	(310) 431-3157	LACSWMTF
C. H. GIBSON			LWMTF
Ed Bryant			

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
TAFT HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 18, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MARC CLINKEZ	14747 SAN FERNANDO SULMAN CA 91342	362-1567	
TED ROGERS	200 N. SPRING ST LA CA 90012	485-4417	CITY/LA

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
TAFT HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 18, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
TONY	1933 GRACE AVE #2 HOLLYWOOD 90068	213 876-2577	EARTH COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE (E.C.O.)
MIKE LENS	1330 S. VALLEY VISTA DR DIAMOND BAR CA 91765		ALLIANCE FOR INNOVATIVE RESOURCE MGMT
Jandy Goble	14747 San Fernando Rd. S.F.	818-362-1567 1567	BFI
JAMES AMERICAS	14747 SAN FERNANDO RD S-FERRAR	818 362-1567	BFI
Fosler-Winsie	1553 Mata Vieja MULHOU		
CLENH STODARD	20417 Hamlet St WINNETKA CA 91306	818-346-8565	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
TAFT HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 18, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Friends of Calaveras Citizens	19528 Venture Blvd. #217 Tonzona, Ca. 91356	818-344-8714	Friends of Calaveras Citizens
JEFF Szymor	233 Wilshire Blvd Ste 200 SUNLAND, CA 91061	(510) 858-3458	AFIRM
Maribel Marin	6310 Sun Venture Blvd Ste 250 LA 90048	213 934 6400	NRDC
SA S. G. Lere	22410 L. L. Ave (1) Sunland, Ca. 91350	805 396 2517	SEU Citizens for S.S.U.
CARY MEUNIER	8144 DAY ST. SUNLAND, CA 91040	818 353-0740	ASPEN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP
ALLAN CAMERON	27612 ENNISMORE AVE. SANTA CLARITA, CA 91351	805-247-7779	HANDFUL ALTERNATIVES SAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES (LASER)
JOHN EDWARDS	1720 GRANVILLE Ave #5 LA CA 90045	310-820-7050	AFTCM

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
TAFT HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 18, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Yael Klajic	3217 Jirgawa Way Compton County, Cal. 91351	(818) 359-4906	City of Arch. Climate
R.D. Wilding	21061 S. Webster Terrace, CA 90807	310/222- 8780	California RAIL/FILL
Lamian Durand	1500 SALT AIR AV #211 LOS ANGELES	310 820 7664	SAVE YOUR FOREST
CLARENCE GIECK			LACSUMTF
M. H. ...			LACSUMP/PT
Betsy Landin			LACSUMC/ IWMTF
Katie Petersen	P.O. Box 3130 Compton, CA 90733	(910) 860- 0311	City of Compton

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Lynne Plandick	23149 Oakbridge Newhall, Ca 91321	818 845-7651	LASER
[unclear]	33165 Via Adenium Valencia, CA 91355	805 955 3005	NONE
Frank Ferry	27911 Oregon Circle Saugus CA 91350		
Marion Ellinger	25214 Bonning Horsoke Newhall, Ca. 91321	805 322-7144	NONE

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
MARISA McLean	21519 Brockton Rd Alhambra, CA 91801	805 255-7307	Sen Co Public Works
CAROL STINNEGER	19416 Oak Crossing Ln Northridge, CA 91321	148 5549	
	18724 Malibu Hill Road Santa Monica, CA 90401	298 5549	Eligibility
Susan Perry	22341 Melod. Lane Saugus CA 91350	805-276-0704	Concerned resident
Ken Gilks	18910 Cedar Valley Way Santa Clarita 91351	805 251 5263	California Democratic Party
Gregory A. Wik	23010 HADEN CT NEWHAUL CA 91321	805 251 9669	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
ET BURR	23010 HADEN ST NEWHALL CA	254 6679	
Karin Smith	Sigman NewsDogs		
STACY SAMNORTH	26140 PAOLINO PL VALENCIA	254-6431	
Sheryl Samworth	PO BOX 221042 NEWHALL 91302	554-6594	
JAN HEIDT		257-5575	
Anne Hughes	19826 Merryhill Canyon Country Ca	252-6420	
KIMBERLY ISAKER	33675 BOUQUET CYN SAGUS CA 91350	263-9181	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Paula Blitsstein	22839 Banyan Pl/309	818 297-2887	
Shelley Baran	2804 Cadenway Lane	291-945	
John H. Hauld	29302 Sempitryon Place Canyon Country	805 252 7892	
Marie [unclear]	27055 [unclear] Court Canyon Country	818 254-3380	
Ben Bronwein	26126 Rainbow Glen Dr. Newhall	(805) 251-7616	
John Olesh	15312 Baker Canyon Rd Canyon Country Ca	818 8913400	
Robert Carter	25437 Via Arroyo Valencia, CA	805-255-1967	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Vicki L. Japane	20753 47th Ave 2 Ridge	805-244-0321	
Elisabeth Johnson	23912 Van Duzen	805-253-0106	
ED GAYOT	22953 W. Henry Glen Dr Santa Clarita CA 91354-2017	291-8202	Democratic Club S.C.V. Sierra Club CIVIC ASSN
Margot Ellis	23849 Sarda Rd Valencia	805 286-1183	
CAROLE MUMFORD	27513 WELINGTON CT. VALENCIA, CA 91354	805-288-1195	
Miche Mansfield	23520 Lloyd Houghton Newhall CA 91321	608-285-0159 (805)	Port High School and S.C.V. Canyons Preservation COMMITTEE
Patricia Effinger	25200 Running Horse Rd Newhall, CA 91321	222-9350	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
DAVID GRAMER	22522 Mulliken Ct Valencia CA 91354	296-4431	
Nancy E. Hynes	24218 Fingert Blvd. Canyon Country	252-4582	
Marilyn Falcone	24320 Serrano Dr. Northridge 91321	252-7182	
SANDRA GALLANT	27116 SANTA CLARITA RP SANTA CLARITA, CA 91350	297-1270	
Tom Gallant	27716 Santa Clarita Rd Santa Clarita CA 91350	277-1270	
Sherry Klans	26030 Charming Cross Valencia CA 91355	259-3889	
Jeanne Piercy	23564 C. Huntington Ave Canyon Country 91301	252-1952	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
D. Sargent	25342 Mendocino Valencia, Ca 91355	253-0628	SCOPE
Matthew Lat	27617-5 Nugget Dr Canyon Country, CA 91351	805-252-2293	SERICA CLVS SCOPE
Helen Minniti	28215 HOT SPRING CC 91351	(310) 206-1948	
D. Schilger	25431 Via Hendoko Valencia	(805) 254-1427	
D Sargeant	23725 VIA LUPONA Valencia	805 259 8662	
A. Maria Hornian	27436 Perry Creek Dr.	253-0628 805 259 8662	Elmeria Cyn (D. Sargent)
Tamie Stepper	23725 Via Danga Valencia CA 91355	805 254-1641	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jeff Yann	1622 S. Adelia Ave Hacienda Hts, CA 91745	(818) 302-8507	HHIA LASER
Merry Farmer	23843
Judge ...	19544 N.
Roberta ...	18910 Cedar Valley Way Santa Anita CA 91781	805-251-5803	Denuncie ... of the ... (Pres.)
Betsy	LACSWMC / IUMTE
Sebe Kahn	20344	818-286-4072	...

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Alan Carlson	27612 Summer St. S.C. 9134	805 296-5928	NAEP
Alan Cook	17821 Maryland St. Canyon County, CA	505 254-4388	CITIZEN USA CAL, VALENCIA
Susan Britton	2499 North Ave. Val. CA	805 296-5928	
Don H. Baker	23657 MARICRO DR VALENCIA	805 2596711	
Juan S. Marcos	38516 CONTESSA AVE. S.C. 91350	805 2596711	
Paul Williams	25473 VIANOVIA VALENCIA CA 91355	805 2596711	
Arnold Smith	PO Box 1000 Valencia, CA 91355	805 2596711	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Jane Fife	265125 Fairgate Ave. Newhall, Ca 91321 25339 Via Paladar	805-252-5263	
John P. Edmonson	Valencia, Calif. 91353	259-6795	
Judy Carter	25437 Via Herald Valencia CA	953-1969	
Donna Hernandez	27938 Milliken Dr. SARGUS CA 91358	805 299 0617	SPVART Sargus
Valerie Thomas	PO Box 220907 Newhall Ca 91322	255-2967	
Devin D. Gould	Newhall CA 91321	252-8782	
WILLIAM ROMAY	23915 VIA PINZA VALENCIA CA 91355	253-9810	NONE

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
David Mumford	27513 WELINGTON CT VALENCIA CA 91354	805 288-1195	
Florence	2516 KENNING BLVD Newhall, CA	805-259-3511	
Laurie Finkelstein	21714 Chenil Court Saugus CA 91350	805-277-5160	-
Robert Kahn	29065 Lillygreen Dr. Santa Clarita 91351	805-298-8686	
Gary Kuhn	14833 Canyon Valley St Canyon Country Ca. 91351	(805) 298-1563	
Marilyn Thomas	18540-163 Soledad Spn. Rd. Canyon Country, CA 91351		-
LARRY KANNER	22953 AULBERRY GREEN VALENCIA 91354	805 296-4148	-

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 22, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Allen E. Seward	24572 Peachland Ave Newhall, CA 91321	(818) 277-8285	Reg's Committee on Resources & Env
BRUCE METRAMOND	24307 MAGIC MTR #800 VALSUDIA CA 91355	905-297-1999	SELF
Rod & Liz McLendon	27527 Cunningham Dr Valencia	805 297 6743	none
CARENCE GLECK			LACSUMTE
DIANE TRAKMAN	P.O. Box 801084 Santa Clarita, CA 91380-1084	805/297-1121	
Elcanor Smith	26453 Buiele Knoll Ct Newhall, CA 91321	805-251- 2313	
ROY RIGSBY	24601 KALMARR AV NEW HALL 91321	805 253 2646	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Ken Johnstone	22999 Mulberry Glen Valencia, CA 91354	(805) 297-5941	Self
Robert L. Strop	21008 Highspring Ave Newhall, 91321	805-299-0092	S.O.P.E.
Frank T. Smathers	21627 N. Renwick Ct. Saugus CA 91350	805-296-0511	SCOPE
David Seibt	14827 Camino Valley Covina, Ca. 91751	(805)-252-4869	Self
Helen J. Boy	23520 Wiley Dr Valencia, CA 91355	805-252-1342	Self
MICHELLE HOFFMAN	27634 SAJCEDA VALENCIA, CA 91355	255-7413	Self
Jane Hoffman	25634 Galceda Rd, Valencia, CA 91355	" "	Self

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Gill Klajic	23920 Valencia Blvd. Santa Clarita	(818) 259-4906	City of Santa Clarita City Councilmember
MAYOR CARL BOYER	23920 VALENCIA BLVD. SANTA CLARITA	(805) 259-CITY 259-3154	CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
Russell Haase Meyoort Haase	24544 E. Helena Ave. Santa Clarita Ca 91321	805-255-0341	
David Lee Heary Towles Mary Towles ERIC PATTERSON	18547 Soledad Canyon Rd Santa Clarita Ca 91351 20079 Ave of Oaks Santa Clarita CA 91321 23926 Via Danza Valencia, CA 91555	818-327-4600 805-250-1123 805-287-5893	Citizen CITIZEN
Andy Martin	23900 Danba Dr. Newhall, Ca 91321	805-259-4333	Opponent to Elgar Opponent BIA

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
 AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
 PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
 MANAGEMENT PLAN
 AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
 APRIL 22, 1996
 ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
O.S. Richter	25358 Van Rensselaer Valencia, CA	805-288-1716	St Stephens Scripturist Opposition & Litigation
G. THEODORGE	19633 CRYSTAL SPRING CT NEW HALL, CA	805 252 6497	
Eva Primmer	18647 Crystal Spring Newhall, CA 91321	805 251 0376	
WILLIAM J RIBBELL CAROL RIBBELL	25026 WHEELER RD NEW HALL 91321	805 255-2206	
SKIP NEWHALL	25913 CARILLO DR VALENCIA 91355-2147	(805) 259-7979	
STEVEN VAIFL	14821 CAMANA VALLEY #1 Canyon Country CA 91351	(805) 252-2977	
ALLEN S. HAYMIE	87218 LANGLIDE AV. CANYON COUNTRY, CA. 91351	805-252-4582	

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
Karen Pearson	26617 Gavilan Dr	805 296-4436	SCU Sierra Club
	Santa Anita, CA 91350		
MacCall Doctor	22953 Mulberry Blvd Encinitas CA 91934	805 296-3160	
Evelyn Barry	23915 Via Rancho	805 253-9810	
	Valencia, CA 91355		
Patricia T. Romay	23815 Via Rancho		
	Valencia, CA 91355		
Mary Francis Larson	21 280 Claremont Blvd	805 255-5103	
	Newhall, CA 91321		
Mark McCloud	25200 Rolling Horse Rd. Newhall		
Karen Slider	24416 Cristiana Dr	(805) 259-4280	
	Newhall CA 91321		

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PRELIMINARY DRAFT SUMMARY PLAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
VALENCIA HIGH SCHOOL
APRIL 22, 1996
ATTENDEES

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	ORGANIZATION
STARR SACHS	24532 Avenida Balite Valencia, CA 91355	805 255 0910	None
LAWRENCE BLANCK	25815 TURQUESA DR. VALENCIA CA 91355	805 253 1878	COLLEGE OF THE CANYONS
SUSAN CROWTHER	12810 Meadowbrook Ave Granada Hills 30718 San Martinz Rd Val Verde, CA 91384	818 831 0280 (805) 295-6673	Vol Verde Civic Assoc
Frank Korum			
Lewis Berti			
Christa Dinsubach	20434 Alaminos Dr Sanger, CA 91350	805 / 296-6101	
Flora Guadagnoli	28001 #1 Caro Sanger, CA 91350	805 / 296-9318	
Robin Magon or Patti Magon	24055 Regents Pk. Ct Valencia, CA 91355	805 253-4484	

APPENDIX M

SUMMARY PLAN FACT SHEET

[This page intentionally left blank]

LOS ANGELES COUNTY INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN FACT SHEET

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

QUESTION 1: WHAT IS THE SUMMARY PLAN AND ITS PURPOSE?

ANSWER: State law requires each city and each county in the State of California to prepare:

- A planning document known as "Source Reduction and Recycling Element" (SRRE) showing programs that the city/county will implement to divert (reduce) 25 percent of its solid waste from landfills and transformation (incineration) facilities by 1995 and 50 percent by the year 2000.
- A planning document known as "Nondisposal Facility Element" (NDFE) identifying solid waste processing facilities, such as a materials recovery facility (MRF) or a composting facility, etc., that the city/county will utilize in achieving the State-mandated waste diversion goals.
- A planning document known as "Household Hazardous Waste Element" (HHWE) showing programs that the city/county will implement to collect household hazardous waste generated by residents, thus preventing these materials from being disposed in solid waste landfills and/or transformation facilities.

State law also requires each county in the State to prepare a document known as the "Countywide Integrated Waste Management Summary Plan" (Summary Plan) that describes and summarizes the steps that will be taken by cities and the county, acting on their own or with others, to achieve the State-mandated waste diversion goals and management of household hazardous wastes. Specifically, the purpose of the Summary Plan is to:

- Establish Countywide goals and objectives for meeting the State-mandated waste diversion goals
- Describe the Countywide solid waste management system in the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated communities
- Summarize the types of programs planned in the Cities and County's SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs
- Describe potential programs that could be consolidated or coordinated Countywide, and how these Countywide programs are to be financed
- Establish an administrative structure for preparing and maintaining the Summary Plan

QUESTION 2: WHAT ARE THE GOALS OF THE SUMMARY PLAN?

ANSWER: The goals of the Summary Plan are to:

- Expand the number and extent of cooperative activities and projects conducted by the County and the participating cities in order to accelerate progress toward reaching the State-mandated waste reduction rate of 50%
- Eliminate or reduce barriers between jurisdictions, agencies, and private enterprise in order to streamline waste diversion programs including, but not limited to, recycling, composting, source reduction, etc
- Encourage and develop stronger, long-term markets and demand for recycled-content materials and end products
- Increase public education and participation in source reduction, recycling, composting, and household hazardous waste management programs
- Assure the proper disposal of the solid waste that remains after implementing recycling, composting, and other waste diversion activities/programs

QUESTION 3: WHAT IS SOLID WASTE?

ANSWER: Solid waste includes garbage, trash, refuse, paper, rubbish, plastic, glass, cardboard, demolition and construction wastes, manure, vegetable wastes, animal wastes, and other discarded wastes.

Solid waste does not include hazardous wastes (toxic, corrosive, irritant, and/or explosive), liquid, medical, and radioactive wastes.

QUESTION 4: WHAT INPUT DOES THE GENERAL PUBLIC HAVE ON THE CONTENT OF THE SUMMARY PLAN?

ANSWER: Public involvement in the planning process is extremely important to the proper development of the Summary Plan. For this reason, public information meetings have been scheduled throughout Los Angeles County to request the public's input on this document. The meetings are to be an exchange of knowledge, information, and concerns regarding solid waste management in the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the unincorporated communities.

QUESTION 5: WHO PREPARED THE SUMMARY PLAN?

ANSWER: The draft Summary Plan has been prepared by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force) and incorporates comments by the Task Force. The Task Force developed the goals, policies, and procedures to provide guidance to the Department of Public Works to prepare the Summary Plan.

QUESTION 6: WHAT IS THE TASK FORCE?

ANSWER: State law requires that each county assemble a local task force to, among other things, assist and advise the county agency responsible for preparing the Summary Plan. In 1990, the cities within Los Angeles County and the County Board of Supervisors created the Task Force.

The Task Force consists of 17 voting members, each of whom is knowledgeable in one or more aspects of solid waste management or in such related fields as environmental quality, resource or energy conservation, and land use. The Task Force membership includes appointees of the cities in Los Angeles County, the County Board of Supervisors, solid waste industries, environmental groups, and the private sector. The Task Force is responsible for the coordination of solid waste management issues on a Countywide basis.

QUESTION 7: HOW WILL THE PROPOSED SUMMARY PLAN BE APPROVED AND ADOPTED?

ANSWER: The Draft Summary Plan was released for public review on March 11, 1996, and has been submitted to all 88 cities in the County; the Task Force; appropriate regional, State, and Federal governmental agencies; adjacent counties; and the public for review and comments for a 45-day period. Comments on the document will be received through May 1, 1996. Additionally, a series of public information meetings are being conducted during the review period. Upon completion of this review and comment period, and based on comments received (oral and/or written), the final Draft Summary Plan will be prepared in coordination with the Task Force. The final Draft Summary Plan will then be forwarded to the County Board of Supervisors prior to its release to all 88 cities for formal approval. Upon receiving approval from a majority of the cities containing more than half of the population within the 88 cities, the final Draft Summary Plan will be submitted to the County Board of Supervisors for approval. Following this action, the final Summary Plan will be submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB) for approval. Upon approval by the CIWMB, the final Summary Plan becomes effective.

It should be noted that in addition to the public information meetings conducted by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, each city and the County Board of Supervisors will hold additional public hearings prior to formal approval of the Summary Plan.

QUESTION 8: WHAT PROGRAMS ARE PROPOSED IN THE SUMMARY PLAN?

ANSWER: Based on the goals previously discussed, the Summary Plan identifies the following programs for potential implementation and/or enhancement:

- Enhance the existing
 - Countywide Household Hazardous Waste Collection Program
 - Countywide Public Education Program
 - Countywide Backyard Composting Demonstration Program
 - Countywide Christmas tree drop-off program
 - technical assistance programs for businesses and government.

- Develop (Countywide)
 - an on-line computer network service for distributing information to the cities, the County, and the public
 - a directory of solid waste personnel and programs
 - a business recognition program
 - a mobile waste reduction exhibit that could be used at schools and fairs
 - articles that will be sent to cities for publication in local newspapers
 - a program to assist in the coordination of local promotional campaigns by developing standardized messages and facts that could be included in local brochures and programs
 - a referral list of translators for cities to use in preparing multilingual educational materials
 - a program to promote the practice of grasscycling and xeriscaping in the business and public sectors. Grasscycling is the practice of leaving grass clippings on the lawn after it has been mowed, therefore, producing less yard waste. Xeriscaping is the practice of landscaping with drought-tolerant plants that produce less yard waste.

QUESTION 9: WHAT WILL BE THE COUNTY'S AND THE CITIES' RESPONSIBILITIES ONCE THE FINAL SUMMARY PLAN IS APPROVED BY THE CIWMB?

ANSWER: The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works is the agency responsible for the following Summary Plan-related functions: plan administration, public information, budgeting, and implementation of enhancements of existing Countywide programs.

The Cities and the County will have the shared responsibilities in the implementation of new Countywide programs.

QUESTION 10: WHERE CAN WE GET ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR FIND OUT ABOUT THE STATUS OF THE SUMMARY PLAN?

ANSWER: All inquiries should be directed to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
 Environmental Programs Division
 P.O. Box 1460
 Alhambra, CA 91802-1460
 (800) 552-5218, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

APPENDIX N

**MAILING LIST FOR TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT SUMMARY
PLAN AND DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

[This page intentionally left blank]



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

March 4, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Enclosed are the following documents which are being submitted to your organization for a 45-day official review and comment period.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048)
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939), as amended, requires the County to prepare and adopt a Siting Element and a Summary Plan. As such, the documents have been prepared pursuant to Sections 41700-41721.5 and 41570-41571 of the State Public Resources Code and in accordance with Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 18755-18756.7 and 18757-18758.

The Draft Siting Element and the Draft Summary Plan have been prepared by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works. As required by Section 40950 of the California Public Resources Code, the documents have been prepared in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force) and incorporates comments by the Task Force. As a part of this State mandate, the Task Force also developed a number of Countywide goals, policies, and objectives which are listed in the documents. The Task Force membership includes representatives from the California League of Cities, Los Angeles County Division; appointees of the County Board of Supervisors and the City of Los Angeles; and representatives of industries, environmental groups, and the general public. The Task

Force membership was approved by the County Board of Supervisors on July 10, 1990, upon concurrence by the majority of the cities in Los Angeles County containing the majority of the County incorporated population.

As required by State law, the Siting Element addresses the solid waste disposal needs of all 88 cities in Los Angeles County, as well as the unincorporated communities in Los Angeles County, for a 15-year planning period (1996 through 2010). The document describes existing conditions, estimates future disposal needs, and makes recommendations on how to meet these needs on a Countywide basis. The Siting Element also identifies the areas for the location of potential new solid waste disposal facilities and potential expansion of existing solid waste disposal facilities in Los Angeles County. The document also establishes siting criteria for development of needed solid waste disposal facilities and formulates a Finding of Conformance process to determine consistency of proposed new solid waste disposal facilities and/or expansion of existing facilities with the Siting Element.

The Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process, which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements, Household Hazardous Waste Elements, and Nondisposal Facility Elements of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas. The Summary Plan includes Countywide goals, policies, and objectives for coordinating Countywide diversion programs and marketing and disposal strategies. Based on a survey of all jurisdictions in Los Angeles County during summer 1995, the Draft Summary Plan lists existing diversion programs and identifies those programs which may be used by the County and/or the cities in Los Angeles County in achieving waste reduction mandates of AB 939.

The Draft EIR and proposed Negative Declaration were prepared to ensure that potential environmental impacts resulting from approval and implementation of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan are properly addressed.

Upon completion of the 45-day review period and evaluation of the comments received, the Department of Public Works will prepare the final Draft Siting Element, the final Draft Summary Plan, the final EIR for the Siting Element, and Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan. The final Drafts of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan will then be submitted to the cities in Los Angeles County for a 90-day approval period. Upon receiving approval by a majority of the cities containing a majority of the incorporated population in Los Angeles County, the documents must be adopted by the County Board of Supervisors prior to their submittal to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for approval.

March 4, 1996
Page 3

Public involvement in the solid waste management planning process is extremely important to the proper development of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan. As such, copies of the documents are being distributed to all cities, governmental agencies, and interested groups. We have also provided copies of the documents to libraries throughout the County, as well as making them available at the Department's Headquarters Building. Additionally, public information meetings have been scheduled throughout the County to solicit written and/or oral testimonies on these documents.

I hope that this information will enable you to expedite this most important review of the documents on behalf of your organization, and I earnestly solicit your cooperation in completing your review within the minimum possible time, but not later than May 1, 1996. Please direct your written response to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Should you have any questions regarding the above matter, please contact Mr. Michael Mohajer, at (818) 458-3502, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works



DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MA:mv
P:CSE.EIR\spcl.int

Enc.

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

LYNN PLAMBECK
LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES SAVE
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES
23942 LYONS AVE SUITE 103-353
NEWHALL CA 91321-2444

MR JOHN ADAMS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ATTENTION MARIBEL MARIN
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
6310 SAN VICENTE BLVD SUITE 250
LOS ANGELES CA 90048-5426

PASADENA AUDOBON SOCIETY
EATON CANYON NATURE CENTER
1750 N ALTADENA DR
PASADENA CA 91107-1046

MR FRED KRUPP EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ATTENTION PAMELA FRANZ OFFICE MGR
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND
5655 COLLEGE AVE STE 304
OAKLAND CA 94618-1583

LYLE TALBOT
HICAP
641 WEST AVE J SUITE 355
LANCASTER CA 93534-3500

ALISON FULLER
LWV REGIONAL TASK FORCE
1959 MEADOWBROOK ROAD
ALTADENA, CA 91001-3403

SIERRA CLUB
3345 WILSHIRE BLVD # 508
LOS ANGELES CA 90010-1816

LADAWNA HOWARD PUBLIC OUTREACH DIR
GREEN PEACE -LOS ANGELES
3767 OVERLAND AVE #114
LOS ANGELES CA 90034

JOSEPH T EDMISTON EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS CONSERVANC
SOLSTICE CANYON PARK
3700 SOLSTICE CANYON ROAD
MALIBU CA 90265-2901

BETSEY LANDIS VICE PRESIDENT
MANDEVILLE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
3908 MANDEVILLE CANYON
LOS ANGELES CA 90049-1030

MARY EDWARDS SECRETARY
NORTH VALLEY COALITION
12841 JIMENO AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344-1406

MARK MURRAY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIANS AGAINST WASTE
926 J ST STE 606
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-2707

MR JEFF YANN
HACIENDA HEIGHTS IMPROVEMENTS ASSOC
P O BOX 5235
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745-0236



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

March 4, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND THE SUMMARY PLAN OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Enclosed are the following documents which this Department requests be made available at your reference desk for a 45-day public review period. The official review and comment period will end May 1, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048)
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Public involvement in the solid waste management planning process is extremely important to the proper development of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan. Additionally, public information meetings have been scheduled throughout the County to solicit written and/or oral testimonies on these documents. Your assistance in providing the public with access to the subject documents at your facility would be greatly appreciated.

Written responses may be directed to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
Attention David M. Smith
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460


March 5, 1996

Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding the above matter, please contact Mr. David Smith, at (818) 458-3561, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works



FRED M. RUBIN
Assistant Deputy Director
Environmental Programs Division

MA:mv
P:CSE.EIR\LBRIES

Enc.

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

ALONDRA LIBRARY
11949 ALONDRA BL
NORWALK CA 90650-7108

ANGELES MESA BRANCH
2700 W 52ND ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90043-1999

ARROYO SECO REGIONAL
6145 N FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042-3593

ARTESIA LIBRARY
18722 S CLARKDALE AVE
ARTESIA CA 90701-5817

ASCOT LIBRARY
256 W 70TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90003-1831

ATWATER VILLAGE LIBRARY
3379 GLENDALE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90039-1846

AVALON LIBRARY
PO BOX 585
AVALON CA 90704-0585

BALDWIN HILLS LIBRARY
2906 S LA BREA
LOS ANGELES CA 90016-3902

BALDWIN PARK
4181 BALDWIN PARK BL
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706-6708

BELL LIBRARY
4411 GAGE AVE
BELL CA 90201-1216

BELL GARDENS LIBRARY
7110 S GARFIELD AVE
BELL GARDENS CA 90201-3243

BENJAMIN FRANKLIN LIBRARY
2200 E 1ST ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90033-3997

BIBLIOTECA DEL PUEBLO DE LINCOLN HEIGHTS
2217 N BROADWAY
LOS ANGELES 90031-2202

AC BILBREW LIBRARY
150 E EL SEGUNDO BL
LOS ANGELES 90061-2356

BRAKENSIEK LIBRARY
9945 E FLOWER ST
BELLFLOWER 90706-5486

DONALD BRUCE KAUFMAN
BRENTWOOD LIBRARY
11820 SAN VICENTE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90049-5055

CAHUENGA LIBRARY
4591 SANTA MONICA BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90029

CANOGA PARK LIBRARY
7260 OWENSMOUTH AVE
CANOGA PARK CA 91303-1529

CANYON COUNTRY LIBRARY
18536 SOLEDAD CANYON RD
CANYON COUNTRY CA 91351-3703

CARSON LIBRARY
151 EAST CARSON ST
CARSON CA 90745-2797

CENTRAL LIBRARY
630 W 5TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-2097

CHARTER OAK LIBRARY
20540-K E ARROW HIGHWAY
CHARTER OAK CA 91724

CHATSWORTH LIBRARY
21052 DEVONSHIRE ST
CHATSWORTH CA 91311-2936

CHINATOWN LIBRARY
536 W COLLEGE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-2316

CITY TERRACE LIBRARY
4025 CITY TERRACE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90063-1297

CLAREMONT LIBRARY
208 N HARVARD AVE
CLAREMONT CA 91711-4758

COMPTON LIBRARY
240 W COMPTON BL
COMPTON CA 90220-3109

CUDAHY LIBRARY
5218 SANTA ANA ST
CUDAHY CA 90201-6098

CULVER CITY LIBRARY
4975 OVERLAND AVE
CULVER CITY CA 90230-4299

CYPRESS PARK LIBRARY
3320 PEPPER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065-1605

DIAMOND BAR LIBRARY
1061 S GRAND AVE
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-2299

DUARTE LIBRARY
1301 BUENA VISTA AVE
DUARTE CA 91010-2410

EAGLE ROCK LIBRARY
5027 CASPAR AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90041-1901

EAST LOS ANGELES
4801 E 3RD ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90022-1601

EAST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ
4205 E COMPTON BL
E RANCHO DOMINGUEZ CA 90221

ECHO PARK LIBRARY
515 N LAVETA TER
ECHO PARK CA 90026-5017

EL CAMINO REAL LIBRARY
4264 WHITTIER BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90023-2036

EL MONTE LIBRARY
3224 N TYLER AVE
EL MONTE CA 91731-3356

EL SERENO LIBRARY
4990 S HUNTINGTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90032-1645

ENCINO-TARZANA LIBRARY
18231 VENTURA BL
LOS ANGELES CA 91356

EXPOSITION PARK REGIONAL
3665 S VERMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90007-3946

FAIRFAX LIBRARY
161 S GARDNER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90036-2717

FELIPE DE NEVE LIBRARY
610 S RAMPART BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90057-3204

FLORENCE LIBRARY
1610 E FLORENCE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90001-2522

FRANCES HOWARD GOLDWYH
1623 N IVAR AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90028-6305

GARDENA LIBRARY
1731 W GARDENA BL
GARDENA CA 90247-4776

GRAHAM LIBRARY
1900 E FIRESTONE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90002-4126

GRANADA HILLS LIBRARY
10640 PETIT AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344-6452

HACIENDA HEIGHTS LIBRARY
16010 LA MONDE ST
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745-4299

HAWAIIAN GARDENS LIBRARY
12100 E CARSON
HAWAIIAN GARDENS CA 90716-1108

HAWTHORNE LIBRARY
12700 S GREVILLEA AVE
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4396

HERMOSA BEACH LIBRARY
550 PIER AVE
HERMOSA BEACH CA 90254-3892

CHET HOLLIFIELD LIBRARY
1060 S GREENWOOD AVE
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-6032

HOLLYDALE LIBRARY
12000 S GARFIELD AVE
SOUTH GATE CA 90280-7823

HUNTINGTON PARK LIBRARY
6518 MILES AVE
HUNTINGTON PARK CA 90255-4388

HYDE PARK LIBRARY
6527 CRENSHAW BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90043-4197

ANGELO M IACOBINI
4990 CLARK AVE
LAKEWOOD CA 90712-2676

JEFFERSON LIBRARY
2211 WEST JEFFERSON BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90018-3798

JOHN C FREMONT LIBRARY
736 NORTH LA BREA AVE
HOLLYWOOD CA 90038-3339

JOHN MUIR LIBRARY
6001 S VERMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90044-3715

JUNIPERO SERRA LIBRARY
4301 S FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90037-1601

LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
4545 OAKWOOD AVE
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91011-3309

LA CRESCENTA LIBRARY
4521 LA CRESCENTA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91214-2999

PALMDALE LIBRARY
700 E PALMDALE BL
PALMDALE CA 93550-4795

LA MIRADA LIBRARY
13800 LA MIRADA BL
LA MIRADA CA 90638-3098

LA PUENTE LIBRARY
15920 CENTRAL AVE
LA PUENTE CA 91744-5499

LA VERNE LIBRARY
3640 D ST
LA VERNE CA 91750-3572

LAS VIRGENES LIBRARY
29130 WEST RDSIDE DR
AGOURA HILLS CA 91301-4599

LANCASTER LIBRARY
1150 W AVE J
LANCASTER CA 93534-3398

LAWNDALE LIBRARY
14615 BURIN AVE
LAWNDALE CA 90260-1431

LENNOX LIBRARY
4359 LENNOX BL
LENNOX CA 90304-2398

LITTLE ROCK LIBRARY
8135 PEARBLOSSOM HWY
LITTLE ROCK CA 93543-3049

LITTLE TOKYO LIBRARY
244 S ALAMEDA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90013

LIVE OAK LIBRARY
4153 E LIVE OAK AVE
ARCADIA CA 91006-5895

LOMITA LIBRARY
24200 NARBONNE AVE
LOMITA CA 90717-1188

LOS NIETOS LIBRARY
11644 SLAUSON AVE
WHITTIER CA 90606-3396

LOYOLA VILLAGE LIBRARY
7114 W MANCHESTER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90045-3581

LYNWOOD LIBRARY
11320 BULLIS RD
LYNWOOD CA 90262-3661

MALABAR BRANCH LIBRARY
2801 WABASH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90033-2604

MALIBU LIBRARY
23519 W CIVIC CENTR WAY
MALIBU CA 90265-4804

MANHATTAN BEACH LIBRARY
1320 HIGHLAND AVE
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266-4789

MARINA DEL REY LIBRARY
4533 ADMIRALTY WAY
MARINA DEL REY CA 90292-5416

MARK TWAIN LIBRARY
9621 S FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90044-3928

MAR VISTA LIBRARY
12006 VENICE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90066-3810

MAYWOOD CHAVEZ
4323 SLAUSON AVE
MAYWOOD CA 90270-2872

MEMORIAL LIBRARY
4801 W WILSHIRE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90010-3810

MONTEBELLO LIBRARY
1550 WEST BEVERLY BL
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-3993

NEWHALL LIBRARY
22704 WEST 9TH ST
NEWHALL CA 91321-2804

NORTH HOLLYWOOD REGIONAL
5211 TUJUNGA AVE
N HOLLYWOOD CA 91601-3179

NORTHRIDGE LIBRARY
9051 DARBY AVE
NORTHRIDGE CA 91325-2743

NORWALK LIBRARY
12350 IMPERIAL HIGHWAY
NORWALK CA 90650-3199

NORWOOD LIBRARY
4550 N PECK RD
EL MONTE CA 91732-1998

GEORGE NYE LIBRARY
6600 DEL AMO BL
LAKEWOOD CA 90713-2206

PACOIMA LIBRARY
13605 VAN NUYS BL
PACOIMA CA 91331-3697

PALISADES LIBRARY
861 ALMA REAL DR
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272-3797

PALMS-RANCHO PARK
2920 OVERLAND AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90064-4299

PANORAMA CITY LIBRARY
14345 ROSCOE BL
PANORAMA CITY CA 91402-4297

PARAMOUNT LIBRARY
16254 COLORADO AVE
PARAMOUNT CA 90723-5085

PICO RIVERA LIBRARY
9001 MINES AVE
PICO RIVERA CA 90660-3058

PLATT LIBRARY
23600 VICTORY BL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367-1349

PORTER RANCH
11371 TAMPA AVE
PORTER RANCH CA

QUARTZ HILL
42018 50TH ST W
QUARTZ HILL CA 93536-3509

ANTHONY QUINN LIBRARY
3965 CEASAR CHAVEZ AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90063

RIVERA LIBRARY
7828 SERAPIS AVE
PICO RIVERA CA 90660

ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON
803 SPENCE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90023-1727

ROBERTSON LIBRARY
1719 S ROBERTSON BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90035-4315

ROSEMEAD LIBRARY
8800 VALLEY BL
ROSEMEAD CA 91770-1788

ROWLAND HEIGHTS LIBRARY
1850 NOGALES ST
ROWLAND HGTS CA 91748-2945

SAN DIMAS LIBRARY
145 NORTH WALNUT AVE
SAN DIMAS CA 91773-2603

SAN GABRIEL LIBRARY
500 DEL MAR AVE
SAN GABRIEL CA 91776-2408

SAN FERNANDO LIBRARY
1050 LIBRARY ST
SAN FERNANDO CA 91340-2350

SAN PEDRO REGIONAL
931 S GAFFEY
SAN PEDRO CA 90731-3679

MASAD W SATOW LIBRARY
14433 S CRENSHAW BL
GARDENA CA 90249-3142

SHERMAN OAKS LIBRARY
14245 MOORPARK ST
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423-2776

SOUTH EL MONTE LIBRARY
1430 CENTRAL AVE
S EL MONTE CA 91733-3302

SOUTH WHITTIER LIBRARY
14433 LEFFINGWELL RD
WHITTIER CA 90604-2966

STUDIO CITY LIBRARY
4400 BABCOCK AVE
STUDIO CITY CA 91604-1301

SUNKIST LIBRARY
840 PUENTE AVE
LA PUENTE CA 91746-1316

SUNLAND-TUJUNGA LIBRARY
7771 FOOTHILL BL
TUJUNGA CA 91042-2197

SUN VALLEY LIBRARY
7935 VINELAND AVE
SUN VALLEY CA 91352-4498

SYLMAR LIBRARY
13059 GLENOAKS BL
LOS ANGELES CA 91342-3924

TEMPLE CITY LIBRARY
5939 GOLDEN WEST AVE
TEMPLE CITY CA 91780-2292

VALENCIA LIBRARY
23743 VALENCIA BL
VALENCIA CA 91355-2191

VALLEY PLAZA LIBRARY
12311 VANOWEN ST
N HOLLYWOOD CA 91605-5624

VAN NUYS LIBRARY
6250 SLYMAR AVE
VAN NUYS CA 91401-2787

VENICE LIBRARY
501 S VENICE BLVD
VENICE CA 90291

VERMONT SQUARE LIBRARY
5401 S FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90037-3760

VERNON BRANCH LIBRARY
4504 S CENTRAL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90011-3631

VICTORIA PARK LIBRARY
17906 S AVALON BL
CARSON CA 90746-1501

VIEW PARK LIBRARY
3854 WEST 54TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90043-2297

WALNUT LIBRARY
21155 LA PUENTE RD
WALNUT CA 91789-2017

WASHINGTON IRVING
1803 ARLINGTON AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90019-6299

WATTS LIBRARY
1501 EAST 103RD ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90002-3308

LELAND R WEAVER LIBRARY
4035 TWEEDY BL
SOUTH GATE 90280-6199

WEST COVINA REGIONAL
1601 WEST COVINA PARKWAY
WEST COVINA CA 91790-2705

WEST HOLLYWOOD LIBRARY
715 N SAN VINCENTE BL
W HOLLYWOOD CA 90069-5020

WESTLAKE VILLAGE
4371 PARK TERRACE DR
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361-4641

WEST LOS ANGELES REGIONAL
11360 SANTA MONICA BL
W LOS ANGELES CA 90025-3172

WEST VALLEY REGIONAL
19036 VANOWEN ST
RESEDA CA 91335-5198

WILL & ARIEL DURANT
1403 NORTH GARDNER
LOS ANGELES CA 90046-8531

WILLOWBROOK LIBRARY
11838 WILMINGTON AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90059-3016

WILMINGTON LIBRARY
1300 NORTH AVALON BL
WILMINGTON CA 90744-2639

WILSHIRE LIBRARY
316 NORTH WESTERN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90004-2615

WISEBURN LIBRARY
5335 WEST 135TH ST
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4945

WOODCREST LIBRARY
1340 WEST 106TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90044-1626

WOODLAND HILLS LIBRARY
22200 VENTURA BL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364-1517

CALABASAS LIBRARY
23654 CALABASAS RD
LOS ANGELES CA 91302-1502

LAKE LOS ANGELES
16921 EAST AVE O # A
PALMDALE CA 93550

LA COUNTY AND LA CITY LIBRARIES



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

March 4, 1996

FIELD (Name)
FIELD (Company)
FIELD (Address)
FIELD (City State Zip)

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD (Salutation)

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Enclosed are the following documents which are being submitted to your organization for a 45-day official review and comment period.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048)
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939), as amended, requires the County to prepare and adopt a Siting Element and a Summary Plan. As such, the documents have been prepared pursuant to Sections 41700-41721.5 and 41570-41571 of the State Public Resources Code and in accordance with Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 18755-18756.7 and 18757-18758.

The Draft Siting Element and the Draft Summary Plan have been prepared by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works. As required by Section 40950 of the California Public Resources Code, the documents have been prepared in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force) and incorporates comments by the Task Force. As a part of this State mandate, the Task Force also developed a number of Countywide goals, policies, and objectives which are listed in the documents. The Task Force membership includes representatives from the California League of Cities, Los Angeles County Division; appointees of the County Board of Supervisors and the City of Los Angeles; and representatives of industries, environmental groups, and the general public.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

March 4, 1996

Page 2

As required by State law, the Siting Element addresses the solid waste disposal needs of all 88 cities in Los Angeles County, as well as the unincorporated communities in Los Angeles County, for a 15-year planning period (1996 through 2010). The document describes existing conditions, estimates future disposal needs, and makes recommendations on how to meet these needs on a Countywide basis. The Siting Element also identifies the areas for the location of potential new solid waste disposal facilities and potential expansion of existing solid waste disposal facilities in Los Angeles County. The document also establishes siting criteria for development of needed solid waste disposal facilities and formulates a Finding of Conformance process to determine consistency of proposed new solid waste disposal facilities and/or expansion of existing facilities with the Siting Element.

The Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process, which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements, Household Hazardous Waste Elements, and Nondisposal Facility Elements of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas. The Summary Plan includes Countywide goals, policies, and objectives for coordinating Countywide diversion programs and marketing and disposal strategies. Based on a survey of all jurisdictions in Los Angeles County during summer 1995, the Draft Summary Plan lists existing diversion programs and identifies those programs which may be used by the County and/or the cities in Los Angeles County in achieving waste reduction mandates of AB 939.

The Draft EIR and proposed Negative Declaration were prepared to ensure that potential environmental impacts resulting from approval and implementation of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan are properly addressed.

Upon completion of the 45-day review period and evaluation of the comments received, the Department of Public Works will prepare the final Draft Siting Element, the final Draft Summary Plan, the final EIR for the Siting Element, and Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan. The final Drafts of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan will then be submitted to the cities in Los Angeles County for a 90-day approval period. Upon receiving approval by a majority of the cities containing a majority of the incorporated population in Los Angeles County, the documents must be adopted by the County Board of Supervisors prior to their submittal to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for approval.

I hope that this information will enable you to expedite this most important review of the documents on behalf of your organization, and I earnestly solicit your cooperation in completing your review within the minimum possible time, but not later than May 1, 1996.

March 4, 1996
Page 3


Please direct your written response to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Should you have any questions regarding the above matter, please contact Mr. Michael Mohajer, at (818) 458-3502, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works



DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MA:mv
P:CSE.EIR\othr.agy

Enc.

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

ROBERT A NELSON DIRECTOR
RIVERSIDE CITY WASTE RESOURCES
MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS
1995 MARKET ST
RIVERSIDE CA 92501

JERRY NEWCOMBE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR
WASTE SYSTEMS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
222 W HOSPITALITY LANE 2ND FLR
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415-0017

KAY MARTIN
DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COUNTY OF VENTURA
5275 COLT ST STE 1
VENTURA CA 93003

DAPHNE WASHINGTON DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF KERN WASTE MGMT DEPT
2700 M ST
BAKERSFIELD CA 92301

MURRY CABLE DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF ORANGE INT WASTE MGMT
320 N FLOWER STE 400
SANTA ANA CA 92703

CHARLES W. CARRY
CHIEF ENGINEER AND GENERAL MANAGER
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES C
PO BOX 4998
WHITTIER CA 90607-4178

JIM LENTS
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MGMT DISTRICT
21865 COPLEY DR
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-4178

JAMES HARTL DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
320 W TEMPLE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

RICHARD HANSON DIRECTOR
SOLID WASTE MGMT PROGRAM
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF HEALTH SERVICES
2525 CORPORATE PL RM 150
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-7631

DELWIN A BLAGI DIRECTOR
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
BUREAU OF SANITATION
200 N MAIN ST ROOM 1400
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-4166

NICK CONWAY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
234 N EL MOLINO AVE # 202
PASADENA CA 91101-1675

MARK PISANO EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SO CALIFORNIA ASSOC OF GOVT
818 W SEVENTH ST 12TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90017-3435

CASTAIC LAKE WATER AGENCY
23560 LYONS AVE STE 225
SANTA CLARITA CA 91321

MARY LOU JAUREGUY
GENERAL MANAGER
LA PUENTE VALLEY WATER DIST
15825 E MAIN ST
LA PUENTE CA 91744

JIM COLBAUGH GENERAL MANAGER
LAS VIRGENES MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
4232 LAS VIRGENES RD
CALABASAS CA 91302-1994

WILLIAM MC CARLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
LOS ANGELES CITY OF
DEPT OF WATER AND POWER
111 N HOPE ST #1550
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

JOHN WODRASKA GENERAL MANAGER
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICTS
PO BOX 54153
LOS ANGELES CA 90054-0153

CAROL WILLIAMS EXECUTIVE OFFICER
MAIN SAN GABRIEL BASIN WATER MASTERS
425 E HUNTINGTON DR #200
MONROVIA CA 91016

BOB L STALLINGS GENERAL MANAGER
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
PO BOX 1299
AZUSA CA 91702

ROBERT G BERLIEN GENERAL MANAGER
UPPER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY
MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
11310 VALLEY BLVD
EL MONTE CA 91731

PHILLIP CROCKER GENERAL MANAGER
SAN GABRIEL COUNTY WATER DISTRICTS
PO BOX 227
SAN GABRIEL CA 91778

STANLEY YARBROUGH
GENERAL MANAGER
VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
14521 RAMONA BLVD
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706

LILLIAN KAWASAKI, GENERAL MANAGER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT
201 N FIGUEROA ST SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

ROBERT GHIRELLI, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD-LOS ANGELES REGION
101 CENTER PLAZA DRIVE
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-2156

HAROLD J SINGER EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD-LAHONTAN REGION
2092 LAKE TAHOE BLVD SUITE 2
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE CA 96150

WALTER G. PETTIT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
P.O. BOX 100
SACRAMENTO CA 95812-0100

PETER DOUGLAS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
45 FREMONT AV STE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-2219

CENTRAL AND WEST BASIN
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O RICHARD A F W A T E R
17140 S AVALON BLVD STE 210
CARSON CA 90746-1218

QUARTZ HILL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MS KAY BROWN
P O BOX 3218
QUARTZ HILL CA 93536

ROWLAND AREA COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR ANTHONY POLI
P O BOX 8460
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748

SANTA CLARITA WATER COMPANY
C/O MR BILL MANETTA
P O BOX B
SAUGUS CA 91350

TOPANGA LAS VIRGENES RESOURCE
CONSERVATION DISTRICT
122 N TOPANGA CANYON BLVD
TOPANGA CA 90290

U S FOREST SERVICE
MR. CHARLES MCDONALD
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
701 N SANTA ANITA AVE
ARCADIA CA 91006

FOOTHILL MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O RONALD C PALMER
P O BOX 686
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91001

GOLDEN VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O CARAVAN INN/RUTH RALPHS
49713 GORMAN POST RD
GORMAN CA 93243

KINNELOA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O GENE BURT
1999 KINCLAIRE
PASADENA CA 91107

LA CANADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O DOUGLAS CAISTER
P O BOX 39
LA CANADA CA 91001

NEWHALL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR JAMES E JINKS
P O BOX 779
NEWHALL CA 91321

ORCHARD DALE COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O GARY DRAPER
13819 E TELEGRAPH RD
WHITTIER CA 90604

PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O MS YVONNE VALASEK
4827 W AVENUE L-2
QUARTZ HILL CA 93534

PALMDALE COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
2005 E AVENUE Q
PALMDALE CA 93550

PARAMOUNT COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O HARRY BABBITT
14600 COLORADO AVE
PARAMOUNT CA 90723

PICO COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR HALL MAUPIN
4843 S CHURCH ST
PICO RIVERA CA 90661



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

March 4, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE. EP-2

FIELD(Name)
FIELD(City of)
FIELD(Address)
FIELD(City, State, Zip)

FIELD(Dear)

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Enclosed are the following documents which are being submitted to your City for a 45-day official review and comment period.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Siting Element, dated January 1996 (SCH No. 95011048)
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939), as amended, requires the County to prepare and adopt a Siting Element and a Summary Plan. As such, the documents have been prepared pursuant to Sections 41700-41721.5 and 41570-41571 of the State Public Resources Code and in accordance with Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, Sections 18755-18756.7 and 18757-18758.

The Draft Siting Element and the Draft Summary Plan have been prepared by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works. As required by Section 40950 of the California Public Resources Code, the documents have been prepared in coordination with the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force) and incorporates comments by the Task Force. As a part of this State mandate, the Task Force also developed a number of Countywide goals, policies, and objectives which are listed in the documents. The Task Force

March 4, 1996

Page 2

membership was approved by the County Board of Supervisors on July 10, 1990, upon concurrence by the majority of the cities of Los Angeles County containing the majority of the County incorporated population.

As required by State law, the Siting Element addresses the solid waste disposal needs of all 88 cities in Los Angeles County, as well as the unincorporated communities in Los Angeles County, for a 15-year planning period (1996 through 2010). The document describes existing conditions, estimates future disposal needs, and makes recommendations on how to meet these needs on a Countywide basis. The Siting Element also identifies the areas for the location of potential new solid waste disposal facilities and potential expansion of existing solid waste disposal facilities in Los Angeles County. The document also establishes siting criteria for development of needed solid waste disposal facilities and formulates a Finding of Conformance process to determine consistency of proposed new solid waste disposal facilities and/or expansion of existing facilities with the Siting Element.

The Summary Plan provides an overview of all the Elements of the Countywide solid waste management planning process, which includes the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements, Household Hazardous Waste Elements, and Nondisposal Facility Elements of the 88 cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated areas. The Summary Plan includes Countywide goals, policies, and objectives for coordinating Countywide diversion programs and marketing and disposal strategies. Based on a survey of all jurisdictions in Los Angeles County during summer 1995, the Draft Summary Plan lists existing diversion programs and identifies those programs which may be used by the County and/or the cities in Los Angeles County in achieving waste reduction mandates of AB 939.

The Draft EIR and proposed Negative Declaration were prepared to ensure that potential environmental impacts resulting from approval and implementation of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan are properly addressed.

Upon completion of the 45-day review period and evaluation of the comments received, the Department of Public Works will prepare the final Draft Siting Element, the final Draft Summary Plan, the final EIR for the Siting Element, and Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan. The final Drafts of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan will then be submitted to the cities in Los Angeles County for a 90-day approval period. Upon receiving approval by a majority of the cities containing a majority of the incorporated population in Los Angeles County, the documents must be adopted by the County Board of Supervisors prior to their submittal to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for approval.

March 4, 1996
Page 3

Public involvement in the solid waste management planning process is extremely important to the proper development of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan. As such, copies of the documents are being distributed to all cities, governmental agencies, interested groups, and libraries throughout the County. We have also enclosed an additional copy of the documents which we request that you make available to the public at the information center in City Hall. Additionally, public information meetings have been scheduled throughout the County to solicit written and/or oral testimonies on these documents. Your City is also encouraged to hold its own review/comment meetings.

I hope that this information will enable you to expedite this most important review of the documents on behalf of your organization, and I earnestly solicit your cooperation in completing your review within the minimum possible time, but not later than May 1, 1996. Please direct your written response to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Should you have any questions regarding the above matter, please contact Mr. Michael Mohajer, at (818) 458-3502, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works



DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MA:mv
P:CSE.EIR\cty.mgr

Enc.

cc: City Clerk
Recycling Coordinator

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

DAVID ADAMS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS
30101 AGOURA CT STE 102
AGOURA HILLS CA 91301-4301

JULIO FUENTES CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ALHAMBRA
111 S FIRST ST
ALHAMBRA CA 91801-3796

WILLIAM R KELLY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ARCADIA
P O BOX 60021
ARCADIA CA 91066-6021

PAUL J PHILLIPS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ARTESIA
18747 CLARKDALE AV
ARTESIA CA 90701-5899

ROBERT F CLARK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF AVALON
209 METROPOLE AV
AVALON CA 90704

HENRY GARCIA CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF AZUSA
213 E FOOTHILL BLVD
AZUSA CA 91702-2514

SID MOUSAVI DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WKS
CITY OF BALDWIN PARK
14403 E PACIFIC AV
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706-4297

ROBERT A RIZZO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF BELL
6330 PINE AV
BELL CA 90201-1291

CHARLE TREVINO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BELL GARDENS
7100 S GARFIELD AV
BELL GARDENS CA 90201-3293

LINDA LOWRY CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF BELLFLOWER
16600 CIVIC CENTER DR
BELLFLOWER CA 90706-5494

MARK SCOTT CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS
450 N CRESCENT DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210-4854

KEENE WILSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BRADBURY
600 WINSTON AV
BRADBURY CA 91010-1199

ROBERT R OVROM CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BURBANK
275 E OLIVE AV
BURBANK CA 91502-1267

CHARLES CATE CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CALABASAS
26135 MUREAU RD
CALABASAS CA 91302-3103

LARRY OLSON CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF CARSON
701 E CARSON ST
CARSON CA 90745-2224

ART GALLUCCI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CERRITOS
P O BOX 3130
CERRITOS CA 90703-3130

GLENN D SOUTHARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CLAREMONT
207 HARVARD AV
CLAREMONT CA 91711-4719

RAUL ROMERO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF COMMERCE
2535 COMMERCE WY
COMMERCE CA 90040-1487

HOWARD CALDWELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF COMPTON
205 S WILLOWBROOK AV
COMPTON CA 90220-3190

MR FRANCIS M DELACH CITY MGR
CITY OF COVINA
125 E COLLEGE ST
COVINA CA 91723-2197

JACK JOSEPH CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CUDAHY
5220 SANTA ANA ST
CUDAHY CA 90201-6097

MRS JODY HALL-ESSER
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF CULVER CITY
9770 CULVER BLVD
CULVER CITY CA 90232-0507

MR TERRY BELANGER CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DIAMOND BAR
21660 E COPLEY DR STE 100
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-4177

GERALD M CATON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DOWNEY
11111 BROOKSHIRE AV
DOWNEY CA 90241-3898

JESSE H DUFF CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DUARTE
1600 HUNTINGTON DR
DUARTE CA 91010-2592

GREGORY D KORDUNER
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF EL MONTE
11333 VALLEY BLVD
EL MONTE CA 91731-3293

JAMES MORRISON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
350 MAIN ST
EL SEGUNDO CA 90245-3895

KENNETH W LANDAU CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GARDENA
1700 W 162ND ST
GARDENA CA 90247-3778

DAVID RAMSAY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GLENDALE
613 E BROADWAY
GLENDALE CA 91206-4308

ARTHUR E COOK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GLENDDORA
116 E FOOTHILL BLVD
GLENDDORA CA 91740-3380

LEONARD CHAIDEZ CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS
21815 PIONEER BLVD
HAWAIIAN GARDENS CA 90716-1299

LEONARD "BUD" CORMIER
ACTING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HAWTHORNE
4455 W 126TH ST
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4482

STEPHEN R BURRELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
1315 VALLEY DR
HERMOSA BEACH CA 90254-3814

CHERIE PAGLIA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS
6165 SPRING VALLEY RD
HIDDEN HILLS CA 91302-1257

DONALD L. JEFFERS
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK
6550 MILES AV
HUNTINGTON PARK CA 90255-4393

MR CHRIS R ROPE CITY MANAGER
CITY OF INDUSTRY
15651 E STAFFORD ST
INDUSTRY CA 91744-3995

PAUL D ECKLES ACTING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF INGLEWOOD
ONE MANCHESTER BLVD
INGLEWOOD CA 90301-1750

DAVID CARETTO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF IRWINDALE
5050 N IRWINDALE AV
IRWINDALE CA 91706-2192

GABRIELLE PRYOR CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
1327 FOOTHILL BLVD
LACANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91011-21

MR LESLIE DOOLITTLE
CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS
1245 N HACIENDA BLVD
LA HABRA HEIGHTS CA 90631-2570

HOWARD L CHAMBERS
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF LAKEWOOD
5050 N CLARK AV
LAKEWOOD CA 90712-2697

GARY SLOAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA MIRADA
13700 LA MIRADA BLVD
LA MIRADA CA 90638-3099

JAMES GILLEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LANCASTER
44933 N FERN AV
LANCASTER CA 93534-2461

ROBERT G GUTIERREZ CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA PUENTE
15900 E MAIN ST
LA PUENTE CA 91744-4788

MARTIN R LOMELI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA VERNE
3660 D ST
LA VERNE CA 91750-3599

WILLIAM WOOLARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LAWNSDALE
14717 BURIN AV
LAWNSDALE CA 90260-1497

WALTER J RITTER
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF LOMITA
24300 NARBONNE AV
LOMITA CA 90717-1198

JAMES C HANKLA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LONG BEACH
333 W OCEAN BLVD
LONG BEACH CA 90802-4664

KEITH COMRIE
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
200 N SPRING ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-4801

FAUSTINE T GONZALES CITY MGR
CITY OF LYNWOOD
11330 BULLIS RD
LYNWOOD CA 90262-3693

DAVID N CARMANY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MALIBU
23555 CIVIC CENTER WY
MALIBU CA 90265-4865

GEOFF DOLAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH
1400 HIGHLAND AV
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266-4795

RONALD LINDSEY
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF MAYWOOD
4319 E SLAUSON AVENUE
MAYWOOD CA 90270-2897

ROD GOULD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MONROVIA
415 S IVY AV
MONROVIA CA 91016-2888

RICHARD TORRES
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF MONTEBELLO
1600 W BEVERLY BLVD
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-3970

MR CHRIS JEFFERS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MONTEREY PARK
320 W NEWMARK AV
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-2896

ERNIE V GARCIA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF NORWALK
12700 NORWALK BLVD
NORWALK CA 90650-3182

ROBERT W TOONE JR
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF PALMDALE
38300 N SIERRA HWY
PALMDALE CA 93550-4798

JAMES B HENDRICKSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES
340 PALOS VERDES DR W
PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274-1299

PATRICK H WEST CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PARAMOUNT
16400 COLORADO AV
PARAMOUNT CA 90723-5050

PHILLIP A HAWKEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PASADENA
100 N GARFIELD AV
PASADENA CA 91101-1782

DENNIS COURTEMARCHE
CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PICO RIVERA
P O BOX 1016
PICO RIVERA CA 90660-3698

SEVERO ESQUIVEL
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF POMONA
505 S GAREY AV
POMONA CA 91766-3320

PAUL D BUSSEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF RANCHO PALOS VERDES
30940 HAWTHORNE BLVD
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90274-5391

WILLIAM E KIRCHHOFF CITY MANAGER
CITY OF REDONDO BEACH
415 DIAMOND ST
REDONDO BEACH CA 90277-2894

CRAIG NEALIS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROLLING HILLS
2 PORTUGUESE BEND ROAD
ROLLING HILLS CA 90274-5199

DOUG PRICHARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES
4045 PALOS VERDES DR N
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES CA 90274-2596

FRANK G TRIPEPI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROSEMEAD
8838 E VALLEY BLVD
ROSEMEAD CA 91770-1787

DONALD L PRUYN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SAN DIMAS
245 E BONITA AV
SAN DIMAS CA 91773-3002

MARY STRENN CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SAN FERNANDO
117 MACNEIL ST
SAN FERNANDO CA 91340-2993

P MICHAEL PAULES
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SAN GABRIEL
532 W MISSION DR
SAN GABRIEL CA 91776-1202

DEBBIE BELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SAN MARINO
2200 HUNTINGTON DR
SAN MARINO CA 91108-2691

GEORGE CARVALHO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
23920 VALENCIA BLVD STE 300
SANTA CLARITA CA 91355-2107

DONALD R POWELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS
11710 TELEGRAPH RD
SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670-3679

JOHN JALILI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA MONICA
1685 MAIN ST
SANTA MONICA CA 90401-3295

MR SEAN JOYCE CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SIERRA MADRE
232 W SIERRA MADRE BLVD
SIERRA MADRE CA 91024-0451

LEN WOOD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SIGNAL HILL
2175 CHERRY AV
SIGNAL HILL CA 90806-3799

RITA HARDIN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH EL MONTE
1415 N SANTA ANITA AV
SOUTH EL MONTE CA 91733-3389

RONALD GEORGE INT CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH GATE
8650 CALIFORNIA AV
SOUTH GATE CA 90280-3075

KEN FARFSING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA
1414 MISSION ST
SOUTH PASADENA CA 91030-3298

HUGH R RILEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF TEMPLE CITY
9701 E LAS TUNAS DR
TEMPLE CITY CA 91780-2249

LEROY J JACKSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF TORRANCE
3031 TORRANCE BLVD
TORRANCE CA 91509-5059

BRUCE V MALKENHORST
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF VERNON
4305 SANTA FE AV
VERNON CA 90058-1786

JEFFREY PARKER CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WALNUT
21201 LA PUENTE RD
WALNUT CA 91789-2018

JAMES STARBIRD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WEST COVINA
P O BOX 1440
WEST COVINA CA 91793-1440

PAUL D BROTZMAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD
8300 SANTA MONICA BLVD
WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90069-4314

RAYMOND B TAYLOR CITY MA. A
CITY OF WESTLAKE VILLAGE
4373 PARK TERRACE
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361- 6

THOMAS G MAUK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WHITTIER
13230 E PENN ST
WHITTIER CA 90602-1772



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

April 18, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD(Name)
FIELD(Title)
City of FIELD(City of)
FIELD(Address)
FIELD(City)

Dear FIELD()Dear:

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the following documents for a 45-day review and comment period. However, due to a number of requests, we are extending the comment period by an additional 45 days. As such, the review/comment period will end on Monday, June 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

[This page intentionally left blank]

V. OPEN DISCUSSION/PUBLIC COMMENT

Ginger Bremberg asked whether further participation by the members of the Task Force is necessary in attending city council and/or Board of Supervisors' meetings regarding the aforementioned documents. Mr. Mohajer stated that these documents were prepared under the auspices of the Task Force and staff would certainly welcome participation by the members if any cities would request further information and/or a presentation regarding the documents.

Mr. Mohajer also stated that once the documents are presented to the Board of Supervisors, staff will request that the Board authorize the Department to release the documents to all cities within the County for the 90-day approval process. Mr. Mohajer reported that the Board cannot take any action on these documents until such time as the documents are approved, individually, by the majority of the cities containing the majority of the incorporated population.

VI. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for March 20, 1997, 1:00 p.m.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:40 p.m.

Mr. Mohajer reported that no additional revisions have been made to Chapter 6. A motion was introduced and approved to accept the chapter as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Motions were then introduced and approved to accept Chapters 7-10 as presented. Motions carried unanimously.

Mr. Mohajer stated that the final draft of the Siting Element will consist of three volumes: Volume I, as reviewed by the Task Force; Volume 2, which discusses public comments and responses, as well as a summary of comments received at the public information meetings and responses; and Volume 3, which consists of written communication staff has had with various outside agencies regarding the document.

IV. CONSIDERATION OF CONCURRENCE WITH THE FINAL DRAFT
LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
SUMMARY PLAN

Mr. Mohajer stated that the very last page of the handout (Section 1.31) was expanded to discuss the process for preparing the document, similar to the criteria as identified for the Siting Element. A motion was introduced and approved to accept the portions of the document up to and including Chapter 1 as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Dave Smith stated that Chapter 2 has been revised to include the comments from the last Task Force meeting. After some discussion regarding Table 2-2, it was determined that Goal #6 will be revised as discussed (Executive Summary as well). A motion was then introduced and approved to accept Chapter 2. Motion carried unanimously.

After a very brief discussion, it was determined that a period should be added at the end of page 3-2. A motion was then introduced and approved to accept Chapter 3. Motion carried unanimously.

Motions were then introduced and approved to accept Chapters 4-6 as presented. Motions carried unanimously.

Mr. Smith stated that the final draft of the Summary Plan will consist of five volumes: Volumes I and II, as reviewed by the Task Force; Volume 3, which discusses public comments and responses; Volume 4, which consists of the Negative Declaration; and Volume 5, which consists of environmental information with responses to comments.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 2:05 p.m.

Mike Mohajer introduced Doug Kramer as a new Task Force member, representing the Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The January 30, 1997 minutes were approved as presented.

III. CONSIDERATION OF CONCURRENCE WITH THE FINAL DRAFT
LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT

Mr. Mohajer stated that staff has prepared the final draft, and copies were distributed last week for the Task Force's review. Mr. Mohajer indicated that several additional revisions have been made to the document since its submittal to the Task Force last week.

Mr. Mohajer reported that Chapter 1, Section 1.22 has been expanded to include background information on the document itself, such as the development of the document, the approval process, public information meeting information, etc. Betsey Landis suggested a revision to Chapter 1, page 1-19, paragraph 2, regarding the description of the responsibilities of the Task Force.

Mr. Mohajer also reported that comments from the last Task Force meeting have been incorporated into Chapter 2.

Discussion ensued regarding further clarification of the shortfall scenarios for in-County disposal capacity on page xx-ii. After much discussion, a motion was introduced to accept the portions of the document up to and including Chapters 1-3 as presented. Motion carried, 10-2.

Mr. Mohajer stated that for Chapter 4, disposal capacity shortfall scenarios have been expanded. After some discussion, a motion was introduced and approved to accept Chapter 4, including the supplemental handout, as presented. Motion carried, 11-1.

Mr. Mohajer reported that no additional revisions have been made to Chapter 5. A motion was introduced and approved to accept the chapter as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
Minutes of February 20, 1997
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ginger Bremberg, Vice Chair
Betsey Landis
Clarence Gieck
Harold Croyts
Al Avoian
John C. McTaggart

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Charles Carry, represented by Steve Maguin
Raymond Holland, represented by Charles Tripp
Mark Finucane, represented by Richard Hanson
Delwin Biagi, represented by Steve Fortune
Ron Deaton, represented by Ted Rogers

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

John Robertson
David Kim
Jim Lents
Ruth Ray

OTHERS PRESENT:

Doug Kramer, ISRI
Grace Chan, CSD
R. K. Brown, LASER
Gil Smith, Government Affairs
Judith Fries, County Counsel
Fred Rubin, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
David M. Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Shari Afshari, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

After a brief discussion, it was determined that staff will reverify the information in Table 2-1, and a statement regarding data submission will be included in the table. A motion was then introduced and approved to accept the document. Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was introduced and approved to accept Chapter 2, with revisions as discussed. Motion carried unanimously.

VI. OPEN DISCUSSION/PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Mohajer reported that Mike Miller, City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation, and alternate to Del Biagi on this Task Force, is retiring from the City. His luncheon will be held on February 20, 1997. Therefore, Mr. Mohajer requested that the next Task Force meeting of February 20, 1997, commence at 1:30 p.m. A motion was so introduced and approved. Motion carried unanimously.

VII. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for February 20, 1997, 1:30 p.m.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 4:50 p.m.

gswp11/TF013097.1

Attach.

C. Review of Various Tables Incorporating New Waste Quantities

Mr. Mohajer stated that for Table 4-2, the preliminary draft indicated waste disposal quantities for the last quarter of 1994 and the first three quarters of 1995. This version of the table now incorporates disposal quantities for the last quarter of 1995. A motion was introduced and approved to accept the document as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Mohajer reported that Table 4-3 has been updated to include waste quantities for 1995. A motion was introduced and approved to accept the document as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Mohajer referred to the Table of Contents, Chapter 5, and indicated that the document identifies alternative technologies discussed in the chapter.

Mr. Mohajer stated that Table 8-1, as requested by the Task Force, discusses out-of-County landfills. Betsey Landis requested that the spelling of the word "existing" be revised. A motion was then introduced and approved to accept the document. Motion carried unanimously.

V. COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN

A. Review of Major Comments Received on the Preliminary Draft

David Smith referred to the packet that was previously mailed to the members/alternates (Attachment A) and discussion ensued regarding comments received on the draft document and staff's responses.

B. Consideration of Chapters 1 and 2

After a brief discussion, a motion was introduced and approved to accept Chapter 1, with revisions as discussed. Motion carried unanimously.

Discussion ensued regarding the word "expedite" in reference to the permitting and development of Elsmere Canyon. A motion was introduced and approved that the word "expedite" will be used consistently throughout the policy document calling for either in-County landfill disposal capacity, out-of-County remote disposal facilities, or transformation technologies. Motion passed unanimously.

A motion was introduced and approved to refine the language regarding land use decisions and watershed boundaries. Motion carried unanimously.

Lynn Harris stated that Towsley Canyon is surrounded by public land, and that there are studies on record indicating that the proposed site is not an environmentally sound proposal. Steve Maguin stated that the Committee is not aware of these reports. Ms. Harris requested that the Task Force postpone action on including Towsley Canyon in the final draft document until such time as the City of Santa Clarita can make copies of these reports available to the Task Force. No motion was introduced.

A motion was introduced and approved to replace the word "maximize" on page 2-4, third bullet, with "facilitate". Motion carried, 12 to 1.

A motion was introduced and approved to replace the word "promote" on page 2-4, Policies to Maximize Utilization of Out-of-County/Remote Disposal Facilities, with "coordinate". Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was introduced and approved to replace the word "credit" on page 2-5, second bullet, with "economic incentive". Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was introduced and approved to insert the words "where appropriate" after "will require" in all bullets. Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was introduced and approved to accept Chapter 2, as presented and with subsequent revisions as discussed. Motion carried unanimously.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 1:01 p.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Under Item IV, second paragraph, Betsey Landis requested that "feet" be inserted in describing maximum elevation above mean sea level. The November 21, 1996 minutes were then approved as amended.

III. PRESENTATION ON THE CITY OF SANTA CLARITA'S REPORT, "APPROACHING AN INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA" BY LYNN HARRIS, CITY OF SANTA CLARITA

Lynn Harris, Deputy City Manager, introduced Paul Brotzman and Frank Bernheisel, who addressed the Task Force regarding the above-mentioned report, followed by a question and answer period. Audio is available upon request.

IV. COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT

A. Review of Major Comments Received on the Preliminary Draft

Mike Mohajer referred to the packet that was previously mailed to the members/alternates (Attachment A) and discussion ensued regarding comments received on the draft document and staff's responses. Mr. Mohajer indicated that all written and oral comments received will be included in the Final Draft.

B. Consideration of Chapter 2, "Goals and Policies" of the Final Draft

Kerry Morford, City of Glendale, addressed the members of the Task Force, and suggested a revision to page 2-3, third bullet, item 3, to read, "the full development (owner-authorized utilization) of available or potentially available capacity at Scholl Canyon Landfill."

Ora Lampman, City of Burbank, addressed the members of the Task Force, and agreed with the above-mentioned revision. Mr. Lampman also advised the Task Force of the City's recycling program and land disposal facility.

Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force
Minutes of January 30, 1997
Page 2

OTHERS PRESENT: (cont.)

Paul Manasjan, City of Vernon
Grace Chan, County Sanitation Districts
Terri Gray, CIWMB
Jim Kuhl, City of Long Beach
William Thompson, SCAQMD
Judith Fries, County Counsel
Harry Stone, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
James Noyes, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Donald Wolfe, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Jack Michael, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
David M. Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Shari Afshari, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

JOINT MEETING OF THE
LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
AND THE PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
Minutes of January 30, 1997
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ginger Bremberg, Vice Chair
Betsey Landis
Clarence Gieck
Harold Croyts
Al Avoian
John C. McTaggart
John Robertson

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Charles Carry, represented by Steve Maguin
Raymond Holland, represented by Charles Tripp
Mark Finucane, represented by Richard Hanson
Delwin Biagi, represented by Drew Sones
Ray Alpert, represented by Joe Massey

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

David Kim
Jim Lents
Ruth Ray
Ron Deaton

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Lynn Harris, City of Santa Clarita
Mike Miller, City of West Covina

OTHERS PRESENT:

Walter P. Coombs, County Commission Local Government Services
Marsha McLean, Task Force Alternate
Charles Caspary, Atlas Transport. Inc.
Don Williams, City of Santa Clarita
Frank Bernheisel, GBB
Ora Lampman, City of Burbank
Kerry Morford, City of Glendale
Wes Lind, City of Maywood
Paul Ryan, PFRA
Richard King, City of Commerce
Frank Kortum, LASER
Gil Smith, City of Carson

In conclusion, Mr. Mohajer stated that all public comments will be addressed in the final draft of the Siting Element, which will be prepared by December 1996.

V. PRESENTATION BY LYLE TALBOT AND JANE WILLIAMS ON SEWAGE SLUDGE MANAGEMENT

Mr. Lyle Talbot and Ms. Jane Williams, of High Desert Citizens Against Pollution, gave presentations to the Task Force regarding sewage sludge management. Audio is available upon request.

VI. PRESENTATION ON LANDFILL TECHNIQUES, BALING, BY D. CHRISTMAN

Mr. D. Christman gave a presentation to the members of the Task Force. Audio is available upon request.

VII. OPEN DISCUSSION

Clarence Gieck gave a brief update on the formation of a new Air Pollution Control District in the Antelope Valley.

Dave Smith reported that the Department's contractor for the Curbside Recycling Program recently completed its public education outreach activities, and stated that the computerized kiosk, featuring the Recycle & Win game, is now on display in the HQ lobby.

Mr. Mohajer reported that a representative from Edison Company will give a presentation on transformation processes at the next meeting of the Task Force.

VIII. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for November 21, 1996, 1:00 p.m.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:12 p.m.

gswp11/TF101796.1

Attach.

county. Disposal capacity, under State law, is defined as that capacity available for solid waste disposal through landfilling and transformation facilities, which includes incineration, waste-to-energy, etc. Under State law, composting has been excluded.

Mr. Mohajer reported that the Summary Plan, another document that must be prepared by counties, must summarize all the waste diversion programs that have been identified by all jurisdictions within in each county.

Mr. Mohajer stated that these documents have been prepared and submitted for public review during March of 1996. The 45-day review period was subsequently extended by an additional 45-day period as requested by various cities. Then, the second 45-day review period was further extended by 120-days, as requested by the City of Los Angeles. Altogether, both documents have been out for public review for 210 days, today being the last day of the last extension period.

Mr. Mohajer reported that the U.S. Congress enacted legislation last Thursday which prohibits the U.S. Forest from transferring properties within the Angeles National Forest for the purpose of landfilling. A major portion of the proposed Elsmere Canyon Landfill footprint is located in the Angeles National Forest area. Based on this action, the design of the landfill as completed prior to this enactment, must be revised. However, the enactment of this legislation does not mean that Elsmere Canyon Landfill is being eliminated from consideration, nor excluded from the Siting Element, but it does mean that the capacity of the proposed landfill will be revised. Mr. Mohajer also reported that the applicant for the proposed landfill has filed a valid request with the County Department of Regional Planning for redesign of the proposed project, and the project proponent's position is that the project is still very much alive.

Mr. Mohajer stated that in reference to the actions of Congress, Supervisor Antonovich made a motion that directs the Department of Public Works, along with the Task Force, to work together to move forward with identifying and looking for alternative technologies to include rail-haul as well as expansion of existing facilities in this County (copy attached). Mr. Mohajer then distributed copies of the Department's response to Supervisor Antonovich's motion (copy attached).

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The September 19, 1996 minutes were approved as presented.

Mike Mohajer introduced Dr. John Robertson, recently appointed to the Task Force by the League of California Cities.

III. REPORT OF CLOSURE OF LANDFILLS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Mr. Mohajer reported that BKK Landfill closed on September 14, 1996, Lopez Canyon closed during July of 1996, and Azusa Landfill no longer accepts organic waste as of October 3, 1996, however, the facility continues to operate as an inert waste landfill.

Mr. Mohajer stated that Puente Hills Landfill is operating at maximum daily capacity and is accepting approximately 13,000 tons of waste per day. Spadra Landfill has a 15,000 tons per week limit, and the facility is closing each day between 1:30 and 3:00 p.m. Azusa, while accepting organic waste, was accepting up to 2,500 tons of waste per day, now that waste is being landfilled elsewhere. Sunshine Canyon has been operating since August 1996, and is receiving approximately 3,500 tons of waste per day. Bradley West has recently been receiving approximately 7,000 tons of waste per day.

Mr. Mohajer also stated that Western Waste has reported that approximately 1,000 tons per day of Los Angeles County waste is shipped to Orange County landfills. Additionally, waste from Orange County is shipped to Riverside County, due to reduced tipping fees.

Mr. Mohajer reported that, from a mathematical stand point, daily permitted disposal capacity will be available in Los Angeles County until the end of the century.

IV. STATUS REPORT, COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN

Mr. Mohajer stated that under State law, all counties are required to prepare a Countywide Siting Element, which must address 15 years of disposal capacity for the unincorporated areas, as well as all cities within each

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
Minutes of October 17, 1996
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ginger Bremberg, Vice Chair
Betsey Landis
Clarence Gieck
John C. McTaggart
Harold Croyts
John Robertson
Ruth Ray

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Raymond Holland, represented by Charles Tripp
Mark Finucane, represented by Connie Rocke
Charles Carry, represented by Don Nellor
Delwin Biagi, represented by Drew Sones
Ron Deaton, represented by Ted Rogers

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Al Avoian
David Kim
Jim Lents
Ray Alpert

OTHERS PRESENT:

Vic Hochee, P.F. Ryan & Assoc.
Kim Jaker, H. West Equipment
R. Lyle Talbot, High Desert Citizens Against Pollution
Jane Williams, High Desert Citizens Against Pollution
Richard King, City of Commerce
Frank Meneses, Los Angeles County Regional Planning
Marsha McLean, Task Force Alternate
Susan Crumly, City of Covina
Mark Harmon, City of Claremont
Paul Manasjan, City of Vernon
David M. Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

- ◇ Revise last paragraph of Chapter 10 to discuss impacts of the planning process and that the process does not in and of itself allow for the development of any facility.

IV. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for May 16, 1996, 1:00 p.m.

V. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:21 p.m.

gswp11/TF041796.2

Siting Element

- ◇ Revise text to read, "the documents were prepared by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works in coordination with the Task Force."
- ◇ Suggest that the County/cities work toward promoting State legislation or introduce legislation which would promote development of waste-to-energy by giving more credits to kilowatt hours.
- ◇ Goal 2.4.4., page 2-5, under policies, strengthen the language for more active participation by the County.
- ◇ Include a chart similar to Table ES-4 for out-of-County landfills in Chapter 8.
- ◇ Include a footnote and/or parenthetical expression concerning the date certain of closure of Lopez Canyon in Table ES-4.
- ◇ Expand scenarios in Chapter 4 to consider out-of-County disposal sites and waste-by-rail.

Summary Plan

- ◇ Revise the definition of the Summary Plan.
- ◇ Revise the Goals, Policies, and Objectives to include stronger language regarding the promotion/coordination of waste reduction/diversion programs.
- ◇ Revise wording and technical data to be consistent with the data in the Siting Element where applicable.

EIR

- ◇ Revise Table ES-4 on page ES-10 to address the issue of geological problems and seismicity.
- ◇ Revise maps on page 5-3 with regard to coastal lowlands.
- ◇ Revise and update page 4-3 fault map.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 10:07 a.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The March 21, 1996 minutes were approved as presented.

Mike Mohajer introduced Marsha McLean, recently appointed to the Task Force by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, as the alternate for Betsey Landis.

III. REVIEW OF THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN, REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE SITING ELEMENT, AND REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN

Mike Mohajer: The Countywide Siting Element, Madam Chair, as you know it, the document was prepared, reviewed, and commented on by the Plan Review Subcommittee, as instructed by this Task Force. The Plan Review Subcommittee reviewed the whole document, chapter by chapter, as prepared by staff beginning last year. Therefore, the document before you is what was reviewed by the Subcommittee before it went out on the street. Because State law requires the Task Force to review the document and submit comments, we have gone one step further. We prepared the document up front with the input from the Task Force. However, we're making sure that we bring it before this body, again, to see if there are additional comments that this body wants to provide that goes in the public documents before we prepare the final.

Ginger Bremberg: Is there anyone? Al, you're the Chair of the Plan Review Subcommittee. Is there anything you would like to add to what Mike said?

At this point, considerable discussion was held regarding various issues with each of the documents. The following is a paraphrasing of the final revisions requested and comments. Detailed audio is available for review upon request.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
Minutes of April 17, 1996
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ginger Bremberg, Vice Chair
Al Avoian
Betsey Landis
Clarence Gieck
John C. McTaggart
Harold Croyts

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Mark Finucane, represented by Arlene Block
Charles Carry, represented by Don Nellor
Ron Deaton, represented by Ted Rogers
Delwin Biagi, represented by Drew Sones
Margaret Clark, represented by Tom Breazeal
Raymond Holland, represented by Charles Tripp

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

David Kim
Jim Lents
Ray Alpert
Ruth Ray

OTHERS PRESENT:

Marsha McLean, Task Force alternate
Jeff Kolin, Plan Review Subcommittee member
Mike Miller, Plan Review Subcommittee member
Cathy Groehiert, Department of Health Services
Paul Manasjan, City of Vernon
Ron Soldana, CDA
Vic Hochee, P.F. Ryan & Assoc. & Ad Hoc Committee
David M. Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Carlos Ruiz, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

VIII. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for April 17,
1996, 10:00 a.m.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:32 p.m.

gswp11/TF032196.1

Attach.

Total disposal quantity reported for 1990 was 15,912,000 tons. However, total disposal quantities for all jurisdictions, as reported in the submitted Source Reduction and Recycling Elements, during 1990 was approximately 10-11 million tons, signifying an approximate 30% error. Mr. Mohajer stated that this base year discrepancy is a major issue, therefore, a committee has been formed by the California Integrated Waste Management Board to address this concern.

Hal Croyts referred to the article in the Sacramento Bee (attached) regarding green waste diversion credits. Mr. Mohajer reported that the judge's decision will have a major impact on all jurisdictions in Los Angeles County. The CIWMB is appealing the Superior Court decision, and legislation (AB 2323) was introduced by Byron Sher, prior to the February 23, 1996 decision, which will provide credit for the use of green waste as landfill alternative daily cover. Mr. Mohajer stated that the Department has made a recommendation to support AB 2323.

Marsha McLean, S.C.V. Canyons Preservation Committee, asked if the Negative Declaration takes into consideration, when discussing certain landfills, unmitigable circumstances. Mr. Mohajer stated that the proposed Negative Declaration only addresses the Summary Plan, which is a compilation of information provided by all cities in Los Angeles County, as presented in the SRREs, HHWEs, and NDFEs.

Ms. McLean also asked for clarification regarding disparity between 1990 and 1995 disposal quantity totals. Mr. Mohajer stated that the County numbers are correct. The numbers in error are those indicated in the SRREs. Disposal quantities reported for 1995 were 12 million tons; in 1990, disposal quantities were 15,900,000 tons. The SRREs which identified disposal quantities for each jurisdiction, when added together equal a little more than 10 million tons.

Ms. McLean stated that it has been the City of Santa Clarita's position that the County's number has been overinflated for 1990. Mr. Mohajer went on to explain again that the numbers reported in the SRREs were underestimated. The 1995 disposal quantities substantiate that the SRREs' 1990 disposal quantities were in error.

VI. DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS - PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT AND PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN

Mr. Mohajer stated that the Department of Public Works will be conducting 12 public information meetings throughout the County, and all meetings will begin at 7:00 p.m. Mr. Mohajer also stated that advertisements regarding the public information meetings have been placed in numerous local newspapers.

Mr. Mohajer reported that at each meeting, Department staff will give a brief overview of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan, and then entertain questions from the audience, with assistance from the moderator(s). Additionally, fact sheets for the above-mentioned documents will be distributed and translation services will be available to the public. Mr. Mohajer stressed the importance of participation by Task Force members/alternates at the public information meetings.

Arlene Block, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, and Betsey Landis, recommended that during the public information meetings, comments/questions from the public should be presented to staff in written form.

After lengthy discussion, the following public information meeting attendance/participation, by members of the Task Force, was determined:

Ginger Bremberg:	4/1 and 4/4
Al Avoian:	4/1, 4/4, 4/8, 4/9, 4/10, 4/11, 4/15, 4/16, 4/17, and 4/18
Drew Sones:	4/9 and 4/11
Ted Rogers:	4/11 and 4/18
Betsey Landis:	4/1, 4/4, 4/8, 4/11, 4/16, and 4/18
Hal Croyts:	4/17
John McTaggart:	4/15
Ruth Ray:	4/11

VII. OPEN DISCUSSION/PUBLIC COMMENT

Mr. Mohajer reported that staff has received fourth quarter 1995 disposal quantity reports from all permitted solid waste facilities in Los Angeles County, and that information will be submitted to jurisdictions in the next couple of weeks. Total reported disposal quantity for 1995 was slightly more than 12 million tons, which is approximately ½ million tons less than 1994.

Mr. Mohajer also reported that based on comments received during the 45-day review period, final draft documents must be prepared which incorporate all comments/questions. At that time, the documents will again be submitted to the Task Force for review. The documents will then be submitted to the Board of Supervisors in order to obtain authorization to release the documents to the cities for the 90-day approval/disapproval period. Subject to receiving approval by a majority of the cities containing a majority of the incorporated population in Los Angeles County, the documents will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for adoption. At that point, the documents will then be submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for their review and approval. If approval is not obtained from the majority of the cities containing the majority of the incorporated population, the documents must be revised and recirculated.

Mr. Mohajer stated that if a city were to disapprove the document(s), the city must conduct a public hearing for which advertisement for the hearing is placed in local newspapers 30 days in advance, and the city must adopt a formal resolution, indicating the reason for disapproval of the document(s).

Mr. Mohajer requested direction from the Task Force regarding the review process, and whether the Task Force will direct the Plan Review Subcommittee to review the draft documents, or if the Task Force itself will be directly involved in the process. After some discussion, a motion was introduced and approved for the Task Force, along with the Plan Review Subcommittee, to review and comment on the draft documents. Motion carried unanimously.

Additionally, the next meeting of the Task Force has been rescheduled for Wednesday, April 17, 1996, at 10:00 a.m.

V. UPDATE FROM THE PUBLIC EDUCATION AND INFORMATION SUBCOMMITTEE

Hal Croyts reported that the Subcommittee met on March 14, 1996, to review and comment on the fact sheets for the Countywide Siting Element and the Summary Plan. Mr. Smith stated that the revised fact sheets will be available next week.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 1:05 p.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The February 15, 1996 minutes were approved as presented.

III. CONSIDERATION OF GRANTING A REVISED FINDING OF CONFORMANCE TO THE CULVER CITY TRANSFER STATION

Al Avoian reported that the Subcommittee met earlier this morning to review the Finding of Conformance to the Culver City Transfer Station. Mr. Avoian stated that proper CEQA documentation was not submitted, therefore, the Subcommittee recommends that the Task Force postpone reviewing/granting a revised FOC to the project proponent.

Dave Smith stated that in the interim between meetings, staff has contacted the City of Culver City, and the matter will be addressed as soon as possible.

Mr. Avoian also stated that a discussion ensued during the Subcommittee meeting regarding a staff condition in the FOC which requests information regarding recovered/diverted materials and final destination of said materials. Mr. Avoian reported that staff will research this matter to verify if this information is required by law, and will report their findings to the Subcommittee at a later date.

IV. CONSIDERATION OF THE REVIEW PROCESS FOR THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, THE PRELIMINARY DRAFT OF THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN, THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE SITING ELEMENT, AND THE PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE SUMMARY PLAN

Mike Mohajer reported that State law and regulations have mandated certain responsibilities to the local Task Force. Pursuant to State law, the Task Force is required to provide assistance to the County in preparation of the Siting Element and the Summary Plan, as well as development of the Goals, Policies, and Objectives for the above documents. State law also requires the County to submit these draft documents to the local Task Force for a 45-day review and comment period, ending May 1, 1996. Mr. Mohajer indicated that the Task Force should review and discuss these documents during the April meeting.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
Minutes of March 21, 1996
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ginger Bremberg, Vice Chair
Al Avoian
Betsey Landis
Ruth Ray
Clarence Gieck
John C. McTaggart
Harold Croyts

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Mark Finucane, represented by Arlene Block
Charles Carry, represented by Don Nellor
Ron Deaton, represented by Ted Rogers
Delwin Biagi, represented by Drew Sones

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Margaret Clark
David Kim
Jim Lents
Raymond Holland
Ray Alpert

OTHERS PRESENT:

Councilmember Hamilton Smyth, City of Santa Clarita
R.K. Brown, Coalition of W.C. Homeowners
Vic Hochee, P.F. Ryan & Assoc. & Ad Hoc Committee
Marsha McLean, S.C.V. Canyon Preservation Committee
Mike Lewis, Lewis & Company
David M. Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

OK
TD

V. OPEN DISCUSSION/PUBLIC COMMENT

Betsey Landis gave a brief overview of the Manual of California Vegetation, which replaces the Holland Code and will be used for determining site vegetation in future EIRs and CEQA processes.

Mr. Mohajer stated that the Board of Supervisors directed staff to prepare a report regarding solid waste management throughout the United States. The findings of the report indicate: 1) no jurisdiction has been able to achieve 50% diversion, 2) diversion measurement methodologies differ from one jurisdiction to another, and 3) the most realistic alternative to landfills consists of waste-to-energy facilities, however, siting such facilities are currently cost-prohibitive.

VI. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for March 21, 1996, 1:00 p.m.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:10 p.m.

gswp11/TF021596.1

Attach.

Mr. Mohajer asked the Task Force members to assist staff in conducting the public information meetings. Al Avoian, Betsey Landis, Clarence Gieck, and Lois Shade agreed to participate.

John McTaggart, Rancho Palos Verdes, volunteered to place information regarding the meetings on the City's "Net". Al Avoian suggested that the information should be made available to other cities with similar technology.

Ruth Ray offered to speak with "media contacts" to obtain public relations for the public information meetings.

Mr. Mohajer also stated that Fact Sheets, providing very basic information of the documents, will be prepared and distributed to the Task Force members, along with the final schedule of the information meetings.

Ted Rogers, City of Los Angeles, asked for information regarding the documents and the approval process. Mr. Mohajer reported that the Siting Element addresses solid waste disposal needs of all 88 cities in Los Angeles County, as well as the County unincorporated communities, for a 15-year planning period. The Summary Plan summarizes the Source Reduction and Recycling Elements, Household Hazardous Waste Elements, and Non-Disposal Facility Elements adopted by each of the 89 jurisdictions in the County. The draft documents are subject to public review for a minimum of 45 days. Based on comments received, draft final documents must be prepared within 105 days after the closure of the 45-day public review period. The final drafts must be submitted to all cities for approval/disapproval. The documents must be approved by a majority of the cities containing a majority of the incorporated population in Los Angeles County. Assuming approval, the documents will then be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for adoption. Finally, the documents will be submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for their approval. If approval is not obtained from the majority of the cities containing the majority of the incorporated population, the documents must be revised and recirculated.

Joe Massey recommended that the information be made available to the public through computer diskettes. After some discussion, it was determined that staff will look into the matter.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 1:08 p.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The January 18, 1996 minutes were approved as written.

III. CONSIDERATION OF GRANTING A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE TO THE
NU-WAY LIVE OAK LANDFILL

Al Avoian reported that the Subcommittee met earlier this morning to review the Finding of Conformance for the Nu-Way Live Oak Landfill. Mr. Avoian stated that the Subcommittee recommends that the Task Force grant the FOC to the project proponent, subject to staff recommendations.

Dave Smith referred to the revised staff report (Attachment A) and stated this version supersedes previous copies of the report. Mr. Smith stated that the site consists of 70.6 acres, of which, 57 acres will be filled and brought to surrounding grade. The maximum operating daily capacity of the facility is expected to be 6,000 tons per day.

A motion was introduced and approved to grant an FOC to the Nu-Way Live Oak Landfill. Motion carried unanimously.

IV. DISCUSSION OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS RELATING TO THE
COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED
WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN, AND THE COUNTYWIDE
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN NEGATIVE
DECLARATION

Mike Mohajer reported that the above-mentioned documents are ready for release to all cities, neighboring counties, governmental agencies, and public interest groups for a 45-day review period. The documents will also be available for review at all County and city libraries and all district offices of the Department. As mandated by State law, the Department will be conducting 12 public information meetings throughout the County and ads will be placed in local newspapers notifying the public of the scheduled information meetings.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
Minutes of February 15, 1996
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Al Avoian
Betsey Landis
Ruth Ray
Clarence Gieck
John C. McTaggart

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Ginger Bremberg, represented by Lois Shade
Mark Finucane, represented by Connie Rocke
Raymond Holland, represented by Charlie Tripp
Charles Carry, represented by Grace Chan
Ron Deaton, represented by Ted Rogers
Delwin Biagi, represented by Warren Huang
Ray Alpert, represented by Joe Massey

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Representative, League of California Cities
David Kim
Jim Lents
Harold Croyts

OTHERS PRESENT:

Vic Hochee, P.F. Ryan & Assoc.
Lewis Pozzebon, City of Vernon
Bill George, County Sanitation Districts
Jim Mnoian, Nu-Way Landfill
Hazel Joanes, City of Santa Clarita
David Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

Bill Boyd, SCAG, announced that a Solid Waste Task Force has recently been created to address alternatives to landfills, recycling, market development, and related issues. The first meeting will be held on Thursday, October 26, 1995, at 9:30 a.m., and will be held at the Omni Hotel in downtown Los Angeles.

VII. NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for November 16, 1995, 1:00 p.m.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:18 p.m.

gswp8/TF101995.1

Attach.

IV. CONSIDERATION OF GRANTING A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE TO THE
LOPEZ CANYON LANDFILL EXTENSION

Mr. Avoian reported that the Facility Review Subcommittee met this morning to consider granting a Finding of Conformance to the Lopez Canyon Landfill Extension.

Dave Smith referred to the attached staff report (Attachment A) and stated that subsequent to the Subcommittee's earlier discussion, the attached staff report has been revised accordingly.

After some discussion, a motion was introduced and approved to grant a Finding of Conformance to the Lopez Canyon Landfill Extension. Motion carried by a vote of 9-0. Drew Sones, Ted Rogers, and Betsey Landis abstained.

V. REPORT ON THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES' RECYCLING PROGRAM

Drew Sones, City of Los Angeles, gave a report to the Task Force regarding the City's recycling program. Audio is available upon request.

VI. OPEN DISCUSSION

After some discussion, it was determined that staff will review seismic monitoring requirements for Findings of Conformance and will address this matter at the next meeting of the Task Force.

It was determined that staff will review/revise the monthly monitoring report required by all solid waste facilities to submit upon receiving a Finding of Conformance by the Task Force.

It was determined that the meetings of the Task Force will begin at 1:00 p.m. until further notice.

5. Expand the cooperative inter-jurisdictional programs in order to meet the State mandate of 50% diversion.

Mr. Avoian stated that the above-mentioned goals have been submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

Mike Mohajer stated that these goals, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, will be included in the draft Summary Plan which will be forwarded to all cities, public agencies, and the public for a 45-day review period.

Mr. Mohajer stated that based on the comments received during this review period on the draft document, a final document will be prepared and submitted to all 88 cities in Los Angeles County for approval/disapproval. Each city will have 90 days to take formal action on the document. Formal action must be conducted at a public meeting, notice of which must be posted as required by State law. Failure by a city to take action on the final document within the 90-day review period will result in a tacit approval.

Mr. Mohajer also stated that if the document is approved by the majority of cities containing a majority of the incorporated population, the document will then be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval. Assuming that the Board approves the document, it will be submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board for their approval.

Ted Rogers, City of Los Angeles, asked what would happen if the majority of cities do not approve the document.

Mr. Mohajer indicated that, as required by State law, the cities must include in their resolution the reason why it was disapproved. The document will then be returned to the County for revision(s), as appropriate, and then resubmitted.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 1:01 p.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The September 21, 1995 minutes were approved as written.

III. REPORT ON PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE

Al Avoian reported that on July 25, 1995, EMCON, the contractor responsible for preparation of the administrative draft, and the Subcommittee met to formulate a list of goals and recommendations for the County to be included in the Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan as mandated by Assembly Bill 939.

Mr. Avoian reported that after four hours of discussion, the Subcommittee recommended the following goals:

1. Development of adequate long-term solid waste disposal capacity for cities in Los Angeles County and the County unincorporated area consistent with the goals identified in the County Solid Waste Management Plan.
2. Development of a strong market and demand for recycled products.
3. Increased awareness and participation of the general public in source reduction, recycling, composting, special waste activities, and household hazardous waste management programs.
4. Foster cooperation between various jurisdictions, agencies, and private enterprise to assist participating jurisdictions in achieving long-term economies of scale and create new diversion opportunities.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COMMITTEE/INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE
Minutes of October 19, 1995
Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ginger Bremberg
Clarence Gieck
Harold Croyts
Betsey Landis
John C. McTaggart
Al Avoian

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Harry W. Stone, represented by Mike Mohajer
Delwin Biagi, represented by Drew Sones
Robert C. Gates, represented by Arlene Block
Ron Deaton, represented by Ted Rogers
Charles Carry, represented by Steve Maguin
League of California Cities, represented by Tom Breazeal

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Ray Alpert
David Kim
Jim Lents
Raymond Holland
Ruth Ray

OTHERS PRESENT:

Kyong O. Lee, City of Los Angeles
Richard G. King, City of Commerce
Tom Brady, City of Glendale
Lew Pozzebon, City of Vernon
Bill Boyd, SCAG
Jerry Burke, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
David Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

and, 2) The County and the cities will support development of new disposal facilities and expansion of existing facilities identified in the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Action Plan, adopted by the Board of Supervisors April 5, 1988.

A motion was introduced and approved directing EMCON to make the above-referenced revisions to the policies of the administrative draft. Motion carried unanimously.

OBJECTIVES:

EMCON will make revisions to the objectives listed in the administrative draft as discussed.

A motion was introduced and approved directing EMCON to make revisions to the objectives of the administrative draft. Motion carried unanimously.

IV. NEXT MEETING DATE

Next meeting is tentatively scheduled for August 7, 1995,
at 1:00 p.m.

V. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

gswp8/PRS072095.1

Attach.

for their approval, at which time they will conduct a public hearing. Once the Board of Supervisors adopts the Summary Plan, it will be submitted to the California Integrated Waste Management Board. The deadline for submittal of the Summary Plan is 12 months after the adoption of regulations for preparation of the document. Mr. Mohajer stated that based on the review process mandated by the regulations, and considering that there are 89 jurisdictions in Los Angeles County, the deadline will probably not be met, however, the CIWMB is aware of this situation.

Bonnie Teaford and Richard Hertzberg gave a brief introduction on how the administrative draft was prepared.

After a very lengthy discussion, the following was determined:

GOALS:

EMCON will revise the goals listed in the administrative draft (attached) to identify issues of concern as discussed, include specific examples, and to reference increased public awareness in purchasing diverted products. In addition, Goal #7 will be revised to read: Assure adequate long-term solid waste disposal capacity for the cities and the County unincorporated areas.

A motion was introduced and approved directing EMCON to make the above-referenced revisions to the goals of the administrative draft. Motion carried unanimously.

POLICIES:

EMCON will make revisions to the policies listed in the administrative draft as discussed. Also, the following additional policies will be included: 1) The Task Force to actively seek and identify transformation technologies

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 1:20 p.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The June 5, 1995 minutes were approved as written.

III. REVIEW OF THE DRAFT GOALS, POLICIES, AND OBJECTIVES FOR THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ITS SUMMARY PLAN

Mike Mohajer began the discussion by reading an excerpt from the California Code of Regulations regarding the Summary Plan, and stated that the Task Force has the responsibility of identifying and recommending a series of goals, policies, and objectives to the County in addressing diversion programs, marketing strategies, and disposal strategies for the years 1996-2000.

Mr. Mohajer stated that the County has contracted with EMCON to prepare an administrative draft of the Summary Plan. Once the administrative draft is prepared and accepted by the County and the Board of Supervisors, it will be submitted to all cities, public agencies, and the private sector for a 45-day review period. Based on the comments received on the draft, a final draft will be prepared and resubmitted to all cities for a 90-day review period in which time the cities may approve or disapprove the Summary Plan, or take no action which will result in a tacit approval of the document. As a part of the approval/disapproval process, cities or jurisdictions are required to adopt a resolution approving or disapproving the Summary Plan, and must also conduct a public hearing prior to taking this action. After the document is approved by the majority of cities containing the majority of the incorporated population, then the document will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors

PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE

Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force

Minutes of July 25, 1995

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Al Avoian
Clarence Gieck
Steve Maguin

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Jeff Kolin, represented by Hazel Joanes

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Chuck Conn
Mike Miller
Ted Rogers
Ledra Sanchez

OTHERS PRESENT:

Betsey Landis, Task Force Member
Bonnie Teaford, EMCON
Richard Hertzberg, Harding Lawson Assoc.
Bill George, County Sanitation Districts
Mike Mohajer, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
David M. Smith, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Carlos Ruiz, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

[This page intentionally left blank]

**LIST OF MINUTES OF TASK FORCE (TF) AND
PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE (PRS) MEETINGS**

- 1. Minutes of 7/25/95 PRS Meeting**
- 2. Minutes of 10/19/95 TF Meeting**
- 3. Minutes of 2/15/96 TF Meeting**
- 4. Minutes of 3/21/96 TF Meeting**
- 5. Minutes of 4/17/96 TF Meeting**
- 6. Minutes of 10/17/96 TF Meeting**
- 7. Minutes of 1/30/97 TF Meeting**
- 8. Minutes of 2/20/97 TF Meeting**

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX P

**MINUTES OF TASK FORCE AND PUBLIC REVIEW
SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS**

[This page intentionally left blank]

Syn. 50 (Continued)

On motion of Supervisor Yaroslavsky, seconded by Supervisor Dana, unanimously carried, the Director of Public Works and the Integrated Waste Management Task Force were requested to:

- a. Reexamine the draft plan for the Solid Waste Siting Element in light of the Federal prohibition against the use of the Angeles Forest lands for the Elsmere Canyon Landfill;
- b. Include in the final draft Countywide Solid Waste Siting Element prior to its submittal to the Board of Supervisors, aggressive programs to protect and enhance existing County landfill space and County disposal revenues, and programs which would maximize the use of rail haul, waste to energy and other innovative solutions at a reasonable cost to Los Angeles County residents; and
- c. Include the reexamination or removal of Mission, Rustic and Sullivan Canyons from the Siting Element in light of the Federal prohibition on using Federal parklands for landfill purposes.

21015-3.com

Copies distributed:
Each Supervisor
Chief Administrative Officer
County Counsel

Therefore, Supervisor Antonovich made a motion that the Director of Public Works and the Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force reexamine the draft plan for the Solid Waste Siting Element in light of the Federal prohibition against the use of Angeles Forest lands for the Elsmere Canyon Landfill; and include aggressive programs to protect existing County space, maximize the use of rail haul and develop waste-to-energy and other innovative solutions to meet the County's needs in the final draft Solid Waste Siting Element prior to its submittal to the Board.

Supervisor Dana made a suggestion that Supervisor Antonovich's motion be amended to request the Director of Public Works and the Integrated Waste Management Task Force to reexamine the draft plan in light of the Federal prohibition against the use of Angeles Forest lands for the Elsmere Canyon Landfill; and to include in the final draft for the Countywide Solid Waste Siting Element prior to its submittal to the Board of Supervisors, aggressive programs to protect and enhance existing County landfill space and County disposal revenues, and programs which would maximize the use of rail haul, waste to energy and other innovative solutions at a reasonable cost to Los Angeles County residents.

Supervisor Yaroslavsky made a suggestion that Supervisor Antonovich's motion be amended to include the reexamination or removal of Mission, Rustic and Sullivan Canyons from the Siting Element in light of the Federal prohibition on using Federal parklands for landfill purposes. Supervisor Antonovich accepted Supervisor Dana's and Yaroslavsky's amendments with the exception of the words "and enhance" in Supervisor Dana's amendment.

Alan Cameron addressed the Board.

After discussion, Supervisor Antonovich made a motion, seconded by Supervisor Yaroslavsky, to delete the words, "and enhance" from Supervisor Dana's amendment. Said motion failed to carry by the following vote: Ayes: Supervisor Antonovich; Noes: Supervisors Molina, Burke and Dana; Abstentions: Supervisor Yaroslavsky.

(Continued on Page 3)

CE

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



Joanne Sturges, Executive Officer
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
Los Angeles, California 90012

RECEIVED
OCT 24 1996
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Director of Public Works

At its meeting held October 15, 1996, the Board took the following action:

50

Supervisor Antonovich made the following statement:

"Thursday's announcement that Congress passed legislation to preclude the transfer of U.S. Forest lands to Browning Ferris Industries (BFI) for use as the proposed Elsmere Canyon landfill is good news for the residents of the Santa Clarita Valley and those of us who question the propriety of urban landfills.

"Passage of the legislation calls attention once again to the need for this County to aggressively pursue alternative waste disposal strategies and out-of-County solutions to our waste disposal needs. In the past few months, I have obtained Board approval of motions to protect existing landfill capacities and to seek contracts for landfill space in adjacent counties.

"The Department of Public Works is currently preparing its final Siting Element which looks primarily to landfills within the County to meet our needs. However, State law permits counties to use other strategies to address our needs when we find that existing capacity is insufficient to meet our needs and no other areas are available to meet these needs."

(Continued on Page 2)

[This page intentionally left blank]

APPENDIX O

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MOTION DATED 10/15/96

June 27, 1996

EP-2

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

LYNN PLAMBECK
LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES SAVE
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES
23942 LYONS AVE SUITE 103-353
NEWHALL CA 91321-2444

MR JOHN ADAMS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ATTENTION MARIBEL MARIN
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
6310 SAN VICENTE BLVD SUITE 250
LOS ANGELES CA 90048

PASADENA AUDOBON SOCIETY
EATON CANYON NATURE CENTER
1750 ALTADENA DR
PASADENA CA 91107

MR FRED KRUPP, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ATTENTION PAMELA FRANZ, OFFICE MGR
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND
5655 COLLEGE AVE STE 304
OAKLAND CA 94618-1583

LYLE TALBOT
HICAP
633 WEST AVENUE J11
LANCASTER CA 93534-5070

ANNETTE HALL
LOS ANGELES LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS
6030 WILSHIRE BLVD SUITE 301
LOS ANGELES CA 90036-3617

SIERRA CLUB
3345 WILSHIRE BLVD # 508
LOS ANGELES CA 90010

LADAWNA HOWARD, PUBLIC OUTREACH DIR
GREEN PEACE -LOS ANGELES
767 OVERLAND AVE #114
LOS ANGELES CA 90034

JOSEPH T EDMISTON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY
SOLSTICE CANYON PARK
3700 SOLSTICE CANYON ROAD
MALIBU CA 90265

BETSEY LANDIS, VICE PRESIDENT
MANDEVILLE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
3908 MANDEVILLE CANYON
LOS ANGELES CA 90049-1030

MARY EDWARDS, SECRETARY
NORTH VALLEY COALITION
12841 JIMENO AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

MARK MURRAY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIANS AGAINST WASTE
926 J ST STE 606
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-2707

JEFF YANN
HACIENDA HEIGHTS IMPROVEMENTS ASSOC
P O BOX 5235
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745


June 27, 1996

Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer, of this office, at (818) 458-4302, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Donald L. Wolfe". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MMM:mv
SP/spclnt2



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

June 27, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD (Name)
FIELD (Company)
FIELD (Street)
FIELD (City)

FIELD (Salutation)

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the documents listed below for a 45-day review and comment period. The review period was subsequently extended to June 17, 1996. However, due to the complexity of solid waste management in Los Angeles County and a number of requests from cities, we are extending the review/comment period by an additional 120 days to Thursday, October 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

THOMAS G MAUK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WHITTIER
13230 E PENN ST
WHITTIER CA 90602-1772

ROBERT W TOONE JR
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF PALMDALE
38300 N SIERRA HWY
PALMDALE CA 93550-4798

JAMES B HENDRICKSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES
340 PALOS VERDES DR W
PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274-1299

PATRICK H WEST CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PARAMOUNT
16400 COLORADO AV
PARAMOUNT CA 90723-5050

PHILIP A HAWKEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PASADENA
100 N GARFIELD AV
PASADENA CA 91101-1782

DENNIS COURTEMARCHE
CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PICO RIVERA
P O BOX 1016
PICO RIVERA CA 90660-3698

SEVERO ESQUIVEL
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF POMONA
505 S GAREY AV
POMONA CA 91766-3320

PAUL D BUSSEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF RANCHO PALOS VERDES
30940 HAWTHORNE BLVD
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90274-5391

WILLIAM E KIRCHHOFF CITY MANAGER
CITY OF REDONDO BEACH
415 DIAMOND ST
REDONDO BEACH CA 90277-2894

CRAIG NEALIS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROLLING HILLS
2 PORTUGUESE BEND ROAD
ROLLING HILLS CA 90274-5199

DOUGLAS PRICHARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES
4045 PALOS VERDES DR N
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES CA 90274-2596

FRANK G TRIPEPI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROSEMEAD
8838 E VALLEY BLVD
ROSEMEAD CA 91770-1787

DONALD L PRUYN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SAN DIMAS
245 E BONITA AV
SAN DIMAS CA 91773-3002

MARY STRENN CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SAN FERNANDO
117 MACNEIL ST
SAN FERNANDO CA 91340-2993

P MICHAEL PAULES
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SAN GABRIEL
532 W MISSION DR
SAN GABRIEL CA 91776-1202

DEBBIE BELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SAN MARINO
2200 HUNTINGTON DR
SAN MARINO CA 91108-2691

GEORGE CARVALHO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
23920 VALENCIA BLVD STE 300
SANTA CLARITA CA 91355-2107

DONALD R POWELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS
11710 TELEGRAPH RD
SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670-3679

JOHN JALILI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA MONICA
1685 MAIN ST
SANTA MONICA CA 90401-3295

SEAN JOYCE CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SIERRA MADRE
232 W SIERRA MADRE BLVD
SIERRA MADRE CA 91024-0451

LEN WOOD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SIGNAL HILL
2175 CHERRY AV
SIGNAL HILL CA 90806-3799

RITA HARDIN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH EL MONTE
1415 N SANTA ANITA AV
SOUTH EL MONTE CA 91733-3389

RONALD GEORGE INT CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH GATE
8650 CALIFORNIA AV
SOUTH GATE CA 90280-3075

KENNETH FARFSING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA
1414 MISSION ST
SOUTH PASADENA CA 91030-3298

HUGH R RILEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF TEMPLE CITY
9701 E LAS TUNAS DR
TEMPLE CITY CA 91780-2249

LEROY J JACKSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF TORRANCE
3031 TORRANCE BLVD
TORRANCE CA 91509-5059

BRUCE V MALKENHORST
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF VERNON
4305 SANTA FE AV
VERNON CA 90058-1786

JEFFREY C PARKER CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WALNUT
21201 LA PUENTE RD
WALNUT CA 91789-2018

JAMES STARBIRD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WEST COVINA
P O BOX 1440
WEST COVINA CA 91793-1440

PAUL D BROTZMAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD
8300 SANTA MONICA BLVD
WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90069-4314

RAYMOND B TAYLOR CITY MAN GE
CITY OF WESTLAKE VILLAGE
4373 PARK TERRACE
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361-4 1

KENNETH W LANDAU CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GARDENA
1700 W 162ND ST
GARDENA CA 90247-3778

DAVID RAMSAY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GLENDALE
613 E BROADWAY
GLENDALE CA 91206-4308

ARTHUR E COOK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GLENDORA
116 E FOOTHILL BLVD
GLENDORA CA 91740-3380

LEONARD CHAIDEZ CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS
21815 PIONEER BLVD
HAWAIIAN GARDENS CA 90716-1299

LEONARD "BUD" CORMIER
ACTING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HAWTHORNE
4455 W 126TH ST
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4482

STEPHEN R BURRELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
1315 VALLEY DR
HERMOSA BEACH CA 90254-3814

CHERIE PAGLIA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS
6165 SPRING VALLEY RD
HIDDEN HILLS CA 91302-1257

DONALD L. JEFFERS
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK
6550 MILES AV
HUNTINGTON PARK CA 90255-4393

CHRIS R ROPE CITY MANAGER
CITY OF INDUSTRY
15651 E STAFFORD ST
INDUSTRY CA 91744-3995

PAUL D ECKLES ACTING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF INGLEWOOD
ONE MANCHESTER BLVD
INGLEWOOD CA 90301-1750

DAVID CARETTO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF IRWINDALE
5050 N IRWINDALE AV
IRWINDALE CA 91706-2192

GABRIELLE PRYOR CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
1327 FOOTHILL BLVD
LACANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91011-2186

LESLIE DOOLITTLE
CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS
1245 N HACIENDA BLVD
LA HABRA HEIGHTS CA 90631-2570

HOWARD L CHAMBERS
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF LAKEWOOD
5050 N CLARK AV
LAKEWOOD CA 90712-2697

GARY SLOAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA MIRADA
13700 LA MIRADA BLVD
LA MIRADA CA 90638-3099

JAMES GILLEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LANCASTER
44933 N FERN AV
LANCASTER CA 93534-2461

ROBERT G GUTIERREZ CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA PUENTE
15900 E MAIN ST
LA PUENTE CA 91744-4788

MARTIN R LOMELI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA VERNE
3660 D ST
LA VERNE CA 91750-3599

WILLIAM WOOLARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LAWNSDALE
14717 BURIN AV
LAWNSDALE CA 90260-1497

WALKER J RITTER
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF LOMITA
24300 NARBONNE AV
LOMITA CA 90717-1198

JAMES C HANKLA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LONG BEACH
333 W OCEAN BLVD
LONG BEACH CA 90802-4664

KEITH COMRIE
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
200 N SPRING ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-4801

FAUSTINE T GONZALES CITY MGR
CITY OF LYNWOOD
11330 BULLIS RD
LYNWOOD CA 90262-3693

DAVID N CARMANY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MALIBU
23555 CIVIC CENTER WY
MALIBU CA 90265-4865

GEOFFREY DOLAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH
1400 HIGHLAND AV
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266-4795

RONALD LINDSEY
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF MAYWOOD
4319 E SLAUSON AVENUE
MAYWOOD CA 90270-2897

ROD GOULD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MONROVIA
415 S IVY AV
MONROVIA CA 91016-2888

RICHARD TORRES
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF MONTEBELLO
1600 W BEVERLY BLVD
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-3970

CHRIS JEFFERS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MONTEREY PARK
320 W NEWMARK AV
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-2896

ERNIE V GARCIA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF NORWALK
12700 NORWALK BLVD
NORWALK CA 90650-3182

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

DAVID ADAMS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS
30101 AGOURA CT STE 102
AGOURA HILLS CA 91301-4301

JULIO FUENTES CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ALHAMBRA
111 S FIRST ST
ALHAMBRA CA 91801-3796

WILLIAM R KELLY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ARCADIA
P O BOX 60021
ARCADIA CA 91066-6021

PAUL J PHILLIPS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ARTESIA
18747 CLARKDALE AV
ARTESIA CA 90701-5899

ROBERT F CLARK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF AVALON
209 METROPOLE AV
AVALON CA 90704

HENRY GARCIA CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF AZUSA
213 E FOOTHILL BLVD
AZUSA CA 91702-2514

CARL YATES DIRECTOR OF AD SRVCS
CITY OF BALDWIN PARK
14403 E PACIFIC AV
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706-4297

ROBERT A RIZZO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF BELL
6330 PINE AV
BELL CA 90201-1291

THE HONORABLE RODOLFO GARCIA
CITY OF BELL GARDENS
7100 S GARFIELD AV
BELL GARDENS CA 90201-3293

LINDA LOWRY CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF BELLFLOWER
16600 CIVIC CENTER DR
BELLFLOWER CA 90706-5494

MARK SCOTT CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS
450 N CRESCENT DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210-4854

KEENE WILSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BRADBURY
600 WINSTON AV
BRADBURY CA 91010-1199

ROBERT R OVROM CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BURBANK
275 E OLIVE AV
BURBANK CA 91502-1267

CHARLES CATE CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CALABASAS
26135 MUREAU RD
CALABASAS CA 91302-3103

LARRY OLSON CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF CARSON
701 E CARSON ST
CARSON CA 90745-2224

ART GALLUCCI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CERRITOS
P O BOX 3130
CERRITOS CA 90703-3130

GLENN D SOUTHARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CLAREMONT
207 HARVARD AV
CLAREMONT CA 91711-4719

RAUL T ROMERO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF COMMERCE
2535 COMMERCE WY
COMMERCE CA 90040-1487

HOWARD CALDWELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF COMPTON
205 S WILLOWBROOK AV
COMPTON CA 90220-3190

FRANCIS M DELACH CITY MGR
CITY OF COVINA
125 E COLLEGE ST
COVINA CA 91723-2197

JACK JOSEPH CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CUDAHY
5220 SANTA ANA ST
CUDAHY CA 90201-6097

JODY HALL-ESSER
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF CULVER CITY
9770 CULVER BLVD
CULVER CITY CA 90232-0507

TERRY BELANGER CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DIAMOND BAR
21660 E COPLEY DR STE 100
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-4177

GERALD M CATON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DOWNEY
11111 BROOKSHIRE AV
DOWNEY CA 90241-3898

JESSE H DUFF CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DUARTE
1600 HUNTINGTON DR
DUARTE CA 91010-2592

GREGORY D KORDUNER
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF EL MONTE
11333 VALLEY BLVD
EL MONTE CA 91731-3293


JAMES MORRISON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
350 MAIN ST
EL SEGUNDO CA 90245-3895

June 27, 1996
Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer, of this office, at (818) 458-4302, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works



DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MMM:mv
SP/ctymgr2

cc: City Clerk
Recycling Coordinator



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

June 27, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD (Name)
FIELD (TITLE)
FIELD (CITY OF)
FIELD (Street)
FIELD (City)

FIELD (Salutation)

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the documents listed below for a 45-day review and comment period. The review period was subsequently extended to June 17, 1996. However, due to the complexity of solid waste management in Los Angeles County and a number of requests from cities, we are extending the review/comment period by an additional 120 days to Thursday, October 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

SANTA CLARITA WATER COMPANY
C/O MR BILL MANETTA
P O BOX B
SAUGUS CA 91350

TOPANGA LAS VIRGENES RESOURCE
CONSERVATION DISTRICT
122 N TOPANGA CANYON BLVD
TOPANGA CA 90290

U S FOREST SERVICE
MR. CHARLES MCDONALD
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
701 N SANTA ANITA AVE
ARCADIA CA 91006

FOOTHILL MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O RONALD C PALMER
P O BOX 686
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91001

GOLDEN VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O CARAVAN INN/RUTH RALPHS
49713 GORMAN POST RD
GORMAN CA 93243

KINNELOA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O GENE BURT
1999 KINCLAIRE
PASADENA CA 91107

LA CANADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O DOUGLAS CAISTER
P O BOX 39
LA CANADA CA 91001

NEWHALL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR JAMES E JINKS
P O BOX 779
NEWHALL CA 91321

ORCHARD DALE COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O GARY DRAPER
13819 E TELEGRAPH RD
WHITTIER CA 90604

PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O MS YVONNE VALASEK
4827 W AVENUE L-2
QUARTZ HILL CA 93534

PALMDALE COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
2005 E AVENUE Q
PALMDALE CA 93550

PARAMOUNT COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O HARRY BABBITT
14600 COLORADO AVE
PARAMOUNT CA 90723

PICO COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR HALL MAUPIN
4843 S CHURCH ST
PICO RIVERA CA 90661

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

ROBERT A NELSON, DIRECTOR
RIVERSIDE CTY WASTE RESOURCES
MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS
1995 MARKET ST
RIVERSIDE CA 92501

JERRY NEWCOMBE, CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR
WASTE SYSTEMS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
222 W HOSPITALITY LANE 2ND FLR
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415-0017

KAY MARTIN
DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COUNTY OF VENTURA
5275 COLT ST STE 1
VENTURA CA 93003

DAPHNE WASHINGTON, DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF KERN WASTE MGMT DEPT
2700 M ST
BAKERSFIELD CA 92301

MURRAY CABLE, DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF ORANGE INT WASTE MGMT
320 N FLOWER STE 400
SANTA ANA CA 92703

CHARLES W. CARRY
CHIEF ENGINEER AND GENERAL MANAGER
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES
PO BOX 4998
WHITTIER CA 90607-4178

JIM LENTS
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MGMT DISTRICT
21865 COPLEY DR
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-4178

JAMES HARTL, DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
320 W TEMPLE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

RICHARD HANSON, DIRECTOR
SOLID WASTE MGMT PROGRAM
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF HEALTH SERVICES
2525 CORPORATE PL RM 150
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-7631

DELWIN A BIAGI, DIRECTOR
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
BUREAU OF SANITATION
200 N MAIN ST ROOM 1400
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-4166

NICK CONWAY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
234 N EL MOLINO AVE # 202
PASADENA CA 91101-1675

MARK PISANO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SO CALIFORNIA ASSOC OF GOVT
818 W SEVENTH ST 12TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90017-3435

CASTAIC LAKE WATER AGENCY
27234 BOUQUET CANYON RD
SANTA CLARITA CA 91350

MARY LOU JAUREGUY
GENERAL MANAGER
LA PUENTE VALLEY WATER DIST
15825 E MAIN ST
LA PUENTE CA 91744

JIM COLBAUGH,
GENERAL MANAGER
LAS VIRGENES MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
4232 LAS VIRGENES RD
CALABASAS CA 91302-1994

WILLIAM MC CARLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF WATER AND POWER
111 N HOPE ST #1550
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

JOHN WODRASKA, GENERAL MANAGER
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICTS
PO BOX 54153
LOS ANGELES CA 90054-0153

CAROL WILLIAMS, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
MAIN SAN GABRIEL BASIN WATER MASTER
725 NORTH AZUSA AVE
AZUSA CA 91702-2910

BOB L STALLINGS, GENERAL MANAGER
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
PO BOX 1299
AZUSA CA 91702

ROBERT G BERLIEN, GENERAL MANAGER
UPPER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY
MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
11310 VALLEY BLVD
EL MONTE CA 91731

PHILLIP CROCKER, GENERAL MANAGER
SAN GABRIEL COUNTY WATER DISTRICTS
PO BOX 227
SAN GABRIEL CA 91778

STANLEY YARBROUGH
GENERAL MANAGER
VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
14521 RAMONA BLVD
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706

LILLIAN KAWASAKI, GENERAL MANAGER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT
201 N FIGUEROA ST SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

ROBERT GHIRELLI, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD-LOS ANGELES REGION
101 CENTER PLAZA DRIVE
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-2156

HAROLD J SINGER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD-LAHONTAN REGION
2092 LAKE TAHOE BLVD SUITE 2
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE CA 96150

WALTER G. PETTIT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
P.O. BOX 100
SACRAMENTO CA 95812-0100

PETER DOUGLAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
45 FREMONT AV STE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-2219

CENTRAL AND WEST BASIN
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O RICHARD ATWATER
17140 S AVALON BLVD STE 210
CARSON CA 90746-1218

QUARTZ HILL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MS KAY BROWN
P O BOX 3218
QUARTZ HILL CA 93536


ROWLAND AREA COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR ANTHONY POLI
P O BOX 8460
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748

June 27, 1996
Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer, of this office, at (818) 458-4302, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Donald L. Wolfe". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name of the signatory.

DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MMM:mv
SP/gvagy2



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

June 27, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD (Name)
FIELD (Agency)
FIELD (Street)
FIELD (City)

FIELD (Salutation)

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the documents listed below for a 45-day review and comment period. The review period was subsequently extended to June 17, 1996. However, due to the complexity of solid waste management in Los Angeles County and a number of requests from cities, we are extending the review/comment period by an additional 120 days to Thursday, October 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

LYNN PLAMBECK
LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES SAVE
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES
23942 LYONS AVE SUITE 103-353
NEWHALL CA 91321-2444

MR JOHN ADAMS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ATTENTION MARIBEL MARIN
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
6310 SAN VICENTE BLVD SUITE 250
LOS ANGELES CA 90048

PASADENA AUDOBON SOCIETY
EATON CANYON NATURE CENTER
1750 ALTADENA DR
PASADENA CA 91107

MR FRED KRUPP, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ATTENTION PAMELA FRANZ, OFFICE MGR
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND
5655 COLLEGE AVE STE 304
OAKLAND CA 94618-1583

LYLE TALBOT
HICAP
633 WEST AVENUE J11
LANCASTER CA 93534-5070

ANNETTE HALL
LOS ANGELES LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS
6030 WILSHIRE BLVD SUITE 301
LOS ANGELES CA 90036-3617

SIERRA CLUB
3345 WILSHIRE BLVD # 508
LOS ANGELES CA 90010

LADAWNA HOWARD, PUBLIC OUTREACH DIR
GREEN PEACE -LOS ANGELES
767 OVERLAND AVE #114
LOS ANGELES CA 90034

JOSEPH T EDMISTON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS CONSERVANCY
SOLSTICE CANYON PARK
3700 SOLSTICE CANYON ROAD
MALIBU CA 90265

BETSEY LANDIS, VICE PRESIDENT
MANDEVILLE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
3908 MANDEVILLE CANYON
LOS ANGELES CA 90049-1030

MARY EDWARDS, SECRETARY
NORTH VALLEY COALITION
12841 JIMENO AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344

MARK MURRAY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIANS AGAINST WASTE
926 J ST STE 606
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-2707


JEFF YANN
HACIENDA HEIGHTS IMPROVEMENTS ASSOC
P O BOX 5235
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745

May 1, 1996
Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer, of this office, at (818) 458-4302, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works



DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MMM:mv
SP/EXT.3



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

May 1, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD (Name)
FIELD (Company)
FIELD (Street)
FIELD (City)

FIELD (Salutation)

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the following documents for a 45-day review and comment period. However, due to a number of requests, we are extending the comment period by an additional 45 days. As such, the review/comment period will end on Monday, June 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

SANTA CLARITA WATER COMPANY
C/O MR BILL MANETTA
P O BOX B
SAUGUS CA 91350

TOPANGA LAS VIRGENES RESOURCE
CONSERVATION DISTRICT
122 N TOPANGA CANYON BLVD
TOPANGA CA 90290

U S FOREST SERVICE
MR. CHARLES MCDONALD
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
701 N SANTA ANITA AVE
ARCADIA CA 91006

FOOTHILL MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O RONALD C PALMER
P O BOX 686
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91001

GOLDEN VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O CARAVAN INN/RUTH RALPHS
49713 GORMAN POST RD
GORMAN CA 93243

KINNELOA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O GENE BURT
1999 KINCLAIRE
PASADENA CA 91107

LA CANADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O DOUGLAS CAISTER
P O BOX 39
LA CANADA CA 91001

NEWHALL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR JAMES E JINKS
P O BOX 779
NEWHALL CA 91321

ORCHARD DALE COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O GARY DRAPER
13819 E TELEGRAPH RD
WHITTIER CA 90604

PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT
C/O MS YVONNE VALASEK
4827 W AVENUE L-2
QUARTZ HILL CA 93534

PALMDALE COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
2005 E AVENUE Q
PALMDALE CA 93550

PARAMOUNT COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O HARRY BABBITT
14600 COLORADO AVE
PARAMOUNT CA 90723

PICO COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR HALL MAUPIN
4843 S CHURCH ST
PICO RIVERA CA 90661

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

ROBERT A NELSON, DIRECTOR
RIVERSIDE CTY WASTE RESOURCES
MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS
1995 MARKET ST
RIVERSIDE CA 92501

JERRY NEWCOMBE, CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR
WASTE SYSTEMS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
222 W HOSPITALITY LANE 2ND FLR
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415-0017

KAY MARTIN
DIRECTOR OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
COUNTY OF VENTURA
5275 COLT ST STE 1
VENTURA CA 93003

DAPHNE WASHINGTON, DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF KERN WASTE MGMT DEPT
2700 M ST
BAKERSFIELD CA 92301

MURRAY CABLE, DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF ORANGE INT WASTE MGMT
320 N FLOWER STE 400
SANTA ANA CA 92703

CHARLES W. CARRY
CHIEF ENGINEER AND GENERAL MANAGER
COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICTS OF LOS ANGELES CTY
PO BOX 4998
WHITTIER CA 90607-4178

JIM LENTS
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MGMT DISTRICT
21865 COPLEY DR
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-4178

JAMES HARTL, DIRECTOR
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF REGIONAL PLANNING
320 W TEMPLE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

RICHARD HANSON, DIRECTOR
SOLID WASTE MGMT PROGRAM
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF HEALTH SERVICES
2525 CORPORATE PL RM 150
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-7631

DELWIN A BIAGI, DIRECTOR
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
BUREAU OF SANITATION
200 N MAIN ST ROOM 1400
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-4166

NICK CONWAY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
234 N EL MOLINO AVE # 202
PASADENA CA 91101-1675

MARK PISANO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SO CALIFORNIA ASSOC OF GOVT
818 W SEVENTH ST 12TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90017-3435

CASTAIC LAKE WATER AGENCY
23560 LYONS AVE STE 225
SANTA CLARITA CA 91321

MARY LOU JAUREGUY
GENERAL MANAGER
LA PUENTE VALLEY WATER DIST
15825 E MAIN ST
LA PUENTE CA 91744

JIM COLBAUGH
GENERAL MANAGER
LAS VIRGENES MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
4232 LAS VIRGENES RD
CALABASAS CA 91302-1994

WILLIAM MC CARLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPT OF WATER AND POWER
111 N HOPE ST #1550
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

JOHN WODRASKA, GENERAL MANAGER
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICTS
PO BOX 54153
LOS ANGELES CA 90054-0153

CAROL WILLIAMS, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
MAIN SAN GABRIEL BASIN WATER MASTERS
425 E HUNTINGTON DR #200
MONROVIA CA 91016

BOB L STALLINGS, GENERAL MANAGER
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
PO BOX 1299
AZUSA CA 91702

ROBERT G BERLIEN, GENERAL MANAGER
UPPER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY
MUNICIPAL WATER DIST
11310 VALLEY BLVD
EL MONTE CA 91731

PHILLIP CROCKER, GENERAL MANAGER
SAN GABRIEL COUNTY WATER DISTRICTS
PO BOX 227
SAN GABRIEL CA 91778

STANLEY YARBROUGH
GENERAL MANAGER
VALLEY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
14521 RAMONA BLVD
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706

LILLIAN KAWASAKI, GENERAL MANAGER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT
201 N FIGUEROA ST SUITE 200
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

ROBERT GHIRELLI, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD-LOS ANGELES REGION
101 CENTER PLAZA DRIVE
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-2156

HAROLD J SINGER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY
CONTROL BOARD-LAHONTAN REGION
2092 LAKE TAHOE BLVD SUITE 2
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE CA 96150

WALTER G. PETTIT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
P.O. BOX 100
SACRAMENTO CA 95812-0100

PETER DOUGLAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION
45 FREMONT AV STE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-2219

CENTRAL AND WEST BASIN
MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
C/O RICHARD ATWATER
17140 S AVALON BLVD STE 210
CARSON CA 90746-1218

QUARTZ HILL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MS KAY BROWN
P O BOX 3218
QUARTZ HILL CA 93536


ROWLAND AREA COMPANY WATER DISTRICT
C/O MR ANTHONY POLI
P O BOX 8460
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748

April 29, 1996
Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer, of this office, at (818) 458-4302, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Donald L. Wolfe".

DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MMM:mv
SP/EXT.2



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

April 29, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: EP-2

FIELD (Name)
FIELD (Company)
FIELD (Address)
FIELD (City State Zip)

FIELD (Salutation)

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the following documents for a 45-day review and comment period. However, due to a number of requests, we are extending the comment period by an additional 45 days. As such, the review/comment period will end on Monday, June 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS DIVISION

VERMONT SQUARE LIBRARY
5401 S FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90037-3760

VERNON BRANCH LIBRARY
4504 S CENTRAL AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90011-3631

VICTORIA PARK LIBRARY
17906 S AVALON BL
CARSON CA 90746-1501

VIEW PARK LIBRARY
3854 WEST 54TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90043-2297

WALNUT LIBRARY
21155 LA PUENTE RD
WALNUT CA 91789-2017

WASHINGTON IRVING
1803 ARLINGTON AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90019-6299

WATTS LIBRARY
1501 EAST 103RD ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90002-3308

LELAND R WEAVER LIBRARY
4035 TWEEDY BL
SOUTH GATE 90280-6199

WEST COVINA REGIONAL
1601 WEST COVINA PARKWAY
WEST COVINA CA 91790-2705

WEST HOLLYWOOD LIBRARY
715 N SAN VINCENTE BL
W HOLLYWOOD CA 90069-5020

WESTLAKE VILLAGE
4371 PARK TERRACE DR
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361-4641

WEST LOS ANGELES REGIONAL
11360 SANTA MONICA BL
W LOS ANGELES CA 90025-3172

WEST VALLEY REGIONAL
19036 VANOWEN ST
RESEDA CA 91335-5198

WILL & ARIEL DURANT
1403 NORTH GARDNER
LOS ANGELES CA 90046-8531

WILLOWBROOK LIBRARY
11838 WILMINGTON AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90059-3016

WILMINGTON LIBRARY
1300 NORTH AVALON BL
WILMINGTON CA 90744-2639

WILSHIRE LIBRARY
316 NORTH WESTERN AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90004-2615

WISEBURN LIBRARY
5335 WEST 135TH ST
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4945

WOODCREST LIBRARY
1340 WEST 106TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90044-1626

WOODLAND HILLS LIBRARY
22200 VENTURA BL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91364-1517

CALABASAS LIBRARY
23654 CALABASAS RD
LOS ANGELES CA 91302-1502

LAKE LOS ANGELES
16921 EAST AVE O # A
PALMDALE CA 93550

LA COUNTY AND LA CITY LIBRARY

PACOIMA LIBRARY
13605 VAN NUYS BL
PACOIMA CA 91331-3697

PALISADES LIBRARY
861 ALMA REAL DR
PACIFIC PALISADES CA 90272-3797

PALMS-RANCHO PARK
2920 OVERLAND AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90064-4299

PANORAMA CITY LIBRARY
14345 ROSCOE BL
PANORAMA CITY CA 91402-4297

PARAMOUNT LIBRARY
16254 COLORADO AVE
PARAMOUNT CA 90723-5085

PICO RIVERA LIBRARY
9001 MINES AVE
PICO RIVERA CA 90660-3058

PLATT LIBRARY
23600 VICTORY BL
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367-1349

PORTER RANCH
11371 TAMPA AVE
PORTER RANCH CA

QUARTZ HILL
42018 50TH ST W
QUARTZ HILL CA 93536-3509

ANTHONY QUINN LIBRARY
3965 CEASAR CHAVEZ AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90063

RIVERA LIBRARY
7828 SERAPIS AVE
PICO RIVERA CA 90660

ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON
803 SPENCE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90023-1727

ROBERTSON LIBRARY
1719 S ROBERTSON BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90035-4315

ROSEMEAD LIBRARY
8800 VALLEY BL
ROSEMEAD CA 91770-1788

ROWLAND HEIGHTS LIBRARY
1850 NOGALES ST
ROWLAND HGTS CA 91748-2945

SAN DIMAS LIBRARY
145 NORTH WALNUT AVE
SAN DIMAS CA 91773-2603

SAN GABRIEL LIBRARY
500 DEL MAR AVE
SAN GABRIEL CA 91776-2408

SAN FERNANDO LIBRARY
1050 LIBRARY ST
SAN FERNANDO CA 91340-2350

SAN PEDRO REGIONAL
931 S GAFFEY
SAN PEDRO CA 90731-3679

MASAD W SATOW LIBRARY
14433 S CRENSHAW BL
GARDENA CA 90249-3142

SHERMAN OAKS LIBRARY
14245 MOORPARK ST
SHERMAN OAKS CA 91423-2776

SOUTH EL MONTE LIBRARY
1430 CENTRAL AVE
S EL MONTE CA 91733-3302

SOUTH WHITTIER LIBRARY
14433 LEFFINGWELL RD
WHITTIER CA 90604-2966

STUDIO CITY LIBRARY
4400 BABCOCK AVE
STUDIO CITY CA 91604-1301

SUNKIST LIBRARY
840 PUENTE AVE
LA PUENTE CA 91746-1316

SUNLAND-TUJUNGA LIBRARY
7771 FOOTHILL BL
TUJUNGA CA 91042-2197

SUN VALLEY LIBRARY
7935 VINELAND AVE
SUN VALLEY CA 91352-4498

SYLMAR LIBRARY
13059 GLENOAKS BL
LOS ANGELES CA 91342-3924

TEMPLE CITY LIBRARY
5939 GOLDEN WEST AVE
TEMPLE CITY CA 91780-2292

VALENCIA LIBRARY
23743 VALENCIA BL
VALENCIA CA 91355-2191

VALLEY PLAZA LIBRARY
12311 VANOWEN ST
N HOLLYWOOD CA 91605-5624

VAN NUYS LIBRARY
6250 SLYMAR AVE
VAN NUYS CA 91401-2787

VENICE LIBRARY
501 S VENICE BLVD
VENICE CA 90291

JUNIPERO SERRA LIBRARY
4301 S FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90037-1601

LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
4545 OAKWOOD AVE
LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91011-3309

LA CRESCENTA LIBRARY
4521 LA CRESCENTA AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 91214-2999

PALMDALE LIBRARY
700 E PALMDALE BL
PALMDALE CA 93550-4795

LA MIRADA LIBRARY
13800 LA MIRADA BL
LA MIRADA CA 90638-3098

LA PUENTE LIBRARY
15920 CENTRAL AVE
LA PUENTE CA 91744-5499

LA VERNE LIBRARY
3640 D ST
LA VERNE CA 91750-3572

LAS VIRGENES LIBRARY
29130 WEST RDSIDE DR
AGOURA HILLS CA 91301-4599

LANCASTER LIBRARY
1150 W AVE J
LANCASTER CA 93534-3398

LAWNDALE LIBRARY
14615 BURIN AVE
LAWNDALE CA 90260-1431

LENNOX LIBRARY
4359 LENNOX BL
LENNOX CA 90304-2398

LITTLEROCK LIBRARY
8135 PEARBLOSSOM HWY
LITTLEROCK CA 93543-3049

LITTLE TOKYO LIBRARY
244 S ALAMEDA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90013

LIVE OAK LIBRARY
4153 E LIVE OAK AVE
ARCADIA CA 91006-5895

LOMITA LIBRARY
24200 NARBONNE AVE
LOMITA CA 90717-1188

LOS NIETOS LIBRARY
11644 SLAUSON AVE
WHITTIER CA 90606-3396

LOYOLA VILLAGE LIBRARY
7114 W MANCHESTER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90045-3581

LYNWOOD LIBRARY
11320 BULLIS RD
LYNWOOD CA 90262-3661

MALABAR BRANCH LIBRARY
2801 WABASH AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90033-2604

MALIBU LIBRARY
23519 W CIVIC CENTR WAY
MALIBU CA 90265-4804

MANHATTAN BEACH LIBRARY
1320 HIGHLAND AVE
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266-4199

MARINA DEL REY LIBRARY
4533 ADMIRALTY WAY
MARINA DEL REY CA 90292-5416

MARK TWAIN LIBRARY
9621 S FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90044-3928

MAR VISTA LIBRARY
12006 VENICE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90066-3810

MAYWOOD CHAVEZ
4323 SLAUSON AVE
MAYWOOD CA 90270-2872

MEMORIAL LIBRARY
4801 W WILSHIRE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90010-3810

MONTEBELLO LIBRARY
1550 WEST BEVERLY BL
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-3993

NEWHALL LIBRARY
22704 WEST 9TH ST
NEWHALL CA 91321-2804

NORTH HOLLYWOOD REGIONAL
5211 TUJUNGA AVE
N HOLLYWOOD CA 91601-3179

NORTHRIDGE LIBRARY
9051 DARBY AVE
NORTHRIDGE CA 91325-2743

NORWALK LIBRARY
12350 IMPERIAL HIGHWAY
NORWALK CA 90650-3199

NORWOOD LIBRARY
4550 N PECK RD
EL MONTE CA 91732-1998

GEORGE NYE LIBRARY
6600 DEL AMO BL
LAKEWOOD CA 90713-2206

CUDAHY LIBRARY
5218 SANTA ANA ST
CUDAHY CA 90201-6098

CULVER CITY LIBRARY
4975 OVERLAND AVE
CULVER CITY CA 90230-4299

CYPRESS PARK LIBRARY
3320 PEPPER AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065-1605

DIAMOND BAR LIBRARY
1061 S GRAND AVE
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-2299

DUARTE LIBRARY
1301 BUENA VISTA AVE
DUARTE CA 91010-2410

EAGLE ROCK LIBRARY
5027 CASPAR AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90041-1901

EAST LOS ANGELES
4801 E 3RD ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90022-1601

EAST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ
4205 E COMPTON BL
E RANCHO DOMINGUEZ CA 90221

ECHO PARK LIBRARY
515 N LAVETA TER
ECHO PARK CA 90026-5017

EL CAMINO REAL LIBRARY
4264 WHITTIER BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90023-2036

EL MONTE LIBRARY
3224 N TYLER AVE
EL MONTE CA 91731-3356

EL SERENO LIBRARY
4990 S HUNTINGTON DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90032-1645

ENCINO-TARZANA LIBRARY
18231 VENTURA BL
LOS ANGELES CA 91356

EXPOSITION PARK REGIONAL
3665 S VERMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90007-3946

FAIRFAX LIBRARY
161 S GARDNER ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90036-2717

FELIPE DE NEVE LIBRARY
610 S RAMPART BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90057-3204

FLORENCE LIBRARY
1610 E FLORENCE AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90001-2522

FRANCES HOWARD GOLDW
1623 N IVAR AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90028-6305

GARDENA LIBRARY
1731 W GARDENA BL
GARDENA CA 90247-4776

GRAHAM LIBRARY
1900 E FIRESTONE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90002-4126

GRANADA HILLS LIBRARY
10640 PETTIT AVE
GRANADA HILLS CA 91344-6

HACIENDA HEIGHTS LIBRARY
16010 LA MONDE ST
HACIENDA HEIGHTS CA 91745-4299

HAWAIIAN GARDENS LIBRARY
12100 E CARSON
HAWAIIAN GARDENS CA 90716-1108

HAWTHORNE LIBRARY
12700 S GREVILLEA AVE
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4396

HERMOSA BEACH LIBRARY
550 PIER AVE
HERMOSA BEACH CA 90254-3892

CHET HOLLIFIELD LIBRARY
1060 S GREENWOOD AVE
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-6032

HOLLYDALE LIBRARY
12000 S GARFIELD AVE
SOUTH GATE CA 90280-7823

HUNTINGTON PARK LIBRARY
6518 MILES AVE
HUNTINGTON PARK CA 90255-4388

HYDE PARK LIBRARY
6527 CRENSHAW BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90043-4197

ANGELO M IACOBINI
4990 CLARK AVE
LAKEWOOD CA 90712-2676

JEFFERSON LIBRARY
2211 WEST JEFFERSON BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90018-3798

JOHN C FREMONT LIBRARY
736 NORTH LA BREA AVE
HOLLYWOOD CA 90038-3339

JOHN MUTR LIBRARY
6001 S VERMONT AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90044-371

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

ALONDRA LIBRARY
11949 ALONDRA BL
NORWALK CA 90650-7108

ANGELES MESA BRANCH
2700 W 52ND ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90043-1999

ARROYO SECO REGIONAL
6145 N FIGUEROA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90042-3593

ARTESIA LIBRARY
18722 S CLARKDALE AVE
ARTESIA CA 90701-5817

ASCOT LIBRARY
256 W 70TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90003-1831

ATWATER VILLAGE LIBRARY
3379 GLENDALE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90039-1846

AVALON LIBRARY
PO BOX 585
AVALON CA 90704-0585

BALDWIN HILLS LIBRARY
2906 S LA BREA
LOS ANGELES CA 90016-3902

BALDWIN PARK
4181 BALDWIN PARK BL
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706-6708

BELL LIBRARY
4411 GAGE AVE
BELL CA 90201-1216

BELL GARDENS LIBRARY
7110 S GARFIELD AVE
BELL GARDENS CA 90201-3243

BENJAMIN FRANKLIN LIBRARY
2200 E 1ST ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90033-3997

BIBLIOTECA DEL PUEBLO DE LINCOLN HEIGHTS
2217 N BROADWAY
LOS ANGELES 90031-2202

AC BILBREW LIBRARY
150 E EL SEGUNDO BL
LOS ANGELES 90061-2356

BRAKENSIEK LIBRARY
9945 E FLOWER ST
BELLFLOWER 90706-5486

DONALD BRUCE KAUFMAN
BRENTWOOD LIBRARY
11820 SAN VINCENTE BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90049-5055

CAHUENGA LIBRARY
4591 SANTA MONICA BL
LOS ANGELES CA 90029

CANOGA PARK LIBRARY
7260 OWENSMOUTH AVE
CANOGA PARK CA 91303-1529

CANYON COUNTRY LIBRARY
18536 SOLEDAD CANYON RD
CANYON COUNTRY CA 91351-3703

CARSON LIBRARY
151 EAST CARSON ST
CARSON CA 90745-2797

CENTRAL LIBRARY
630 W 5TH ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-2097

CHARTER OAK LIBRARY
20540-K E ARROW HIGHWAY
CHARTER OAK CA 91724

CHATSWORTH LIBRARY
21052 DEVONSHIRE ST
CHATSWORTH CA 91311-2936

CHINATOWN LIBRARY
536 W COLLEGE ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-2310

CITY TERRACE LIBRARY
4025 CITY TERRACE DR
LOS ANGELES CA 90063-1297

CLAREMONT LIBRARY
208 N HARVARD AVE
CLAREMONT CA 91711-4758

COMPTON LIBRARY
240 W COMPTON BL
COMPTON CA 90220-3109

April 29, 1996
Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Dave Smith, of this office, at (818) 458-4361, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Fred Rubin", written in dark ink.

FRED M. RUBIN
Assistant Deputy Director
Environmental Programs Division

MMM:mv
SP/EXT.4



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (818) 458-5100

HARRY W. STONE, Director

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

April 29, 1996

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE.

EP-2

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAFT COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT,
THE DRAFT COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN,
AND RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS**

On March 4, 1996, this Department provided your agency with the following documents for a 45-day review and comment period. However, due to a number of requests, we are extending the comment period by an additional 45 days. As such, the review/comment period will end on Monday, June 17, 1996.

- The Preliminary Draft of the Los Angeles County Countywide Siting Element (Siting Element), dated January 1996
- The Preliminary Draft Summary Plan of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan (Summary Plan), dated January 1996; Volume I, the Plan, and Volume II, Appendices
- The Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Siting Element, dated January 1996
- The proposed Negative Declaration for the Summary Plan, dated January 1996

Written comments may be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

THOMAS G MAUK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WHITTIER
13230 E PENN ST
WHITTIER CA 90602-1772

ROBERT W TOONE JR
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF PALMDALE
38300 N SIERRA HWY
PALMDALE CA 93550-4798

JAMES B HENDRICKSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES
340 PALOS VERDES DR W
PALOS VERDES ESTATES CA 90274-1299

PATRICK H WEST CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PARAMOUNT
16400 COLORADO AV
PARAMOUNT CA 90723-5050

PHILIP A HAWKEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PASADENA
100 N GARFIELD AV
PASADENA CA 91101-1782

DENNIS COURTEMARCHE
CITY MANAGER
CITY OF PICO RIVERA
P O BOX 1016
PICO RIVERA CA 90660-3698

SEVERO ESQUIVEL
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF POMONA
505 S GAREY AV
POMONA CA 91766-3320

PAUL D BUSSEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF RANCHO PALOS VERDES
30940 HAWTHORNE BLVD
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90274-5391

WILLIAM E KIRCHHOFF CITY MANAGER
CITY OF REDONDO BEACH
415 DIAMOND ST
REDONDO BEACH CA 90277-2894

CRAIG NEALIS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROLLING HILLS
2 PORTUGUESE BEND ROAD
ROLLING HILLS CA 90274-5199

DOUGLAS PRICHARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES
4045 PALOS VERDES DR N
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES CA 90274-2596

FRANK G TRIPEPI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ROSEMEAD
8838 E VALLEY BLVD
ROSEMEAD CA 91770-1787

DONALD L PRUYN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SAN DIMAS
245 E BONITA AV
SAN DIMAS CA 91773-3002

MARY STRENN CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SAN FERNANDO
117 MACNEIL ST
SAN FERNANDO CA 91340-2993

P MICHAEL PAULES
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SAN GABRIEL
532 W MISSION DR
SAN GABRIEL CA 91776-1202

DEBBIE BELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SAN MARINO
2200 HUNTINGTON DR
SAN MARINO CA 91108-2691

GEORGE CARVALHO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
23920 VALENCIA BLVD STE 300
SANTA CLARITA CA 91355-2107

DONALD R POWELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS
11710 TELEGRAPH RD
SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670-3679

JOHN JALILI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SANTA MONICA
1685 MAIN ST
SANTA MONICA CA 90401-3295

MR SEAN JOYCE CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF SIERRA MADRE
232 W SIERRA MADRE BLVD
SIERRA MADRE CA 91024-0451

LEN WOOD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SIGNAL HILL
2175 CHERRY AV
SIGNAL HILL CA 90806-3799

RITA HARDIN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH EL MONTE
1415 N SANTA ANITA AV
SOUTH EL MONTE CA 91733-3389

RONALD GEORGE INT CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH GATE
8650 CALIFORNIA AV
SOUTH GATE CA 90280-3075

KENNETH FARFSING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA
1414 MISSION ST
SOUTH PASADENA CA 91030-3298

HUGH R RILEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF TEMPLE CITY
9701 E LAS TUNAS DR
TEMPLE CITY CA 91780-2249

LEROY J JACKSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF TORRANCE
3031 TORRANCE BLVD
TORRANCE CA 91509-5059

BRUCE V MALKENHORST
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF VERNON
4305 SANTA FE AV
VERNON CA 90058-1786

JEFFREY PARKER CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WALNUT
21201 LA PUENTE RD
WALNUT CA 91789-2018

JAMES STARBIRD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WEST COVINA
P O BOX 1440
WEST COVINA CA 91793-1440

PAUL D BROTZMAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD
8300 SANTA MONICA BLVD
WEST HOLLYWOOD CA 90069-4314

RAYMOND B TAYLOR CITY MANAGER
CITY OF WESTLAKE VILLAGE
4373 PARK TERRACE
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361-4361

KENNETH W LANDAU CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GARDENA
1700 W 162ND ST
GARDENA CA 90247-3778

DAVID RAMSAY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GLENDALE
613 E BROADWAY
GLENDALE CA 91206-4308

ARTHUR E COOK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF GLENDDORA
116 E FOOTHILL BLVD
GLENDDORA CA 91740-3380

LEONARD CHAIDEZ CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS
21815 PIONEER BLVD
HAWAIIAN GARDENS CA 90716-1299

LEONARD "BUD" CORMIER
ACTING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HAWTHORNE
4455 W 126TH ST
HAWTHORNE CA 90250-4482

STEPHEN R BURRELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
1315 VALLEY DR
HERMOSA BEACH CA 90254-3814

CHERIE PAGLIA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS
6165 SPRING VALLEY RD
HIDDEN HILLS CA 91302-1257

DONALD L. JEFFERS
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK
6550 MILES AV
HUNTINGTON PARK CA 90255-4393

MR CHRIS R ROPE CITY MANAGER
CITY OF INDUSTRY
15651 E STAFFORD ST
INDUSTRY CA 91744-3995

PAUL D ECKLES ACTING CITY MANAGER
CITY OF INGLEWOOD
ONE MANCHESTER BLVD
INGLEWOOD CA 90301-1750

DAVID CARETTO CITY MANAGER
CITY OF IRWINDALE
5050 N IRWINDALE AV
IRWINDALE CA 91706-2192

GARBRIELLE PRYOR CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
1327 FOOTHILL BLVD
LACANADA FLINTRIDGE CA 91011-2186

MR LESLIE DOOLITTLE
CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS
1245 N HACIENDA BLVD
LA HABRA HEIGHTS CA 90631-2570

HOWARD L CHAMBERS
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF LAKEWOOD
5050 N CLARK AV
LAKEWOOD CA 90712-2697

GARY SLOAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA MIRADA
13700 LA MIRADA BLVD
LA MIRADA CA 90638-3099

JAMES GILLEY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LANCASTER
44933 N FERN AV
LANCASTER CA 93534-2461

ROBERT G GUTIERREZ CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA PUENTE
15900 E MAIN ST
LA PUENTE CA 91744-4788

MARTIN R LOMELI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LA VERNE
3660 D ST
LA VERNE CA 91750-3599

WILLIAM WOOLARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LAWNSDALE
14717 BURIN AV
LAWNSDALE CA 90260-1497

WALKER J RITTER
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF LOMITA
24300 NARBONNE AV
LOMITA CA 90717-1198

JAMES C HANKLA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF LONG BEACH
333 W OCEAN BLVD
LONG BEACH CA 90802-4664

KEITH COMRIE
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF LOS ANGELES
200 N SPRING ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-4801

FAUSTINE T GONZALES CITY MGR
CITY OF LYNWOOD
11330 BULLIS RD
LYNWOOD CA 90262-3693

DAVID N CARMANY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MALIBU
23555 CIVIC CENTER WY
MALIBU CA 90265-4865

GEOFFREY DOLAN CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH
1400 HIGHLAND AV
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266-4795

RONALD LINDSEY
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF MAYWOOD
4319 E SLAUSON AVENUE
MAYWOOD CA 90270-2897

ROD GOULD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MONROVIA
415 S IVY AV
MONROVIA CA 91016-2888

RICHARD TORRES
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF MONTEBELLO
1600 W BEVERLY BLVD
MONTEBELLO CA 90640-3970

MR CHRIS JEFFERS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF MONTEREY PARK
320 W NEWMARK AV
MONTEREY PARK CA 91754-2896

ERNIE V GARCIA CITY MANAGER
CITY OF NORWALK
12700 NORWALK BLVD
NORWALK CA 90650-3182

OFFICIAL REVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT
AND ITS DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, AND
THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY PLAN AND
ITS PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

AN IDENTICAL ORIGINAL OF THE ATTACHED LETTER WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

DAVID ADAMS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS
30101 AGOURA CT STE 102
AGOURA HILLS CA 91301-4301

JULIO FUENTES CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ALHAMBRA
111 S FIRST ST
ALHAMBRA CA 91801-3796

WILLIAM R KELLY CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ARCADIA
P O BOX 60021
ARCADIA CA 91066-6021

PAUL J PHILLIPS CITY MANAGER
CITY OF ARTESIA
18747 CLARKDALE AV
ARTESIA CA 90701-5899

ROBERT F CLARK CITY MANAGER
CITY OF AVALON
209 METROPOLE AV
AVALON CA 90704

HENRY GARCIA CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF AZUSA
213 E FOOTHILL BLVD
AZUSA CA 91702-2514

CARL YATES DIRECTOR OF AD SRVCS
CITY OF BALDWIN PARK
14403 E PACIFIC AV
BALDWIN PARK CA 91706-4297

ROBERT A RIZZO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF BELL
6330 PINE AV
BELL CA 90201-1291

THE HONORABLE MARIA CHACON
CITY OF BELL GARDENS
7100 S GARFIELD AV
BELL GARDENS CA 90201-3293

LINDA LOWRY CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF BELLFLOWER
16600 CIVIC CENTER DR
BELLFLOWER CA 90706-5494

MARK SCOTT CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS
450 N CRESCENT DR
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90210-4854

KEENE WILSON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BRADBURY
600 WINSTON AV
BRADBURY CA 91010-1199

ROBERT R OVROM CITY MANAGER
CITY OF BURBANK
275 E OLIVE AV
BURBANK CA 91502-1267

CHARLES CATE CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CALABASAS
26135 MUREAU RD
CALABASAS CA 91302-3103

LARRY OLSON CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF CARSON
701 E CARSON ST
CARSON CA 90745-2224

ART GALLUCCI CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CERRITOS
P O BOX 3130
CERRITOS CA 90703-3130

GLENN D SOUTHARD CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CLAREMONT
207 HARVARD AV
CLAREMONT CA 91711-4719

RAUL ROMERO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF COMMERCE
2535 COMMERCE WY
COMMERCE CA 90040-1487

HOWARD CALDWELL CITY MANAGER
CITY OF COMPTON
205 S WILLOWBROOK AV
COMPTON CA 90220-3190

MR FRANCIS M DELACH CITY MGR
CITY OF COVINA
125 E COLLEGE ST
COVINA CA 91723-2197

JACK JOSEPH CITY MANAGER
CITY OF CUDAHY
5220 SANTA ANA ST
CUDAHY CA 90201-6097

MRS JODY HALL-ESSER
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
CITY OF CULVER CITY
9770 CULVER BLVD
CULVER CITY CA 90232-0507

MR TERRY BELANGER CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DIAMOND BAR
21660 E COPLEY DR STE 100
DIAMOND BAR CA 91765-4177

GERALD M CATON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DOWNEY
11111 BROOKSHIRE AV
DOWNEY CA 90241-3898

JESSE H DUFF CITY MANAGER
CITY OF DUARTE
1600 HUNTINGTON DR
DUARTE CA 91010-2592

GREGORY D KORDUNER
CITY ADMINISTRATOR
CITY OF EL MONTE
11333 VALLEY BLVD
EL MONTE CA 91731-3293


JAMES MORRISON CITY MANAGER
CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
350 MAIN ST
EL SEGUNDO CA 90245-3895

April 18, 1996
Page 2

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer, of this office, at (818) 458-4302, Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

HARRY W. STONE
Director of Public Works


DONALD L. WOLFE
Deputy Director

MMM:mv
SP/EXT.1

cc: City Clerk
Recycling Coordinator